



Sohag University



Botany and Microbiology Department



Faculty of Science

**" BIOREMEDIATION OF WATER FROM HEAVY METAL
POLLUTANTS USING YEAST ISOLATES "**

A Thesis

Submitted to Faculty of Science, Sohag University

for

Ph. D. in Microbiology

BY

Abdelrahem EL-Sayed Abdellateif Hussein

B.Sc. (Botany), Science Faculty, Sohag University (1996).

M. Sc.-Institut. of Envi. Studies and Res. -Ain Shams Univ. (2004).

3th Chemist, Soil, Water and Environ. Res. Instit., -Agric. Res. Center.

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Youssef

Prof. of microbiology- Science faculty- Sohag university

Prof. Dr. Ibtessam Mohamed morsfi

Prof. of Microbiology- Soil, Water and Environment Res. Institute -Agric. Res. Center

Dr. Samia Soliman Ahmed

Lecture of microbiology- Science faculty- Sohag university.

Botany and Microbiology Department,

Faculty of science, Sohag university

2020

CONTENTS

NO	SUBJECT	P
1	<u>INTRODUCTION</u> 1-18	1
1.1.	<u>BACKGROUND OF STUDY</u>	1
1.2.	<u>THE WATER CRISIS</u> (between shortage and contamination)	1
1.2.1.	Water in the world	2
1.2.2.	Water in Egypt	3
1.2.3.	Water in study area	4
1.3.	<u>HEAVY METAL</u>	4
1.3.1.	"Heavy metals" term definition	5
1.3.2.	Heavy metals sources	6
1.3.3.	Heavy metals and human health	6
1.3.3.1.	Heavy metals and cancer	9
1.3.3.2.	Heavy metals and renal diseases	10
1.3.4.	Heavy metals in water	11
1.3.5.	Heavy metals removal	13
1.4.	<u>BIOREMEDIATION</u>	14
1.4.1.	What mean?	14
1.4.2.	Biosorption	15
1.4.3.	Yeast as biosorptent	16
2	<u>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</u> 19-36	19
2.1.	<u>WATER CRISIS</u>	19
2.1.1.	World water crisis	19
2.1.1.	Egypt water crisis	20
2.1.2.	Water crisis in study area	21

2.2.	<u>HEAVY METAL</u>	22
2.2.1.	Environmental Heavy metals	23
2.2.2.	Water and heavy metal pollutants	23
2.2.3.	Heavy metals pollutants and human health	24
2.2.3.1.	Chromium (Cr).	26
2.2.3.2.	Copper (CU).	27
2.2.3.3.	Nickel (Ni)	28
2.2.3.4.	Cadmium (Cd).	30
2.2.3.5.	Lead (Pb).	31
2.3.	<u>BIOREMEDIATION</u>	33
2.3.1.	Biosorption	34
2.3.2.	Yeast role in heavy metal biosorption	35
3	<u>AIM OF THE STUDY</u>	37-37
4	<u>MATERIALS AND METHODS</u>	38-58
4.1.	<u>SAMPLE COLLECTION</u>	38
4.1.1.	Study area	38
4.1.2.	Water samples	39
4.2.	<u>SAMPLES ANALYSIS</u>	41
4.2.1.	Physicochemical analysis	41
4.2.2.	Heavy metal analysis	42
4.2.3.	Isolation of mycobiota	42
4.2.3.1.	Yeast Isolation	42
4.2.3.1.1.	Purification of yeast isolates	42
4.2.3.1.2.	Identification of the isolated Yeast	43
	A- Morphological characters of isolated yeast	43

1. Ascospores formation	43
2. Germ tubes test	43
3. Growth on Chromagar medium	43
B- Physiological characters of isolated yeast	44
1. Fermentation of sugars	44
2. Hydrolysis of urea test	44
3. Growth at different temperature	45
C. Phenotypic identification	45
4.2.3.2. Filamentous fungi isolation	45
4.2.3.2.1. Purification of filamentous fungi	45
4.2.3.2.2. Identification of filamentous fungi	46
4.3. <u>SCREENING OF HEAVY METAL TOLERANT YEAST</u>	46
4.4. <u>BIOSORPTION PREPARATION</u>	47
4.4.1. Preparation of heavy metals solutions	47
4.4.2. Yeast cell mass preparation	47
4.4.2.1. Preparing of stock culture	47
4.4.2.2. Preparation of biomass media	47
1- Date-extract medium	47
2- Potatoes-extract medium	48
3- Bean-extract medium	49
4.4.2.3. Preparation of yeast biomass	49
4.4.3. Biosorption batch	50
4.4.3.1. Biosorption experiment	50
4.4.3.2. Study of optimum biosorption conditions	51
4.4.4. Biosorption study	51

4.4.4.1.	Biosorption equilibrium study	51
4.4.4.2.	Biosorption kinetic study	52
4.4.4.3.	Biosorption isotherm Study	52
4.4.4.3.1.	Langmuir isotherm	53
4.4.4.3.2.	Freundlich isotherm	54
4.5.	<u>FTIR SPECTROSCOPY</u>	55
4.6.	<u>APPLICATION FOR PRETREATMENT OF BEST ISOLATES UNDER OPTIMUM CONDITIONS.</u>	56
4.6.1.	Live cells as biosorptent	56
4.6.2.	Dead cells as biosorptent	56
4.6.3.	Pre-treated cells as biosorptent	57
4.7.	<u>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS</u>	57
5	<u>RESULTS</u> 58-185	58
5.1.	<u>PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES</u>	58
5.1.1.	Temperature	59
5.1.2.	pH	60
5.1.3.	Total dissolved solids (TDS)	62
5.1.4.	Electric conductivity (EC)	64
5.1.5.	The correlation between physical properties of tested samples.	66
5.2.	<u>HEAVY METAL ANALYSIS</u>	68
5.2.1.	Cadmium (Cd)	68
5.2.2.	Chromium (Cr)	70
5.2.3.	Copper (Cu)	71
5.2.4.	Lead (Pb)	73
5.2.5.	Nickel (Ni)	75

5.2.6.	The correlation between heavy metals distribution in tested samples.	77
5.3.	<u>ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF MYCOBIOTA</u>	79
5.3.1.	Yeast isolation and identification	79
5.3.1.1.	Yeast isolation	79
5.3.1.2.	Yeast identification	86
5.3.1.2.1.	Morphological characters of isolated yeast	86
5.3.1.2.2.	Biochemical test of isolated yeast.	91
5.3.2.	Filamentous fungi isolation and identification	97
5.3.2.1.	Filamentous fungi isolation	97
5.3.2.2.	Filamentous fungi identification	104
5.4.	<u>YEAST-HEAVY METAL TOLERANCE</u>	106
5.4.1.	Tolerance yeast strains to lead levels	106
5.4.2.	Tolerance yeast strains to cadmium levels	109
5.5.	<u>SELECTION OF CELL BIOMASS MEDIUM</u>	112
5.6.	<u>BIOSORPTION PROCESS</u>	115
5.6.1.	Heavy metals biosorption experiment	115
5.6.2.	Effect environmental parameters of biosorption.	117
5.6.2.1.	Contact time effect	117
5.6.2.2.	Initial concentration of heavy metal effect	120
5.6.2.3.	Biomass dry weight effect	123
5.6.2.4.	pH effect	126
5.7.	<u>FTIR ANALYSIS</u>	129
5.7.1.	FTIR analysis <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	129
5.7.2.	FTIR analysis <i>P. kudriavzevii</i>	132

5.7.3. FTIR analysis <i>R. mucilaginosa</i>	135
5.8. <u>BIOSORPTION STUDY</u>	138
5.8.1. Equilibrium studies	138
5.8.2. Kinetics study of biosorption	141
5.8.3. Biosorption isotherm study	149
5.8.3.1. Langmuir isotherm	149
5.8.3.2. Freundlich isotherm	151
5.9. <u>PRETREATMENT OF YEAST BIOMASS</u>	153
5.9.1. Dead cells (T1)	153
5.9.2. Alkaline treatment (T2)	157
5.9.3. Ethanol Treatment (T3)	161
5.9.4. Acidic treatment (T4)	165
5.9.5. Water treatment (T5)	169
5.9.6. Glycerol treatment (T6)	173
5.9.7. A comparison pf different treatment methods	177
5.9.8. Isotherm study for the best isolates (<i>Rhodotorula mucilaginosa</i>) at optimum conditions under best pretreatment methods (T2).	183
6 <u>DISCUSSION 186-243</u>	186
7 <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>	244
8 <u>RECOMMENDATIONS (FUTURE WORKS)</u>	248
9 <u>ENGLISH SUMMARY</u>	249
10 <u>REFERENCES 254-293</u>	254
11 <u>ARABIC SUMMARY</u>	

LIST OF TABLES

NO	TABLES	P
1	Clinical aspects of chronic toxicities of some heavy metals	8
2	Current drinking water quality guidelines ($\mu\text{g/l}$) for heavy metals	12
3	Available water resources in Egypt	21
4	Primary natural and anthropogenic sources of metals in the earth's atmosphere.	22
5	Sample sites distribution in study area	40
6	Pretreatment methods applied to 5.0 grams of yeast biomass	57
7	Temperature degree of water samples	59
8	pH values of water samples	61
9	Total dissolved solids (TDS) values of water samples	63
10	Electric conductivity (EC) of water samples	65
11	General physical properties of tested water samples.	67
12	Cadmium (Cd) concentration in water samples (mg/l).	69
13	Chromium (Cr) concentration in water samples (mg/l).	70
14	Copper (Cu) concentration in water samples (mg/l).	72
15	Lead (Pb) concentration in water samples (mg/l).	74
16	Nickel (Ni) concentration in water samples (mg/l).	76
17	Heavy metal concentration in water samples (mg/l).	78
18	Yeast isolated from surface and groundwater samples on YPDA medium	79
19	Yeast isolated from surface water samples using YPDA medium at $30\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ for 3 days.	80

20	Yeast isolated from groundwater samples using YPDA medium at 30±2°C for 3 days.	81 - 83
21	Some morphological characters of isolated yeast	86
22	Biochemical test of isolated yeast species	91
22-1	Fermentation of sugars	91
22-2	Hydrolysis of urea by yeast isolates	92
22-3	Effect of different degree of temperature on yeast isolates	92
23	Yeast genera and species isolated from surface and groundwater samples on YPDA medium.	93
24	Fungal genera and species isolated from 100 µl of surface water samples using PDA medium at 30±2°C for 7 days.	98
25	Fungal genera and species isolated from 100µl of groundwater samples using PDA medium at 30±2°C for 7 days.	99-101
26	Fungal species from surface and groundwater samples on PDA medium	105
27	Efficiency of yeast tolerant strains to different levels of lead metal	108
28	Efficiency of yeast tolerant strains to different levels of cadmium	111
29	Biomass production of selected yeast strains on different media	114
30	Biosorption of heavy metal ions at 100mg/l initial concentration by different yeast strains after 240 min at 28°C using shaking 150 rpm.	116
31	Effect of contact time on the biosorption of heavy metal ions at 100 mg/l initial concentration by different yeast strains after 240min at 28°C using shaking 150rpm	118
32	Effect of initial concentration of heavy metals (mg/l) on the biosorption by different yeast strains after 120 min at 28°C using shaking 150 rpm	121
33	Effect of biomass dose for different yeast strains on biosorption of heavy metals after 120 min at 28°C using shaking 150 rpm	124

34	Effect of different pH levels for yeast strains on biosorption of heavy metals after 120 min at 28°C using shaking 150 rpm	127
35	FTIR spectrum of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (YAE02)-before and after.	131
36	FTIR spectrum of <i>P. kudriavzevii</i> (YAE04)-before and after.	134
37	FTIR spectrum of <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> (YAE010)-before and after	137
38	Cadmium (Cd) equilibrium (mg/g) at optimum conditions (pH 6, 0.25 g/l biomass dose and contact time 120 min) with different initial heavy metal concentration	139
39	Lead (Pb) equilibrium (mg/g) at optimum conditions (pH 5, 0.25 g/l biomass dose and contact time 120 min) with different initial heavy metal concentration.	139
40	Cadmium equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l and pH 6.0).	142
41	Lead equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l and pH 5.0)	143
42	Langmuir isotherm biosorption of Cd and Pb ions by yeast strains.	149
43	Freundlich isotherm biosorption of Cd and Pb ions by yeast strains	151
44	Cadmium uptake (mg/g) by dead biomass under optimum conditions	155
45	Lead uptake (mg/g) by dead biomass under optimum conditions	155
46	Cadmium uptake (mg/g) by alkaline treated biomass under optimum conditions	159
47	Lead uptake (mg/g) by alkaline treated biomass under optimum condition	159
48	Cadmium uptake (mg/g) by ethanol treated biomass under optimum conditions	163
49	Lead uptake (mg/g) by ethanol treated biomass under optimum conditions	163
50	Cadmium uptake by acidic treated biomass (mg/g) under optimum conditions.	167
51	Lead uptake by acidic treated biomass (mg/g) under optimum conditions	167
52	Cadmium uptake by hot-water treated biomass (mg/g) under optimum conditions.	171

53	Lead uptake by hot-water treated biomass(mg/g) under optimum condition	171
54	Cadmium uptake by glycerol treated biomass(mg/g) under optimum conditions	175
55	Lead uptake by glycerol treated biomass(mg/g) under optimum conditions	175
56	Cadmium uptake (mg/g) by pretreated <i>S. cerevisiae</i> under optimum conditions	180
57	Lead uptake (mg/g) by pretreated <i>S. cerevisiae</i> under optimum conditions	180
58	Cadmium uptake by pretreated <i>P. kudriavzevii</i> under optimum conditions	181
58	Lead uptake by pretreated <i>P. kudriavzevii</i> under optimum conditions	181
60	Cadmium uptake by pretreated <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> under optimum condition	182
61	Lead uptake by pretreated <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> under optimum conditions	182
62	Cadmium uptake by pretreated(T2) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> under optimum condition	184
63	Lead uptake by pretreated (T2) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> under optimum conditions	184
64	Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm biosorption of Cd and Pb by pretreated (T2) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> under optimum conditions.	185

LIST OF FIGURES

NO	<u>FIGURES</u>	P
1	The preferred bioremediation methods	13
2	Study area latitude and longitude map	38
3	Collection sample sites	41
4	Population density of yeast species in surface water samples (winter season)	84
5	Population density of yeast species in surface water samples (summer season)	84
6	Population density of yeast species in ground water samples (winter season)	85
7	Population density of yeast species in ground water samples (summer season)	85
8	YAE01 isolate (A) Ovoid to ellipsoidal cell (x100) (B) cells (x40)	87
9	YAE02 isolate (A) Ascus in (x100) (B) Ascus (x100) (C) Ascus reverse color (D) cells (x40)	87
10	YAE03 isolate (A) Germ tube in human serum medium (B) Germ tube in nutrient medium (C) Pseudohyphae (D) cells (x100)	88
11	YAE04 isolate -Elongated to ovoid cell (x100)	89
12	YAE05 isolate- Small elongated cell (x40)	89
13	YAE06 isolate -Elongated to ovoid (x100)	89
14	YAE07 isolate-Small elongated cell (x40)	89
15	YAE08 isolate-Elongated to ovoid cell (x100)	90
16	YAE09 isolate- Elongated to oval cell (x100)	90
17	YAE010 isolate (A) Growth on YPDA medium (B) Spherical to elongate cell- (x100)	90
18	<i>Candida albicans</i> (A) Microscopic photo x100 (B) Slant culture on YEPD-Agar (C) Plate culture on YEPD-Agar.	94
19	<i>Candida glabrata</i> (A) Microscopic photo x40 (B) Slant culture on YEPD-Agar (C) Plate culture on YEPD-Agar	94

20	<i>Candida krusei</i> (A) Microscopic photo x40 (B) Slant culture on YEPD-Agar (C) Plate culture on YEPD-Agar	95
21	<i>Pichia kudriavzevii</i> (A) Microscopic photo x40 (B) Slant culture on YEPD-Agar (C) Plate culture on YEPD-Aga	95
22	<i>Rhodotorula mucilaginosa</i> (A) Microscopic photo x100 (B) Slant culture on YEPD-Agar (C) Plate culture on YEPD-Agar	96
23	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> (A) Microscopic photo x40 (B) Slant culture on YEPD-Agar (C) Plate culture on YEPD-Agar	96
24	Population diversity of filamentous fungal species in surface water samples at winter	102
25	Population diversity of filamentous fungal species in surface water samples at summer season	102
26	Population diversity of filamentous fungal species in groundwater samples at winter season	103
27	Population diversity of filamentous fungal species in groundwater samples at summer season	103
28	Tolerance of yeast strains to different levels of lead and cadmium	111
29	Growth of yeast isolates on Dates-based medium (A) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (B) <i>P. kudriavzevii</i> and (C) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i>	113
30	Biomassing stages of yeast isolates on Dates-based medium (A) Growth (B) Precipitation and (C) Drying	113
31	Biomassing yield (g/l) of yeast isolates on Dates-based medium (A) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> 10.3, (B) <i>P. kudriavzevii</i> 8.7 and (C) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> 6.9	113
32	Biomass yield of yeast species on different culture media	114
33	Heavy metal removal efficiency (%) by different yeast strains	116
34	Uptake of Cd ions (mg/g) by yeast strains at different contact time	119
35	Uptake of Pb ions (mg/g) by yeast strains at different contact time	119
36	Biosorption isotherm of Pb (mg/g) by yeast species at different initial ion concentrations	122

37	Biosorption isotherm of Pb by yeast species at different initial ion concentrations	122
38	Uptake of Cd (mg/g) by yeast strains at different biomass dosage	125
39	Uptake of Pb (mg/g) by yeast strains at different biomass dosage	125
40	Uptake of Cd ions (mg/g) by yeast strains at different levels of pH	128
41	Uptake of Pb ions (mg/g) by yeast strains at different levels of pH	128
42-1	FTIR spectrum of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (YAE02)–Before	130
42.2	FTIR spectrum of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (YAE02)–After Cd loaded	130
42-3	FTIR spectrum of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (YAE02)–After Pb loaded.	130
43-1	FTIR spectrum of <i>P. kudriavzevii</i> (YAE04)–Before	133
43-2	FTIR spectrum of <i>P. kudriavzevii</i> (YAE04)–After Pb loaded	133
43-3	FTIR spectrum of <i>P. kudriavzevii</i> (YAE04)–After Cd loaded.	133
44-1	FTIR spectrum of <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> (YAE010)–Before	136
44-2	FTIR spectrum of <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> (YAE010)–After Pb loaded	136
44-3	FTIR spectrum of <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> (YAE010)– After Cd loaded	136
45	Cadmium equilibrium (mg/g) at optimum conditions (pH 6, biomass dosage 0.25 g/l and contact time 120 min)	140
46	Lead (Pb) equilibrium (mg/g) at optimum conditions (pH 5, biomass dosage 0.25 g/l and contact time 120 min)	140
47	Cadmium equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 6.0) at initial 10.0mg/l Cd concentration	144
48	Cadmium equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 6.0) at initial 20.0mg/l Cd concentration	144
49	Cadmium equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 6.0) at initial 50.0 mg/l Cd concentration	145
50	Cadmium equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 6.0) at initial 100 mg/l Cd concentration	145

51	Cadmium equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 6.0) at initial 150 mg/l Cd concentration	146
52	Lead equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 5.0) at initial 10.0 lead concentration	146
53	Lead equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 5.0) at initial 20.0 lead concentration	147
54	Lead equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 5.0) at initial 50.0 lead concentration	147
55	Lead equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 5.0) at initial 100.0 lead concentration	148
56	Lead equilibrium (mg/g) under optimum conditions (biosorbent weight 0.25 g/l, contact time 120 min and pH 5.0) at initial 150.0 lead concentration	148
57	The linear form of the Langmuir adsorption isotherm of cadmium (Cd) by yeast species at different initial concentrations under optimum conditions (pH 6, biomass dose 0.25 g/l and contact time 120 min)	150
58	The linear form of the Langmuir adsorption isotherm of lead (Pb) by yeast species at different initial concentrations under optimum conditions (pH 6, biomass dose 0.25 g/l and contact time 120 min)	150
59	The linear form of the Freundlich isotherm of cadmium (Cd) by yeast species at different initial concentrations under optimum conditions (pH 6, biomass dose 0.25 g/l and contact time 120 min)	152
60	The linear form of the Freundlich isotherm of lead (Pb) by yeast species at different initial concentrations under optimum conditions (pH 6, biomass dose 0.25 g/l and contact time 120 min)	152
61	Cadmium uptake (mg/g) by dead biomass under optimum conditions	156
62	Lead (Pb) uptake (mg/g) by dead biomass under optimum conditions	156
63	Cadmium uptake (mg/g) by alkaline treated biomass under optimum conditions	160
64	Lead uptake (mg/g) by alkaline treated biomass under optimum condition	160
65	Cadmium (Cd) uptake(mg/g) by ethanol treated biomass under optimum conditions	164

66	Lead (Pb) uptake (mg/g) by ethanol treated biomass under optimum conditions	164
67	Cadmium uptake by acidic treated biomass (mg/g) under optimum conditions	168
68	Lead uptake by acidic treated biomass (mg/g) under optimum Conditions	168
69	Cadmium uptake by hot-water treated biomass (mg/g) under optimum conditions	172
70	Lead uptake by hot-water treated biomass (mg/g) under optimum conditions	172
71	Cadmium uptake by glycerol treated biomass(mg/g) under optimum conditions	176
72	Lead uptake by glycerol treated biomass(mg/g) under optimum conditions	176
73	The heavy metals uptake (mg/g) by pretreated <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	180
74	The heavy metals uptake (mg/g) by pretreated <i>P. kudriavzevii</i>	181
75	The heavy metals uptake (mg/g) by pretreated <i>R. mucilaginosa</i>	182
76	The linear form of Langmuir adsorption isotherm of cadmium (Cd) by pretreated (T2) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> at different initial concentration under optimum conditions	184
77	The linear form of Langmuir adsorption isotherm of lead (Pb) by pretreated (T2) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> at different initial concentration under optimum conditions	184
78	The linear form of the Freundlich isotherm of cadmium (Cd) by pretreated (T2) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> at different initial concentration under optimum conditions	185
79	The linear form of the Freundlich isotherm of lead (Pb) by pretreated (T2) <i>R. mucilaginosa</i> at different initial concentration under optimum conditions	185

8-SUMMARY

Heavy metals pollution become one of the most serious environmental problems. The presence of heavy metals in the environment especially water causes serious health and ecological problems, so that removal of the heavy metals by the microorganisms has given a significant care recently by research community.

The aim of the present investigation is the screening of heavy metal pollutants and mycobiota contamination (yeast and filamentous fungi) in water sources (surface and groundwater) in study area and investigate the ability of yeast isolates to bioremediation of water sources from heavy metal pollutants.

Total of 180 samples were collected from 30 sites (8 surface and 22 groundwater wells) in study area (Sohag governorate, Egypt) during winter and summer season 2018. Six samples for each site, three samples during winter and 3 during summer season.

So the present investigation have been designed and comprised five parts, study of physical properties of water samples tested, determination of heavy metal pollutants (cadmium, lead, chromium, copper and nickel) in surface and groundwater samples tested, isolation and identification of yeast and filamentous fungi, determination the highly active yeast species in heavy metal biosorption as live, dead and pretreatment biomass and study the optimal condition for the highest active yeast isolate used in heavy metal biosorption. The results were summarized as following.

ENGLISH SUMMARY

1- The samples were tested for pH which ranged between (7.1-8.7), temperature (15.1-31.1°C), TDS (141-765) and EC (271-1532) in collection sites to avoid sampling errors.

2- All tested samples (surface and groundwater) have been proven to be free from chromium (Cr) pollutant, while copper (Cu) and nickel (Ni) were recorded in most sites but within permissible limit (2.0 and 0.07 mg/dl respectively) according to WHO, (2011).

3- Cadmium was contaminated 87.5% of surface water samples with maximum (0.051 mg/l) and 72.7% of groundwater samples with maximum (0.035 mg/l) during winter as well as during summer season 87.5% of surface water samples were contaminated with cadmium pollutant with maximum (0.071 mg/l) and 90.9% of groundwater samples with maximum (0.048 mg/l) as indicator of cadmium pollution increasing in summer season.

4- Most surface water sites (87.5%) and 36.4% of tested groundwater samples were contaminated with lead (Pb) pollutant over permissible limit (0.01 mg/l) according to WHO, (2011) during winter with maximum 0.071 and 0.089 mg/l, respectively and this contamination increased during summer season to include all sites of surface water (100%) with maximum 0.108 mg/l and 68.2% of groundwater samples with maximum 0.112 mg/l.

5- Cadmium has positive correlation with Pb and negative with Cu, Ni and Cr in both of surface and groundwater. This is good indication that the pollution with these metals is a result of human activities.

6- All water samples were assayed for yeast (YPDA medium) and filamentous fungi (PDA medium) isolation at $28\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$, where yeast species recorded from different surface water samples were 311 colonies/ml (winter) and 501 (summer), while from groundwater samples were 214 (winter) and 445 (summer) with total count of 812 in surface and 659 in groundwater samples tested.

7- *Candida* was the most common yeast genus (80.9% of general total yeast count), represented by 3 species namely, *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei*. *C. glabrata* was the highly common species that accounted in 46% of general total yeast count), followed by *C. krusei* (29.7%), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (9%), *Pichia kudriavzeui* (6.2%), *C. albicans* (5.2%) and finally *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* represented by 3.9% of total count.

8- As well as, 21 fungal species and 3 species varieties belonging to 11 genera were isolated, from surface water samples (9 genera, 14 species and 2 species varieties) and groundwater samples (9, 16 and 3). As general, the total count of filamentous fungi recorded in tested surface water samples were 367 (winter) and 741 colonies/ml (summer), while in groundwater samples were 989 (winter) and 1817 colonies/ml (summer).

9- *Aspergillus* was the most dominant genus isolated with the richest total count 90.1% of total fungal count. *A. flavus* showed the highest count of *Aspergillus* genus represented in 43.2% of general total filamentous fungi count. *A. niger* came in the second rank (24.8%). With mentioning that, the total count of filamentous fungi was higher in ground than in surface water and in summer than in winter. It was

ENGLISH SUMMARY

recorded 1817 and 741 (summer) and 989 and 367 colonies/ml (winter) in ground and surface water, respectively.

10- Yeast isolates were tested for heavy metals tolerance and the result showed that, *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* and *Pichia kudriavzevii* were the strongest isolates for lead tolerance with MIC (3600 and 3500 mg/l, respectively), while *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were the strongest isolates in cadmium tolerance with MIC (1200 and 1100 mg/l respectively). Therefore, *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*, *Pichia kudriavzevii* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were selected for ongoing experiments.

11- Several media were used for yeast biomassing. Dates-extract based medium (M₂) was the best one for yeast biomassing due to its high content of elemental nutrients, provides a rich substrate for yeast biomass production more than potatoes- and beans-extract based media. The biomassing weights (g/l) on M₂ medium were; *S. cerevisiae* (10.3), *P. kudriavzevii* (8.7) and *R. mucilaginosa* (6.9).

12- The uptake capacity of lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd) increased with increasing initial metal concentration and decreased with increasing biosorbents concentration.

13- The uptake capacity increased with increasing pH until maximum at pH 5.0 for lead (Pb) and 6.0 for cadmium (Cd).

14- Biosorption of heavy metals was a highly pH-dependent process, and pH was imperative during the adsorption process to ensure that biosorption was the only mechanism responsible for metal removal.

15- The ability of yeast biomass to heavy metals removal from water was tested under different conditions of pH, contact time, biomass

ENGLISH SUMMARY

weights and initial heavy metals concentration to determine optimum conditions. The results revealed that, the optimum conditions for Cd removal were at; pH 6, contact time 120 min, biomass weight 0.25g/l and initial metal concentration 100mg/l, as well as, for Pb at pH 5.

17- Among all tested strains *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* was the most efficient strain gave the highest ability to remove Cd and Pb being 44.12% and 97.9%, respectively, whereas *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* removed 30.2% and 83.4% of Cd and Pb ions from solution. Also, *Pichia kudriavzevii* was the lowest efficient strain in Cd and Pb metal removal which reached to 27.3% and 79.2%, respectively.

18- FTIR spectra indicated the high significant role of hydroxyl group on surface of *S. cerevisiae* yeast cell wall, as important sites for metals (cadmium and lead) uptake, in addition to, carboxylic, amido and amino groups. While in *P. kudriavzevii*, alcohols amines and amide groups showed the highly significant role as important sites for metals (cadmium and lead) uptake, in addition to, hydroxyl, carboxyl and alkanes groups. As well as, the hydroxyl group of alcohols and carboxylic acid on surface of *R. mucilaginosa* yeast cell wall, played important role for metals (cadmium and lead) uptake, in addition to, carboxylic, amido and alkanes groups.

19- According to Langmuir isotherm the maximum biosorption capacity q_{\max} (mg/g) under optimum conditions for Cd and Pb by *S. cerevisiae* was 16.81 and 54.05, while by *P. kudriavzevii* was 15.31 and 47.17 and by *R. mucilaginosa* was 22.73 and 89.29 respectively.

20- The effect of different pretreatment methods on biosorption process was tested and the results revealed that, alkaline pretreatment

ENGLISH SUMMARY

method by NaOH (0.5M) was the best improvement method, where the uptake capacities of Cd and Pb (mg/g), respectively by alkaline treated biomass were (18.1 and 30.16), (15.68 and 27.64) and (20.14 and 37.92) by *S. cerevisiae*, *P. kudriavzevii* and *R. mucilaginosa*, respectively.

21- The order of treatment methods was; alkaline >citric >heating (dead cells) >ethanol >untreated >hot-water and glycerol method.

22- Under the optimum conditions, the maximum uptake capacity (q_{\max}) of cadmium and lead was 27.32 and 97.1 mg/g, respectively by *R. mucilaginosa*.

23- Alkaline treatment by NaOH 0.5 M was the best method in improvement Cd and Pb uptake capacity by tested yeast strains.

24- Under the optimum conditions (the best isolate, best conditions and best treatment methods) the maximum uptake capacity (q_{\max}) of Cd and Pb was 27.32 and 97.1 mg/g, respectively.

25- This study confirmed that, *R. mucilaginosa* are very efficient in removing Pb ions from lead-bearing solutions with maximum uptake capacity (q_{\max}) 97.1 and 27.32 mg/g for Cd under optimum conditions.

26- Research performed in this work can serve as a potential incentive for promoting biosorption as a promising alternative to conventional metal treatment methods.

27- Finally, the alkaline pretreatment of *R. mucilaginosa* biomass by NaOH (0.5 M) can be applied as a low-cost and environment friendly method as a biotechnology method for water bioremediation.