



Kafrelsheikh University
Faculty of Agriculture
Agric. Eng. Department

DEVELOPMENT OF CULTIVATION MACHINE TO IMPROVE SURFACE IRRIGATION EFFICIENCY FOR SUGARBEET CROP

By

Ramy Bahgat Mohamed Saad

**B.Sc. in Agric. Science (Agric. Mechanization). Faculty of Agric.,
Kafrelsheikh University, 2000**

**M.Sc. in Agric. Science (Agric. Mechanization). Faculty of Agric.,
Kafrelsheikh University, 2008**

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of philosophy**

IN

**AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES
(AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING)**

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

KAFRELSHEIKH UNIVERSITY

(2021)

Contents

Title	Page No.
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1 Sugar beet cultivation	3
2.2 Mechanization of sugar beet production	7
2.2.1 Seedbed preparation for sugar beet production	7
2.2.2 Sugar beet planting and ridging	12
2.2.3 Sugar beet precision farming, weed control and environment	17
2.2.4 Sugar beet harvesting	21
2.3 Sugar beet irrigation	23
2.4 Energy sources utilization	29
2.5 Cost analysis	32
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
3.1 Materials	36
3.1.1 Developed cultivation machine and description	36
3.1.2 Measuring instruments	42
3.1.2.1 Tape	42
3.1.2.2 Digital balance	42
3.1.2.3 Scale	43
3.1.2.4 Irrigation pump	44
3.1.2.5 Electric oven	44
3.1.2.6. Stop-watch	45
3.1.2.7 Soil sampler	45
3.2 Methods	45
3.2.1. Soil physical properties	45
3.2.1.1. Moisture content	45

3.2.2 The experimental Design	46
3.2.3 Cultivation machine performance characteristics	47
3.2.3.1 Accuracy of bed forming	47
3.2.3.2 Weed control efficacy	48
3.2.3.3 Fuel consumption	48
3.2.3.4 Speed of Operation	49
3.2.3.5 The effective field capacity	49
3.2.3.6 Engine brake power	50
3.2.3.7 Energy required per agricultural unit area.	50
3.2.4 Irrigation water behavior	50
3.2.4.1 Irrigation water advance and recession times	50
3.2.4.2 Seasonal applied irrigation water	50
3.2.3.3 Water productivity	51
3.2.5. Sugar beet characteristics	51
3.2.5.1 Growth traits	51
3.2.5.2 Root yield	51
3.2.5.3 Sugar yield and sucrose percentage	51
3.2.6. Cost calculation	51
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	54
4.1 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on the accuracy of bed forming tool: uniformity of top widths and bed heights	54
4.2 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on fuel consumption, litre/h	61
4.3 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on effective field capacity, fed/h	64
4.4 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on fuel consumption, l/fed	67
4.5 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on engine brake power kW	70
4.6 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on the energy required per agricultural unit area, kW.h/fed	72

4.7 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on irrigation water advance and recession times.	74
4.8 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on soil moisture content and water saved.	76
4.9 Sugar beet characteristics	79
4.9.1 Root fresh weight/plant	79
4.9.2 Leaf fresh weight/plant	80
4.9.3 Root diameter	80
4.9.4 Sucrose percentage	82
4.9.5 Root yield	82
4.9.6 Sugar yield	83
4.10 Weed fresh weight and reduction percentage	84
4.11 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on total production cost, LE/fed.	87
4.12 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on water productivity, kg/m ³ .	89
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	91
6. REFERENCES	98
APPENDIX A	110
APPENDIX B	124
ARABIC SUMMARY	1-7

List of Tables

Title	Page No.
Table 2.1: Sugar beet available data published by USDA (2019)	6
Table 3.1: Technical specification and overall dimension of developed cultivation machine	40
Table 3.2: Specification of 25 hp Kubota L1-215 tractor	41
Table 3.3: Soil profiles description and properties	41
Table 3.4 :Particle size distribution and CaCO ₃ content	42
Table 3.5: Specifications of digital balance	43
Table 3.6: Specifications of the electric oven	44
Table. 3.7: Manufacturing price, trade- in value, economic life and hour per year	52
Tables 4.1 Root fresh weight, leaf fresh weight and root diameter of sugar beet crop at harvest as affected by the studied weeding treatments in 2017/2018	81
Tables 4.2 Root fresh weight, leaf fresh weight and root diameter of sugar beet crop at harvest as affected by the studied weeding treatments in 2018/2019	81
Tables 4.3: Sucrose percentage, root yield and theoretical sugar yield of sugar beet crop at harvest as affected by the studied weeding treatments in 2017/2018	83
Tables 4.4: Sucrose percentage, root yield and theoretical sugar yield of sugar beet crop at harvest as affected by the studied weeding treatments in 2018/2019 season	84
Tables 4.5: Weed fresh weight and number before and after the studied weeding treatments in 2017/2018 season	85
Table 4.6: Weed fresh weight before and after the studied weeding treatments in 2018/2019	86
Tables 4.7: Water productivity under different treatments	89

List of Figures

Title	Page No.
Figure: 2.1 Most produced commodity in Egypt in 2018	5
Figure: 2.2 Sugar beet production and planted area in Egypt in 2018	5
Figure: 2.3 Shape of the opener wings	11
Figure: 2.4 Row geometry of sugar beet for pair of rows on bed (a), strip of three rows on bed (b), pair of rows on flat (c) and strip of three rows on flat (b)	14
Figure: 2.5 Fabricated ridging precision planting machine	15
Figure: 2.6 Sketched of elevation and plan views of the developed planting and harvester sugar beet machine	17
Figure: 2.7 General layout of transplanting machine, two vertical belts, the elastic discs and small rollers press	19
Figure: 2.8 Prototype of six-row mechanical weed control cultivator for inter-row areas and band spraying for intra-row areas	22
Figure: 3.1 Furrow opener's attachment to developed cultivation machine	37
Figure: 3.2 Main components of developed cultivation machine	37
Figure: 3.3 Plan, side and elevation views of developed cultivation machine	38
Figure: 3.4 Engineering drawing of developed cultivation machine	39
Figure: 3.5 Actual views of bed formed by developed cultivation machine	40
Figure: 3.6 Tape	42
Figure: 3.7 Digital balance	43
Figure: 3.8 Scale	43
Figure: 3.9 Installed central irrigation pumps	44
Figure: 3.10 Stop watch	45
Figure: 3.11 Soil sampler	45
Figure: 3.12 Layout of experimental field	47
Figure: 3.13 Soil profile meter for measuring bed accuracy	47
Figure: 4.1 Uniformity of top widths and bed heights in plot 1 by using developed cultivation machine	55
Figure: 4.2 Uniformity of top widths and bed heights in plot 2 by using developed cultivation machine	57

Figure: 4.3 Uniformity of top widths and bed heights in plot 3 by using developed cultivation machine	57
Figure: 4.4 Uniformity of top widths and bed heights by using traditional ridging unit	58
Figure: 4.5 Grand average data related to uniformity of top widths and bed heights that formed by the developed machine and traditional ridging unit in 2017 and 2018 respectively after bed forming (BF), first irrigation (AFI), and after second irrigation (ASI)	60
Figure: 4.6 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on fuel consumption, litre/h in 2017/2018 for weed control	62
Figure: 4.7 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on fuel consumption, litre/h in 2018/2019 for weed control	62
Figure: 4.8 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on fuel consumption, litre/h for bed forming	63
Figure: 4.9 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on effective field capacity, Fed/hr in 2017/2018 for weed control	65
Figure: 4.10 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on effective field capacity, Fed/hr in 2018/2019 for weed control	65
Figure: 4.11 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on effective field capacity, Fed/hr bed forming	66
Figure: 4.12 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on fuel consumption, l/Fed in 2017/2018 for weed control	68
Figure: 4.13 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on fuel consumption, l/Fed in 2018/2019 for weed control	68
Figure: 4.14 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on effective field capacity, Fed/hr bed forming	69
Figure: 4.15 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on engine brake power during weed control	70
Figure: 4.16 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on engine brake power during bed forming	71
Figure: 4.17 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on the energy required per agricultural unit area-weed control	72
Figure: 4.18 Effect of using developed cultivation machine on the energy required per agricultural unit area during bed forming	73
Figure: 4.19 Average recorded irrigation advance and recession times in traditional methods in 2017/2018 season	74
Figure: 4.20 Average recorded irrigation advance and recession times in and machine-based treatments in 2017/2018 season	75
Figure: 4.21 Soil moisture content before and after irrigation at depths from 0-30 cm in 2017/2018 season	76
Figure: 4.22 Soil moisture content before and after irrigation at depths from 0-30 cm in 2018/2019 season	77
Figure: 4.23 Total applied irrigation water for four treatments in 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons	78
Figure: 4.24 average production costs under different treatments for 2017/2018 growing season	88
Figure: 4.25 average production costs under different treatments for 2018/2019 growing season	88
Figure: 4.26 Water productivity as root yield per cubic meter of water consumption for different treatments in 2017/2018 and 2018/2019	90
Figure: 4.27 Water productivity as sugar yield per cubic meter of water consumption for different treatments in 2017/2018 and 2018/2019	90

ABSTRACT

The developed multiuse tractor-mounted cultivation machine has been constructed and locally manufactured. The prototype has been developed to perform three main operations (ridging, bed shaping and weed control). The experiments were conducted in a completely randomized design with four replicates. Developed machine was attached to 25 hp Kubota L1-215 tractor and it was evaluated against the accuracy of bed shaping, field operations, weed control efficiency, sugar beet yield and its characteristics, and the irrigation water behavior. Developed cultivation machine and its attached bed former led to more convergent bed top widths and bed heights, thus, furrow to furrow distances and bed side angle were steadier along the planting rows. Using the developed machine and its spaying settings reduced the flow where, half the ground area did not receive herbicide, but the intra-row plants received the same herbicide dosage as for control. This result implied that the herbicide usage and cost, could be reduced by 50% compared to conventional treatment. Higher fuel consumption and engine brake power for traditional method in both years was obtained compared to lower values for the developed multiuse tractor-mounted cultivation machine. Using the developed machine twice in weeding and thus reducing soil clods with developing some compaction, reduced the irrigation advance time by 35% compared to the traditional treatment in 2017/2018. Better moisture content, advance irrigation water times, recession times, sugar yield with higher root and sugar yield were recorded with using the developed machine. Using the developed cultivation machine reduced the total costs due to saving the costs required to operate the main irrigation pump and lowering the fuel consumption with remarkable water productivity. Under conditions of the present work, practicing weeding control process mechanically using the newly designed cultivation machine, having inter-row winged furrow openers, combined with intra-row herbicide spray after 15 days from sowing and repeating the mechanical weeding 10 days later, can be recommended to obtain the highest root and sugar yields per feddan, as well as to decrease costs of cultivation.