

Mansoura University Faculty of Agriculture Economic Entomology Department

Studies on the main leafhoppers and planthoppers on some vegetable crops

By

ALAA ALI ALI EL- SHAYEB

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agriculture Science) Tanta Univ., 2009 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Economic Entomology) Tanta Univ., 2015

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of **Doctor of philosophy**

In

Agricultural Sciences (Economic Entomology)

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Samir S. Awadalla

Prof. of Economic Entomology Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura University

Prof Dr. Laila A. Al Batran

Prof Dr. Farha H. H. Faragalla

Prof. of Economic Entomology Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura University Head of researches Plant protection Research Institute Agricultural Research Center, Giza

Arab Republic of Egypt Mansoura University

2020

Contents

Contents	Page
1.Introduction	1
2. Review of literature	4
2.1. Effect of planting dates on the population density of the leafhoppers and planthoppers	4
2.2. Effect of different host plants:	10
2.3. The morphological diversity of the leaf surface and the phytochemicals composition:	18
2.4. Effect of different fertilization levels:	23
3.Materials and Methods	30
3.1. Effect of planting dates	30
3.1.1. Eggplant as summer vegetable crop	30
3.1.2. Faba bean as winter vegetable crop	31
3.2. Effect of different host plants	32
3.2.1. Summer vegetable crops	32
3.2.2. Winter vegetable crop	33
3.2.3. Chemical constituents of cultivated summer and winter vegetable crops	33
3.2.4. Morphological diversity of trichomes in cultivated crops	34

3.3. Effect of fertilization levels	34
3.3.1. Nitrogen fertilization levels	34
3.3.2. Phosphorus fertilization levels	35
3.3.3. Potassium fertilization levels	35
3.4. Statistical analysis	35
. Results and Discussion	36
4.1. Effect of different planting dates	36
4.1.1. Eggplant as summer vegetable crop	36
4.1.1.1. The potato leafhopper <i>Empoascadecipiens</i>	36
4.1.1.2. The leafhopper <i>Empoascadecedens</i>	41
4.1.1.3. The leafhopper <i>Cicadulinachinai</i>	47
4.1.1.4. The leafhopper <i>Balchluthahortensis</i>	52
4.1.1.5. The planthopperSogatellafurcifera	58
4.1.2. Faba bean as winter vegetable crop	64
4.1.2.1. The potato leafhopper <i>Empoascadecipiens</i>	64
4.1.2.2. The leafhopper <i>Empoascadecedens</i>	68
4.1.2.3. The leafhopper <i>Cicadulinachinai</i>	73
4.1.2.4. The leafhopper <i>Balcluthahortensis</i>	78

4.1.2.5. The planthopperSogatellafutcifera	83
4.2. Effect of different host plants	88
4.2.1. Solanaceous crops	88
4.2.2. Leguminous crops	92
4.3. The morphological diversity of trichomes in cultivated sumer and winter crops	93
4.3.1.solanacous crops	93
4.3.2. Leguminous crops	97
4.4. Effect of fertilization levels:	99
4.4.1. Effect of nitrogenfertilization levels	99
4.4.2. Effect of phosphorus fertilization levels:	101
4.4.3. Effect of potassium fertilization levels:	104
5.Summary	107
6. Conclusion	114
7.References	116
الملخص العربي	1

List of Figures

Figure	Page
Fig. (1): The population density of the potato leafhoppers <i>Empoascadecipiens</i> on eggplant in different plantations (P.) during the first season 2017.	38
Fig. (2): The population density of the potato leafhoppers <i>Empoascadecipiens</i> on eggplant in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2018.	39
Fig. (3): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Empoascadecedens</i> on eggplant in different plantations (P.) during the first season 2017.	44
Fig. (4): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Empoascadecedens</i> on eggplantin different plantations (P.) during the second season 2018.	45
Fig. (5): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Cicadulinachinai</i> on eggplant in different plantations (P.) during the first season 2017.	48
Fig. (6): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Cicadulinachinai</i> on eggplant in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2018.	49
Fig. (7): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Balcluthahortensis</i> on eggplant in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2017.	54
Fig. (8) :The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Balcluthahortensis</i> on eggplant in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2018.	55
Fig. (9): The population density of the planthopper <i>Sagatellafutcifera</i> on eggplant in different plantations (P.) during the first season 2017.	59
Fig. (10): The population density of the planthopper <i>Sagatellafutcifera</i> on eggplant in different plantations during the second season 2018.	60
Fig. (11): The population density of the potato leafhoppers <i>Empoascadecipiens</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in different plantations (P.) during the first season 2017/18.	65
Fig. (12): The population density of the potato leafhoppers <i>Empoascadecipiens</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2018/19.	66
Fig. (13): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Empoascadecedens</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in different plantations (P.) during the first season 2017/18.	71
Fig. (14): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Empoascadecedens</i> on fababean (var. Spanch) in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2018/19.	72
Fig. (15): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Cicadulinachinai</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in different plantations (P.) during the first season 2017/18.	75

Fig. (16): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Cicadulinachinai</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2018/19.	76
Fig. (17): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Balcluthahortensis</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2017/18.	80
Fig. (18): The population density of the leafhopper, <i>Balcluthahortensis</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in different plantations (P.) during the second season 2018/19.	81
Fig. (19): The population density of the planthopper <i>Sagatellafutcifera</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in differentplantations(P.) during the first season 2017/18.	84
Fig. (20): The population density of the planthopper <i>Sagatellafutcifera</i> on faba bean (var. Spanch) in different plantations during the second season 2018/19.	85
Fig. (21): Plant leaf surface morphology: Eggplant (trichome length (A1), trichome thickness (A2), stoma length (A3), stoma width (A4), Tomato (trichome length (B1), trichome thickness (B2), stoma length (B3), stoma width (B4), and Pepper (stoma length (C1), stoma width (C2).	95
Fig. (22): Plant leaf surface morphology: Faba bean (stoma width (A1), stoma length (A2), Fenugreek (trichome length (B1), trichome thickness (B2), stoma length (B3), stoma width (B4), and Lupine (stoma length and trichome thickness (C1,C2), stoma length (C3), stoma width (C4).	98
Fig. (23): The occurrence percentage for the main leafhopper and planthopper species according to different rates of N. fertilization during the first season 2017 (A) and the second season 2018 (B).	101
Fig. 24: The occurrence percentage for the main leafhopper and planthopper species according to different rates of P. fertilization during the first season 2017 (A) and the second season 2018 (B).	103
Fig. 25: The occurrence percentage for the main leafhopper and planthopper species according to different rates of K. fertilization during the first season 2017 (A) and the second season 2018 (B).	106

List of tables	Page
Table (1): Total number and percentage as well as the averagenumber of <i>Empoascadecipiens</i> on at different planting datesof eggplant during the two successive seasons 2017 and 2018.	40
Table (2): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of <i>Empoascadecipiens</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of eggplant during seasons 2017 and 2018.	41
Table (3): Total number and percentage as well as the averagenumber of the leafhopper, <i>Empoascadecedens</i> on at differentplanting dates of eggplant during the two successive seasons2017 and 2018.	64
Table (4): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of <i>Empoascadecedens</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of eggplant during seasons 2017 and 2018.	46
Table (5): Total number and percentage as well as the average number of <i>Cicadulinachinai</i> at different plantation dates of eggplantduring the two successive seasons 2017 and 2018.	51
Table (6): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of the leafhopper, <i>Cicadulinachiani</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of eggplant during seasons 2017 and 2018.	52
Table (7): Total number and percentage as well as the averagenumber of Balcluthahortensisat different plantation datesof eggplantduring the two successive seasons 2017 and2018.	57

Table (8): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of the leafhopper, <i>Balcluthahortensis</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of eggplant during seasons 2017 and 2018.	57
Table (9): Total number and percentage as well as the averagenumber of the planthopper, <i>Sogatellafutcifera</i> at differentplantation dates of eggplantduring the two successiveseasons 2017 and 2018.	61
Table (10): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of the planthopper, <i>Sogatellafutcifera</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of eggplant during seasons 2017 and 2018.	62
Table (11): Total number and percentage as well as the average number of <i>Empoascadecipiens</i> at different planting dates of faba bean during the two successive seasons 2017/18 and 2018/19.	68
Table (12): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of the potato leafhopper, <i>Empoascadecipiens</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of faba bean during seasons 2017/18 and 2018/19.	68
Table (13): Total number and percentage as well as the averagenumber of <i>Empoascadecedens</i> at different planting datesof faba bean during the two successive seasons 2017 and2018.	70
Table (14): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of the leafhopper, <i>Empoascadecedens</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of faba bean during seasons 2017/18 and 2018/19.	73

Table (15): Total number and percentage as well as the averagenumber of <i>Cicadulinachinai</i> at different planting dates offaba bean during the two successive seasons 2017/18 and2018/19.	77
Table (16): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of the leafhopper, <i>Cicadulinachinai</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of faba bean during seasons 2017/18 and 2018/19.	78
Table (17): Total number and percentage as well as the averagenumber of <i>Balcluthahortensis</i> at different planting datesof faba bean during the two successive seasons 2017/18and 2018/19.	82
Table (18): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of the leafhopper, <i>Balchuthahortensis</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of faba bean during seasons 2017/18 and 2018/19.	82
Table (19): Total number and percentage as well as the averagedifferent planting dates of <i>Sogatellafutcifera</i> at faba beanduring the two successive seasons 2017/18 and 2018/19.	87
Table (20): Simple correlation and regression between the population density of the planthopper, <i>Sogatellafutcifera</i> and temperature (T) as well as relative humidity (RH%) at different plantation dates of faba bean during seasons 2017/18 and 2018/19.	87
Table (21): The average number of leafhoppers and planthopperson different host plants by leaf sample method during 2017and 2018 seasons in Gharbia governorate.	89

Table (22): The average number of leafhoppers and planthopperson different host plants by yellow sticky traps methodduring 2017 and 2018 seasons in Gharbia governorate.	90
Table (23): The average number of leafhoppers and planthopperson different host plants by sweep net method during 2017and 2018 seasons in Gharbia governorate.	90
Table (24): The total average number of leafhoppers and planthoppers as well as the occurrence percentage collected by the different methods during seasons 2017 and 2018 in Gharbia governorate.	91
Table (25): The average number of leafhopper and planthopperspecies on different leguminous host plants during seasons2017/18 and 2018/19 in Gharbia governorate.	93
Table (26): Variation in physical structure of leaf surfaces of different vegetable plant hosts	94
Table (27): Phytochemicals analysis (%) of the different host plants.	94
Table (28): Variation in physical structure of leaf surfaces of faba bean plant hosts.	97
Table 29: Effect of different nitrogen fertilization levels on theaverage number of the main leafhopper and planthopperspecies on eggplant during the first season 2017.	100
Table 30: Effect of different nitrogen fertilization levels on the average number of the main leafhopper and planthopper species on eggplant during the first season 2018.	100
Table 31: Effect of different phosphorus fertilization levels on theaverage number of the main leafhopper and planthopperspecies on eggplant during the first season 2017.	102

Table 32: Effect of different phosphorus fertilization levels on theaverage number of the main leafhopper and planthopperspecies on eggplant during the first season 2018.	103
Table 33: Effect of different potassium fertilization levels on theaverage number of the main leafhopper and planthopperspecies on eggplant during the first season 2017.	104
Table 34: Effect of different potassium fertilization levels on the average number of the main leafhopper and planthopper species on eggplant during the first season 2018.	104

5- Summary

The present experiments were conducted in ZeftaGharbia governorate to study some agricultural practices on the population density of the different leafhoppers and planthoppers attacking certain solanaceous and leguminous vegetable crops during the two successive seasons (2017/18 and 2018/19) to evaluate the effect of the following agricultural practices on the leafhopper and planthopper species.

- Planting dates (eggplant as summer crop vs. faba bean as winter crop).
- The different host plants (solanaceous vegetable summer crops vs. leguminous vegetable winter crops).
- The chemical constituents of cultivated summer vegetable crops.
- The morphological diversity of trichomes in cultivated summer and winter crops.
- The fertilization levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium.

The obtained results can be summarized as follows:

5.1. Effect of different planting dates

5.1.1. Eggplant as summer vegetable crop

The potato leafhopper *Empoascadecipiens* had the highest peak of abundance on egg plant in the second plantation (June plantation) during the two seasons 2017 and 2018 and presented by 876 and 962 individuals. June plantation attracted the highest average number of *E. decipiens* on eggplant during the two seasons and presented by 511.4 \pm 55.33 and 558.7 \pm 64.09 individuals followed by May plantation with 432.4 \pm 72.14 and 530.3 \pm 75.74 individuals, respectively.

The leafhopper *Empoascadecedens*had the highest peak of abundance on eggplant in June plantation (458 individuals) during the first season 2017 and in May plantation (492 individuals) during the second season 2018. June plantation attracted the highest average number of *E. decedens* on eggplant during the two seasons and presented by 275.8 ± 28.90 and 288.0 ± 25.49 individuals followed by May plantation with 264.0 ± 35.12 and 262.1 ± 37.21 individuals, respectively.

The leafhopper *Cicadulinachinai* had the highest peak of abundance on eggplant in May plantation during the two seasons 2017 and 2018 and presented by 318 and 328 individuals, respectively. July plantation hosted the highest average number of *C. chinai*on eggplant followed by May plantation during the first season 2017 and presented by 136.8 \pm 24.51 and 123.4 \pm 25.12 individuals, respectively. Meanwhile, during the second season 2018, May plantation attracted the highest average number of the insect pest followed by June plantation and presented by 130.9 \pm 26.21 and 114.7 \pm 19.20 individuals, respectively.

The leafhopper *Balchluthahortensis* had the highest peak of abundance on eggplant in May plantation during the two seasons 2017 and 2018 and presented by 164 and 163 individuals, respectively. Moreover, May plantation hosted the highest average number of *B. hortensis*on eggplant during the two seasons and presented by 75.1 \pm 12.46 and 84.9 \pm 11.93 individuals followed byJune plantation with 64.4 \pm 9.10 and 66.3 \pm 11.25 individuals, respectively.

The planthopperSogatellafurcifera had the highest peak of abundance on eggplant in May plantation during the two seasons 2017 and 2018 and presented by 421 and 422 individuals, respectively. During the first season, July plantation attracted the highest average number of *S. furcifera* on eggplant (230.2 ± 23.63 individuals) followed by May plantation (192.6 ± 37.82 individuals). While, during the second season 2018, May plantation attracted the highest average number of the insect pest (213.4 ± 31.24 individuals) followed June plantation (96.6 ± 16.99 individuals).

Statistical analysis revealed that there were significant differences for each leafhopper and planthopper species among different eggplant plantation dates during the two seasons.

5.1.2. Faba bean as winter vegetable crop

The potato leafhopper *Empoascadecipiens* had the highest peak of abundance on faba bean in the third plantation (first November plantation) with 196 individuals during the first season 2017/18, while it was recorded in the second plantation (mid-October plantation) with 179 individuals during the second season 2018/19. Third plantation (first November plantation) attracted the highest average number of *E. decipiens* on faba bean during the two seasons and presented by 94.8 \pm 11.47 individuals followed by first October plantation with 71.7 \pm 7.63and 79.8 \pm 8.89 individuals, respectively.

The leafhopper *Empoascadecedens* had the highest peak of abundance on faba bean in the third plantation with 176 individuals during the first season 2017/18, while it was recorded in the first plantation with 222 individuals during the second season 2018/19. First plantation attracted the highest average number of *E. decedens* on faba bean during the two seasons and presented by 91.0 \pm 11.96 individuals and 120.4 \pm 13.93 individuals, respectively.

The leafhopper *Cicadulinachinai* had the highest peak of abundance on faba bean in the third plantation with 176 individuals during the first plantation with 133 individuals during the first season 2017/18, while it was recorded in the third plantation with 145 individuals during the second season 2018/19. Third plantation hosted the highest average number of *C. chinai* on faba bean during the two seasons and presented by 66.4 ± 7.12 and 53.8 ± 9.20 individuals, respectively.

The leafhopper *Balcluthahortensis* had the highest peak of abundance on faba bean in the second plantation with 78 individuals during the first season 2017/18, while it was recorded in the first plantation with 91 individuals during the second season 2018/19. First plantation attracted the highest average number of *B. hortensis* on faba bean during the two seasons and presented by 31.5 ± 5.07 and 37.1 ± 5.41 individuals, respectively.

The planthopperSogatellafurcifera had the highest peak of abundance on faba bean in the third plantation during the two seasons 2017/18 and 2018/19 and presented by 213 and 210 individuals, respectively. Also, third plantation attracted the highest average number of *S. furcifera* on faba bean during the two seasons and presented by 92.3 ± 18.28 and 68.9 ± 14.53 individuals, respectively.

Statistical analysis revealed that there were significant differences for each leafhopper and planthopper species among different faba bean plantations during the two seasons.

5.2. Effect of different host plants

5.2.1. Solanaceous crops

The leafhoppers, *Empoascadecipiens* and *Empoascadecedens* preferred eggplant as a host plant followed by tomato and pepper by using the three methods (leaf sample, yellow sticky traps, sweep net) during the two seasons. Meanwhile, *Cicadulinachinai* preferred tomato followed by eggplant and pepper by using the different methods. While, *Balcuthahortensis* preferred pepper followed by eggplant and tomato. On the other hand, the planthoppers, *Sogatellafurcifera* preferred eggplant followed by pepper and tomato.

Statistical analysis revealed that highly significant differences were recorded between the three host plants during the two seasons according to the average number of different leafhoppers and planthoppers by using the three sampling methods. Depending on the percentage of insect pest occurrence, sweep net recorded the highest percentage followed by yellow sticky traps and the lowest percentage of occurrence was recorded by leaf sample method.

The insect population varied among leaf surface morphological characters. The Scanning Electron Microscope pictures revealed that, the trichome type was nonglandular in eggplant and tomato, while in pepper was hairiness. Population density exhibited significantly of trichome length on eggplant, whereas had less significantly on tomato leaves with higher hair density. Peppers, with no trichome had the lowest population of insect.

Depending on the analysis of phytochemical composition of plant leaves, a highly positive correlated leafhopper and plant hoppers with higher ratios was found for the total protein, total nitrogen, α -esterase, β - esterase and Glutathione S-transferase (GST). However, the population density affected by the total ratio of carbohydrate of eggplant. While, there was a negative correlated between insect

Summary

infestation and the contents of phenols, potassium, phosphorus and the plant soap acidity (pH), thus the population was high with low content of these components in eggplant followed by tomato then pepper. This study showed that the development of the host plant resistant to the insect pests is an important strategy of integrated pest management programs and the recognition of morphological and phytochemical characteristics may lead to develop resistance character in acceptable plant genotypes.

5.2.2.Leguminous crops

The leafhoppers *Empoascadecipiens*, *E. decedens* and *Cicadulinachinai* recorded the highest average numberson the different leguminous crops during the two successive seasons and preferred fenugreek as a host plant followed by faba bean and lupine by using the sweep net method. Meanwhile, the leafhopper *Balcluthahortensis* preferred faba bean followed by fenugreek and lupine during the two seasons. On the other hand, the planthopper*Sogatellafurcifera* preferred faba bean followed by lupine and fenugreek.

Statistical analysis revealed that there were significant differences between the three host plants during the two seasons according to the average number of different leafhoppers and planthoppers by using the sweep net method.

The insect population varied among leaf surface morphological characters. The Scanning Electron Microscope pictures revealed that, the insect population varied among leaf surface morphological characters. The Scanning Electron Microscope pictures revealed that, the trichome type was non-glandular in Fenugreek and Lupine, while in Faba bean has no trichomes. Population density exhibited significantly of trichome length on Fenugreek, whereas had less significantly on Faba bean leaves with no trichome. Lupine, with higher hair densityhad the lowest population density of the leafhoppers and planthoppers species.

5.3. Effect of fertilization levels

5.3.1. Effect of nitrogen fertilization level

The nitrogen fertilization levels of 250 kg/fed. attracted the highest average number of the leafhopper and planthopper species. The present study suggested that increasing nitrogen fertilization rates from 0 kg/fed. to 150, 200, and 250 kg/fed. caused a significant increase in the average number of the aforementioned insect species.

The nitrogen fertilization rate of 250 kg/fed. attracted the highest percentage of occurrence of the tested insect pests and presented by 40.2 and 38.1% during the two seasons 2017 and 2018, respectively.

5.3.2. Effect of phosphorus fertilization level

The highest average number of *Empoascadecipiens* recorded with 100 kg/fed. phosphorus fertilization, while the highest average number of *Empoascadecedens* recorded with 200 kg./fed. On the other hand, the leafhopper *Cicadulinachinai* and the planthopper*Sogatellafurcifera* recorded the highest average number with phosphorus fertilization rate of 150 kg/fed. during the two seasons of the study. Meanwhile, the leafhopper *Balcluthahortensis* recorded the highest average number with level of 0 kg/fed. phosphorus fertilization rate during the two seasons.

Statistical analysis for the phosphorus fertilization rates revealed that there were non-significant differences between the average number for each species of these insect pests and the different rates of phosphorus fertilization during the two seasons.

The phosphorus fertilization rate of 0 kg/fed. attracted the highest percentage of occurrence during the first season 2017 followed by 200 kg/fed. and presented by 25.8 and 24.8, respectively. While, during the second season 2018, the phosphorus fertilization rate of 200 kg/fed. attracted the highest percentage of occurrence followed by 0 kg/fed. and presented by 25.8 and 25.2 %, respectively.

5.3.3. Effect of potassium fertilization level

The potassium fertilization levels of zero kg/fed. to 25, 50, and 75 kg/fed. attracted the highest average number of the leafhopper and planthopper species during the two seasons. The present studies indicated that increasing potassium fertilization rates from zero kg/fed. to 25, 50 and 75 kg/fed. led to a decrease in the average number of the tested insect pests during the two seasons with significant differences.

The potassium fertilization of 75 kg/fed. attracted the lowest percentage of occurrence of the tested insect pests and presented by 13.6 and 15.3 % during the two seasons 2017 and 2018, respectively.