



كلية العلوم – قسم الكيمياء



Nanotechnological Approaches for Removal of Some Contaminants from Water

Thesis Submitted by

Mohammed Abd El-Mawgoud Farghali Mustafa

Nanotechnology & Advanced Materials Central Lab (NAMCL)

(M.Sc. in inorganic Chemistry, 2015)

For the requirement of Ph.D. Degree of Science

Inorganic Chemistry

To

Department of Chemistry

Faculty of Science

Ain Shams University

(2021)

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of Contents

Title	Page
List of Contents	i
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	x
List of Abbreviations	xii
Abstract.....	xiv
Keywords:.....	xv
Introduction and Objectives	1
<i>CHAPTER ONE</i>	7
1. Literature review.....	7
1.1. Water scarcity	7
1.2. Water pollution.....	10
1.2.1. Water pollution sources.....	13
1.2.1.1. Industrial Wastes	14
1.2.1.2. Agricultural Wastes.....	15
1.2.1.3. Domestic Wastes and Pathogens pollution	15
1.2.1.4. Radioactive and thermal pollution.....	16
1.2.1.5. Heavy metal pollution.....	17
1.2.1.5.1. Toxicity of selected heavy metals:	18
1.2.1.6. Organic pollution:	22
1.2.1.6.1. Organic dyes pollution:	22
1.2.1.6.2. Toxicity of the ionic dyes:	24
1.2.1.7. Oil pollution:	30
1.3. Wastewater treatment techniques	32
1.4. Nanotechnology and water treatment.....	38
1.4.1. Nanotechnology and challenges	40
1.4.2. Nanotechnology and adsorption technique	40
1.4.3. Nanoadsorbents in wastewater treatment	42

LIST OF CONTENTS

1.4.3.1. Factors affecting the adsorption process	43
1.4.3.1.1. Contact time.....	43
1.4.3.1.2. Initial adsorbate concentration	43
1.4.3.1.3. pH of the solution	44
1.4.3.1.4. Adsorbent dose.....	44
1.4.3.1.5. Temperature	45
1.4.3.2. Nanosorbent of interest.....	45
1.4.3.2.1. Zeolite-A.....	45
1.4.3.2.2. Graphene nanosheets:.....	47
1.4.3.2.3. Polypyrrole nanoparticles:.....	49
1.4.3.2.4. Graphene based nanocomposites materials	50
<i>CHAPTER TWO</i>	54
2. Experimental Methodology	54
2.1. MATERIALS.....	54
2.2. Nanosorbents preparation.....	55
2.2.1. Preparation of GO nanosheets	55
2.2.2. Preparation of Zeolite-A.....	55
2.2.3. Preparation of polypyrrole nanoparticles.....	56
2.2.4. Preparation of the modified mesoporous zeolite-A/ reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite.....	56
2.2.5. Preparation of surface modified mesoporous zeolite-A/reduced graphene oxide/ODA nanocomposite:	57
2.2.6. Preparation of reduced graphene oxide /polypyrrole nanocomposite...	58
2.3. Material characterization and instrumentation	59
2.3.1. X-ray diffraction (XRD)	59
2.3.2. Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR):	60
2.3.3. Field emission scanning electron microscope imaging and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (FESEM & EDX):	61
2.3.4. Transmission electron microscope imaging and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (HR-TEM & EDX):	62

LIST OF CONTENTS

2.3.5. Zeta potential measurement:	64
2.3.6. UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer	64
2.3.7. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry.....	65
2.4. Sorption studies	67
2.4.1. Batch adsorption experiments.....	67
2.4.2. Effect of contact time and kinetics model study	69
2.4.3. Effect of initial adsorbate ion concentration and adsorption isotherms study.....	71
2.4.4. Effect of sorbent mass & pH and thermodynamic studies	74
2.4.5. EDX analysis for nanosorbents after adsorption processes of the heavy metals:.....	76
<i>CHAPTER THREE</i>	77
3. RESULTS & DISCUSSION	77
3.1. Characterization of the optimized MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite for the removal of cationic heavy metal ions and cationic dyes:	77
3.1.1. X-ray diffraction measurement (XRD).....	77
3.1.2. Attenuated total reflectance fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR):	78
3.1.3. Field emission scanning electron microscope imaging and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (FESEM & EDX):	81
3.1.4. Transmission electron microscope imaging and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (HR-TEM & EDX):	82
3.1.5. Zeta potential measurement:	85
3.2. Sorption study using MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.....	86
3.2.1. Sorption study of toxic cationic heavy metal ions (Pb ²⁺ , Cd ²⁺) using MZ- A/RGO nanocomposite	86
3.2.1.1. Effect of contact time	86
3.2.1.2. Effect of initial concentration	90
3.2.1.3. Effect of pH	95
3.2.1.4. Effect of adsorbent dosage	97
3.2.1.5. Effect of temperature and thermodynamic analysis	98
3.2.1.6. EDX analysis after Pb ²⁺ and Cd ²⁺ ions adsorption on MZ-A/RGO:...	101

LIST OF CONTENTS

3.2.2. Sorption study of mineral metal ions (Fe^{3+} , Mn^{2+}) using MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite	104
3.2.2.1. Effect of contact time	104
3.2.2.2. Effect of initial metal concentrations:	108
3.2.2.3. Effect of pH:	112
3.2.2.4. Effect of sorbent dosage:	113
3.2.2.5. Effect of temperature and thermodynamic analysis	115
3.2.2.6. EDX analysis of Fe^{3+} and Mn^{2+} ions adsorption on MZ-A/RGO:	117
3.2.3. Sorption study of cationic dyes (MB & CV) using MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite	120
3.2.3.1. Effect of contact time	120
3.2.3.2. Effect of initial concentration	124
3.2.3.3. Effect of pH	129
3.2.3.4. Effect of sorbent dosage:	131
3.2.3.5. Effect of temperature and thermodynamic analysis	134
3.3. Characterization of the optimized MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposite for the removal of crude oil:	138
3.3.1. X-ray diffraction measurement (XRD)	138
3.3.2. Attenuated total reflectance Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR):	139
3.3.3. Field emission scanning electron microscope imaging and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (FESEM & EDX):	140
3.3.4. Transmission electron microscope imaging and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (HR-TEM & EDX):	142
3.3.5. Zeta potential measurement:	145
3.4. Sorption study of crude oil using MZ-A/RGO/ODA	148
3.4.1. Effect of contact time	148
3.4.2. Effect of initial concentration	152
3.4.3. Effect of pH	156
3.4.4. Effect of sorbent dosage:	157
3.4.5. Effect of temperature and thermodynamic analysis	158

LIST OF CONTENTS

3.5. Characterization of the optimized RGO/Ppy nanocomposite for the removal of anionic heavy metal and anionic dyes:.....	163
3.5.1. X-ray diffraction measurement (XRD).....	163
3.5.2. Attenuated total reflectance fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR)	164
3.5.3. Field emission scanning electron microscope imaging and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (FESEM & EDX):	166
3.5.4. Transmission electron microscope imaging and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (HR-TEM & EDX):	168
3.5.5. Zeta potential measurement:	169
3.6. Sorption study using RGO/Ppy nanocomposite.....	171
3.6.1. Sorption study of toxic anionic heavy metal ion Cr(VI) using RGO/Ppy nanocomposite	171
3.6.1.1. Effect of contact time	171
3.6.1.2. Effect of initial concentration	175
3.6.1.3. Effect of pH	180
3.6.1.4. Effect of adsorbent dosage	182
3.6.1.5. Effect of temperature and thermodynamic analysis	184
3.6.2. Sorption study of anionic dyes (CR and OG) using RGO/Ppy nanocomposite	188
3.6.2.1. Effect of contact time	188
3.6.2.2. Effect of initial dyes concentrations	192
3.6.2.3. Effect of pH:	197
3.6.2.4. Effect of sorbent dosage:.....	199
3.6.2.5. Effect of temperature and thermodynamic analysis	203
Summery	208
References	212
الملخص العربي.....	3
المستخلص العربي	1

LIST OF FIGURES

List of Figures

Figure title	Page
Fig. 1. Distribution of the water on the earth.....	7
Fig. 2. Schematic diagram that illustrate the variety sources of water pollution.....	12
Fig. 3. Chemical structure of methylene blue dye (MB).	26
Fig. 4. Chemical structure of crystal violet dye (CV).	28
Fig. 5. The chemical structure of Congo Red (CR).....	29
Fig. 6. The chemical structure of Orange G (OG).	30
Fig. 7. Nanoscale integration of nanoparticles and biomolecules.....	39
Fig. 8. Fundamental concepts of adsorption	41
Fig. 9. Schematic representation of the framework structure of zeolite A.	47
Fig. 10. Typical preparation methods of GO and RGO from pristine graphite.	49
Fig. 11. In situ chemical oxidation and polymerization process of PPy on RGO.....	53
Fig. 12. Modification of the mesoporous zeolite-A surface with APTMS to enhance the attachment of it on RGO surface to form MZ-A/G nanocomposite.	57
Fig. 13. Functionalization of MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite with ODA.....	58
Fig. 14. In situ chemical oxidation and polymerization process of PPy on RGO.....	59
Fig. 15. X-ray diffractometer	60
Fig. 16. ATR -FTIR Spectrometer.	61
Fig. 17. Field emission scanning electron microscope.....	62
Fig. 18. High-resolution transmission electron microscope.	63
Fig. 19. Zetasizer nano series instrument.	64
Fig. 20. Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer.	65
Fig. 21. Avio 500, PerkinElmer inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry.....	66
Fig. 22. X-ray diffraction patterns of GO, zeolite-A and the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	78
Fig. 23. ATR-FTIR spectra of GO, zeolite-A and the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	80
Fig. 24. SEM image of (a) GO, (b) MZ-A, (c) MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite and (d) EDX spectra of GO, MZ-A and MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.....	82
Fig. 25. TEM image of (a) GO, (b) Electron diffraction pattern of GO, (c) TEM image of MZ-A, (d) TEM image of MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite and (e) EDX spectra of GO and the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.....	84
Fig. 26. Zeta potential profile of the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite in aqueous solution at different pH values.	85
Fig. 27. Effect of contact time on the adsorption of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a), Fitting of the obtained experimental data using the	

LIST OF FIGURES

pseudo-first-order equation (b), The pseudo-second-order equation (c) and the Elovich kinetic model (d).....	89
Fig. 28. Effect of initial adsorbate concentration on the removal of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions from aqueous solution by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a) and the fitting of the experimental adsorption data for Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite using the Freundlich isotherm model (b), Langmuir isotherm model (c), Temkin isotherm model (d) and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) isotherm model (e).	94
Fig. 29. Effect of pH on the adsorption of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	96
Fig. 30. Effect of amount of adsorbent used on the removal of Cd ²⁺ (a) and Pb ²⁺ ions (b) by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	98
Fig. 31. Effect of temperature on the adsorption capacity of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a) and plot of lnK _d versus 1000/T (b).	100
Fig. 32. EDX pattern of MZ-A/RGO before and after adsorption of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions.	102
Fig. 33. Effect of contact time on the adsorption of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ ions on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a), Fitting of the obtained experimental data using the pseudo-first-order equation (b), The pseudo-second-order equation (c) and The Elovich kinetic model (d).....	107
Fig. 34. Effect of initial adsorbate concentration on the removal of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ ions from aqueous solution by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a) and the fitting of the experimental adsorption data for Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ ions on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite using the Freundlich isotherm model (b), Langmuir isotherm model (c), Temkin isotherm model (d) and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) isotherm model (e).	111
Fig. 35. Effect of pH on the adsorption of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ ions by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	113
Fig. 36. Effect of amount of adsorbent of MZ-A/RGO used on the adsorption capacity and the removal efficiency of Fe ³⁺ (A) and Mn ²⁺ ions (B).	115
Fig. 37. Effect of temperature on the adsorption capacity of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a) and plot of ln K _d versus 1000/T (b).	117
Fig. 38. EDX pattern of MZ-A/RGO before and after adsorption of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ metal ions.....	118
Fig. 39. Effect of contact time on the adsorption of MB and CV dyes on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a), Fitting of the obtained experimental data using the pseudo-first-order equation (b), The pseudo-second-order equation (c) and The Elovich kinetic model (d).....	123
Fig. 40. Effect of initial adsorbate concentration on the removal of MB and CV dyes from aqueous solution by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a) and the fitting of the	

LIST OF FIGURES

experimental adsorption data for MB and CV dyes on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite using the Freundlich isotherm model (b), Langmuir isotherm model (c), Temkin isotherm model (d) and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) isotherm model (e).....	128
Fig. 41. Effect of pH on the adsorption of MB and CV ions by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	130
Fig. 42. Effect of amount of adsorbent used on the removal of MB (a), CV (b) by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite, photo graphic for the removal of MB (c) and CV (d) using different MZ-A/RGO dosage.	133
Fig. 43. Effect of temperature on the adsorption capacity of MB and CV by the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a) and plot of $\ln K_d$ versus $1000/T$ (b).	136
Fig. 44. X-ray diffraction patterns of MZ-A/RGO and MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposite.	139
Fig. 45. ATR-FTIR spectra of MZ-A/RGO and MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposites.....	140
Fig. 46. SEM image of MZ-A/RGO (a) and MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposites (b) ...	142
Fig. 47. TEM image of MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite (a), MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposite (b) and EDX spectra of MZ-A/RGO and MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposites (c).....	144
Fig. 48. (a) Zeta potential measurements of MZ-A/RGO and MZ-A/RGO/ODA at different pH, (b) zeta potential distribution histogram of the emulsified oil (c) particle size distribution histogram of the emulsified oil.	147
Fig. 49. Effect of contact time on the adsorption of emulsified oil by the MZ-A/RGO and MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposites (a), fitting of the obtained experimental data using the pseudo-first-order equation (b), the pseudo-second-order equation (c) and the Elovich kinetic model (d).	151
Fig. 50. Effect of initial oil concentration on the removal of emulsified oil by the MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposite (a) and the fitting of the experimental adsorption data emulsified oil on the MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposite using the Freundlich isotherm model (b), Langmuir isotherm model (c), Temkin isotherm model (d) and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) isotherm model (e).	155
Fig. 51. Effect of pH on the adsorption capacity of emulsified oil by the MZ-A/RGO/ODA sorbent (initial concentration of 200 mg/L, adsorbent dosage 0.05 g).	157
Fig. 52. Effect of MZ-A/RGO/ODA dosage on emulsified oil removal with initial oil concentration 200 mg/L.....	158
Fig. 53. Effect of temperature on the adsorption capacity of crude oil by the MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposite (a) and plot of $\ln K_d$ versus $1000/T$ (b).	161
Fig. 54. X-ray diffraction patterns of GO, RGO, Ppy and the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite.	164
Fig. 55. ATR-FTIR spectra of GO, RGO, Ppy and RGO/Ppy nanocomposite.	166

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 56. SEM image of GO (a), RGO (b), Ppy (c), STEM of Ppy (d) and SEM of RGO/Ppy nanocomposite (e).....	167
Fig. 57. TEM image of GO (a), RGO (b), Ppy (c) and RGO/Ppy nanocomposite (d)..	169
Fig. 58. Zeta potential profile of the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite in aqueous solution at different pH values.....	170
Fig. 59. Effect of contact time on the adsorption of Cr(VI) ions on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite (a), Fitting of the obtained experimental data using the pseudo-first-order equation (b), The pseudo-second-order equation (c) and The Elovich kinetic model (d).....	174
Fig. 60. Effect of initial adsorbate concentration on the removal of Cr(VI) ions from aqueous solution by the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite (a) and the fitting of the experimental adsorption data for Cr(VI) on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite using the Freundlich isotherm model (b), Langmuir isotherm model (c), Temkin isotherm model (d) and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) isotherm model (e).	179
Fig. 61. Effect of pH on the adsorption of Cr(VI) ions by the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite.	181
Fig. 62. Effect of RGO/Ppy nanocomposite dosage on Cr(VI) ions removal with initial Cr(VI) ions concentration 200 mg/L (a), photo graphic for the removal of Cr(VI) ions using different RGO/Ppy dosage (c).....	183
Fig. 63. Effect of temperature on the adsorption capacity of Cr(VI) ions by the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite (a) and plot of $\ln K_d$ versus $1000/T$ (b).....	186
Fig. 64. Effect of contact time on the adsorption of CR and OG dyes on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite (a), Fitting of the obtained experimental data using the pseudo-first-order equation (b), The pseudo-second-order equation (c) and The Elovich kinetic model (d).....	191
Fig. 65. Effect of initial adsorbate concentration on the removal of CR and OG dyes from aqueous solution by the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite (a) and the fitting of the experimental adsorption data for CR and OG dyes on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite using the Freundlich isotherm model (b), Langmuir isotherm model (c), Temkin isotherm model (d) and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) isotherm model (e).....	196
Fig. 66. Effect of pH on the adsorption of CR and OG dyes by the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite.	199
Fig. 67. Effect of of adsorbent dosage used on the removal of CR (a) and OG (b) by the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite, photo graphic for the removal of CR (c) and OG (d) using different RGO/Ppy dosage.	202
Fig. 68. Effect of temperature on the adsorption capacity of CR and OG by the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite (a) and plot of $\ln K_d$ versus $1000/T$ (b).....	205

LIST OF TABLES

List of Tables

Table title	Page
Table 1. Merits and demerits of various wastewater treatment technologies	34
Table 2. Kinetic parameters of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions adsorbed on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite using the pseudo-first-order model, the pseudo-second-order model and Elovich kinetic model.	89
Table 3. Parameters for the adsorption of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite obtained by fitting Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D–R isotherms.	95
Table 4. Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	101
Table 5. Comparison of the adsorption capacities of Cd ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ ions in this study with previous work.	103
Table 6. Kinetic parameters of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ adsorption on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite using the pseudo-first-order model, the pseudo-second-order model and Elovich kinetic model.	107
Table 7. Parameters for the adsorption of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ ions on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite obtained by fitting Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D–R isotherms.	112
Table 8. Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ ions on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	117
Table 9. Comparison of the adsorption capacities of Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ ions in this study with previous work.	119
Table 10. Kinetic parameters of MB and CV dyes adsorption on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite using the pseudo-first-order model, the pseudo-second-order model and Elovich kinetic model.	124
Table 11. Parameters for the adsorption of MB and CV dyes on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite obtained by fitting Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D–R isotherms.	129
Table 12. Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of MB and CV on the MZ-A/RGO nanocomposite.	136
Table 13. Comparison of maximum adsorption capacity (q _m) of MZ-A/RGO adsorbent with other reported different adsorbents for MB and CV dyes.	137
Table 14. Fitting kinetic parameters of emulsified oil adsorption on the MZ-A/RGO and MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposites using the pseudo-first-order model, the pseudo-second-order model and Elovich kinetic model.	151

LIST OF TABLES

Table 15. Isotherm parameters for the adsorption of crude oil on MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposite obtained by the fitting of the experimental data using Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D–R isotherms.	156
Table 16. Adsorption thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of crude oil on the MZ-A/RGO/ODA nanocomposite.	161
Table 17. Comparison of crude oil adsorption capacities of MZ-A/RGO/ODA adsorbent with other various oil adsorbent materials.	162
Table 18. Kinetic adsorption parameters of Cr(VI) ions adsorption on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite using the pseudo-first-order model, the pseudo-second-order model and Elovich kinetic model.	175
Table 19. Adsorption isotherm parameters for the adsorption of Cr(VI) ions on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite obtained by fitting Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D–R isotherms.	180
Table 20. Adsorption thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of Cr(VI) ions on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite.	186
Table 21. Comparison of Cr(VI) ions adsorption capacities onto RGO/Ppy nanocomposite adsorbent with other various adsorbent materials.	187
Table 22. Kinetic parameters of CR and OG dyes adsorption on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite using the pseudo-first-order model, the pseudo-second-order model and Elovich kinetic model.	191
Table 23. Parameters for the adsorption of CR and OG dyes on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite obtained by fitting Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D–R isotherms.	197
Table 24. Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of CR and OG on the RGO/Ppy nanocomposite.	206
Table 25. Comparison of maximum adsorption capacity (q_m) of RGO/Ppy nanocomposite adsorbent with other previously reported different adsorbents for CR and OG dyes removal.	207

Abstract

Student Name: **Mohamed Abdel Mawgoud Farghali Mustafa**

Title of the thesis:

“Nanotechnological approaches for removal of some contaminants from water”

In this work different approaches have been used as excellent sorbents for the removal of different types of water contaminants. In which, one approach used the modified mesoporous zeolite-A /reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite (MZ-A/RGO) prepared by hydrothermal method for the high-performance removal of cationic heavy metals contaminants (Cadmium and Lead), groundwater common heavy metals contaminants (Iron and Manganese) and cationic dyes (methylene blue and crystal violet). Second approach used the octadecyl amine modified mesoporous zeolite-A /reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite (MZ-A/RGO/ODA) for the removal of emulsified crude oil. Third approach use reduced graphene oxide/polypyrrole nanocomposite (RGO/Ppy) for the removal of anionic heavy metal (chromium) and anionic dyes (congo red and orange G), which are toxic pollutants of wastewater. Different characterization techniques were used to characterize the prepared sorbents such as X-ray diffraction, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, energy dispersive X-ray analysis, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and zeta potential measurements. Parameters that included the contact time, initial adsorbate concentrations, pH, sorbent dosages and temperature were varied to investigate the removal efficiency of the different adsorbates by adsorbents. The sorption kinetics data were fitted using pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and Elovich kinetic models. In addition, four different adsorption isotherm models – the Freundlich,

ABSTRACT

Langmuir, Temkin and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D-R) – were applied to study the adsorption mechanisms. The results indicate that the equilibrium state was achieved during the first 20 min for all adsorbates, with the maximum adsorption capacity (q_{\max} , mg/g) for Cd^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , Mn^{2+} , MB, CV, emulsified crude oil, Cr(VI), CR and OG were 222.23, 416.67, 333.33, 270.27, 526.32, 714.29, 400.00, 454.55, 714.29 and 476.19, respectively. The adsorption process followed pseudo-second-order kinetics. Furthermore, the Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm models provided the best fit for the different adsorbates adsorption isotherms. The results indicate that the high removal efficiency of the prepared sorbents for removal of different water contaminants from wastewater.

Keywords:

Modified mesoporous Zeolite-A/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite; Modified mesoporous zeolite-A /reduced graphene oxide/octadecyl amine nanocomposite; Reduced graphene oxide/polypyrrole nanocomposite; Adsorption; Removal efficiency; Correlation coefficient; Heavy metals; Dyes; Cadmium; Lead; Iron; Manganese; Methylene blue; Crystal violet; Emulsified crude oil; Chromium; Langmuir isotherm model; Freundlich isotherm model; Pseudo second order.

Supervisors' approval:

Signature:

1- Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. M. Abo-Aly

2- Prof. Dr. Taher Ahmed Salah El-Dien

Prof. Dr. Ayman Ayoub Abdel-Shafi

Head of Chemistry Department

Faculty of Science- Ain Shams University