



Molecular characterization of shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from calves

By

Amira Ahmed Mahmoud Ragab

**BVSc., Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University 2009,
MVSc., Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Sadat City University, 2015**

THESIS

**Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of**

Philosophy Degree (Ph.D.)

In

Veterinary Medical Science

**Department of Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology
of Veterinary Medicine University of Sadat City**

EGYPT

2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	II
LIST OF TABLES.....	IV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	V
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	VII
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	3
2.1. Occurrence and prevalence of STEC <i>E. coli</i> in calves.....	3
2.2. Bacteriology of <i>E. coli</i>	12
2.2.1. Cultural character and PCR of <i>E. coli</i> O157 and non o157	12
2.3. Pathogenesis and Virulence associated genes of STEC	14
2.4. Antimicrobial sensitivity of STEC.....	22
2.5. Phylogenetic analysis and sequencing of <i>stx1</i> gene.....	24
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	26
3.1. Materials.....	26
3.1.1. animals(calves).....	26
3.1.2 Media used in this study.....	26
3.1.2.1. (Eosin Methylene Blue agar Medium) EMB	26
3.1.2.2. MacConkey's Agar media.....	26
3.1.2.3. Macconkey Sorbitol agar medium supplemented with cefixime tellurite.....	26
3.1.2.5. Muller Hinton agar medium.....	26
3.1.2.6. Semisolid agar medium 0.5%.....	26

3.1.3. Gram's staining reagents.....	26
3.1.4. Antisera for serological identification.....	26
3.1.5. Media and reagents used for biochemical identification of <i>E. coli</i>	27
3.1.6 Virulence genotyping.....	28
3.1.7. Equipment and apparatuses used in cPCR.....	31
3.1.8. Materials used for PCR product purification of MG.....	32
3.1.9. Antimicrobial disks.....	33
3.1.8. Molecular identification of 17 <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	29
3.1.9 Sequencing of <i>stxI</i> gene of five shiga toxin producing <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	30
3.2. Methods.....	34
3.2.1. Collection of samples.....	34
3.2.2. Bacterial isolation.....	34
3.2.3. Purification.....	34
3.2.4. Isolation and identification of STEC.....	34
3.2.5. Biochemical identification.....	35
3.2.6. Serological Identification of the recovered <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	35
3.3.2. Preparation of PCR Master Mix according to 2X DreamTaq Green mastermix kit.....	37
3.3.2.7. Sequencing reaction.....	40
3.3.2.10. Phylogenetic analysis.....	42
3.3.3. Antibiotic susceptibility testing of <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	43
3.3.3.5. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing.....	46
4- RESULTS	47
5-DISCUSSION.....	90
6-CONCLUSION.....	103
7-SUMMARY.....	104
8-REFERENCES.....	106
9-ARABIC SUMMARY.....	130

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
Table (1):	Oligonucleotide primers sequences	30
Table (2):	Diagnostic Antisera used.....	36
Table (3)	Preparation of PCR Master Mix.....	38
Table (4)	Preparation of uniplex PCR Master mix for <i>stx1</i> and <i>stx2</i> genes.....	39
Table (5)	3.3.4. Cycling conditions of the primers during cPCR.....	39
Table (6)	Preparation of master mix using Big dye Terminator V3.1 cycle sequencing kit.....	41
Table (7)	Interpretation of zones of inhibition in disc diffusion method for antibacterial susceptibility.....	42
Table (8)	Prevalence of <i>E. coli</i> in examined samples:.....	47
Table (9)	Prevalence of <i>E. coli</i> in apparently healthy calves.....	48
Table (10)	Prevalence of <i>E. coli</i> in diarrheic calves	49
Table (11):	Biochemical identification of the suspected <i>E. coli</i> positive isolates:....	52
Table (12)	Serotyping of <i>E. coli</i> isolates:.....	55
Table (13)	Serotyping results of 5 non 157 H7 <i>E. coli</i> isolates:	55
Table (14)	serotyping results of 5 UN typable <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	56
Table (15)	serotyping results of 7 O157 H7 <i>E. coli</i> isolates	56
Table (16)	Prevalence of the <i>eaeA</i> gene among <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	58
Table (17)	Prevalence of the <i>hly A</i> genes among <i>E. coli</i> strains	62
Table (18)	Prevalence of the <i>stx1</i> and <i>stx 2</i> genes among <i>E. coli</i> strain	66
Table (19)	Prevalence of <i>eaeA</i> , <i>stx1</i> , <i>stx2</i> and <i>hly</i> genes among isolated <i>E. coli</i> strains using PCR.....	69
Table (20):	Anti microbial sensitivity testing of 5 recovered <i>E. coli</i> strain	71
Table (:21)	Prevalence of the <i>TeT</i> (A) gene among <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	73
Table (:22)	Prevalence of the <i>sull</i> gene among <i>E. coli</i> strains using PCR.....	75
Table (23)	Prevalence of the <i>dfrA</i> gene among <i>E. coli</i> strains using PCR.....	77

Table (24) Prevalence of the *Aada1* gene among *E. coli* strains using PCR.....79

Table(25): Molecular characterization of antibiotic resistance genes in isolated *E. coli* strains:.....81

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Title	page
Figure (1)	Prevalence of <i>E. coli</i> in examined samples.....	47
Figure (2)	Prevalence of <i>E. coli</i> in apparently healthy calves.....	48
Figure (3)	Prevalence of <i>E. coli</i> in diarrheic calves	49
Figure (4)	Colonial appearance on different media used and some biochemical tests ...	53
Figure (5)	Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at 248 bp for <i>eaeA</i> gene in 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide among isolated un typable and non O157 H7 <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	59
Figure (6)	Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at 248 bp for <i>eaeA</i> gene in 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide among isolated O157 H7 <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	60
Figure (7)	Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at 1177 bp for <i>hly</i> gene in 1.5% agarose stained with ethidium bromide among isolated un typable and non O157 H7 <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	63
Figure (8)	Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at 1177 bp for <i>hly</i> gene in 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide among isolated O157 H7 <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	64
Figure (9)	Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at (614 and 779) bp for <i>stx1</i> and <i>stx2</i> genes in 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide among isolated un typable and non O157 H7 <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	67
Figure (10)	Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at (614 and 779)bp for <i>stx1</i> and <i>stx2</i> genes in 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide among isolated O157 H7 <i>E. coli</i> strain.....	68
Figure (11)	Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at 576bp for <i>TeT(A)</i> gene in 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide of 5 recovered <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	65
Figure (12)	Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at 433bp for <i>sul1</i> gene in 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide of 5 recovered <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	74

Figure (13) Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at 425bp for <i>dfrA</i> gene in 1.5%agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide of 5 recovered <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	76
Figure (14) Electrophoretic pattern of PCR products at 484bp for <i>Aada1</i> gene in 1.5%agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide of 5 recovered <i>E. coli</i> strains...	78
Figure (15) Nucleotide alignment report for five <i>stx1</i> gene sequence.....	80
Figure (16) Amino acid alignment report for five <i>stx1</i> gene e sequence.....	83
Figure (17) Sequence distance between the samples of the five recovered <i>E. coli</i> strain	84
Figure (18) Phylogenetic tree of the Five recovered <i>E. coli</i>.....	86

Summary

Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* is a contaminant of food and water that in humans causes a diarrheal syndrome followed by more severe disease of the kidneys and an array of symptoms of the central nervous system. The isolation of Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) from diarrheic and apparently healthy calves is problematic due to the lack of differential phenotypic characteristics from non pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. The development of molecular reagents capable of identifying both toxin and serogroup specific genetic determinants holds promise for a more comprehensive characterization of stool samples and isolation of STEC strains. In this study, 300 stool samples from diarrheic and apparently healthy calves were screened for STEC using PCR targeting Shiga toxin determinants. In addition, routine culture methods for isolating O157 and non O157 STEC were also performed. The screening assays of serotyping isolates revealed detection of 7 (4.1%) of O157H7, 156 (92.8%) of non O157 and 5 (3.1%) for Un typable strains. These included serotypes of O157H7 and O26 from diarrheic sample, while detected O78, O55 and O126 from apparently healthy calves.

17 isolates of *Escherichia coli* were tested by PCR for detection of 4 genes included shiga toxin genes (*eaeA*, *stx1*, *stx2* and *hly*), results showed detection of 8 genes of *eaeA* with percentage of (47.1%), 5 genes of *stx1* with percentage of (29.4%) while 6 genes of *hly* with percentage of (35.3%) and *stx2* gene could not be detected.

Multiple drug resistance patterns among the 5 STEC (*stx1*) for detected *Aada1*, *TeT(A)*, *sul1* and *dfrA* genes by PCR showed in the present study in Table (25) that *Aada1* gene was detected in all 5 STEC (*stx1*) strains with percentage of (100%) which highly resistance to gentamycine and streptomycine while detected *TeT(A)* gene in 3 STEC (*stx1*) strains with percentage of (60%) which resistance to Tetracycline and detected *sul1* gene in 1 STEC (*stx1*) strains with percentage of (20%), which resistance to slupha and detected *dfrA* gene in 1 STEC (*stx1*)

strains with percentage of (20%) which Resistance to Trimethoprim from tested samples.

The high rate of STEC isolation and the diversity of STEC serogroups described here in point to calves as important reservoirs of STEC in our setting. A prevalence of STEC in both diarrheic and apparently healthy calves.

Escherichia coli serogroups associated with human disease may indicate a source of potential public health risk in our community. The presence of virulence associated traits in these isolates is currently being investigated.

The partial coding of gene sequencing of shiga toxin gene in the five yielded PCR positive shiga toxin producing *E. coli* strains done in elim biopharmaceuticals (California,USA)and deposited in Gene Bank under the following numbers :

- 1- M K 950774 *E. coli* O157H7 (AM1) Egypt.
- 2- M K 950775 *E. coli* O157H7 (AM2) Egypt.
- 3- MK 950776 *E. coli* O55 (AM3)Egypt.
- 4- M K 950777 *E. coli* O126(AM4)Egypt.
- 5- MK 950778 un typable *E. coli* (AM5)Egypt.

Nucleotide identity percentage was 100%between *E. coli* O 157H7(AM1)(Accession no. MK 950774)and the un typable *E. coli* strain(AM5)(Accession no. MK 950778).

Also , nucleotide identity percentage was 100%between *E. coli* O55(AM3)(Accession no. MK950776)and *E. coli* O126(AM4)(Accession no. 950777).

And the nucleotide identity percentage was 99.8%between *E. coli* O157H7 (AM1)(Accession no. MK 950774), un typable *E. coli* strain(AM5)(Accession no. MK 950778), *E. coli* O55(AM3)(Accession no. MK950776) and *E. coli* O126(AM4)(Accession no. 950777).

Conclusions:

It is clear that STEC are highly pathogenic and that virulence is not dependent on a single gene or gene product but is a multi factorial process.

The organism can survive in water and a wide range of foods including acidic products and then remain viable after transit through the acidic environment of the stomach. Colonization of the bowel, mediated by one or more of a range of potential adhesions

In conclusion, the high rate of STEC isolation and the diversity of STEC sero groups described here in point to calves as important reservoirs of STEC in our setting. A prevalence of STEC in both diarrheic and apparently health calves revealing its important role of these animals as a reservoir of potentially pathogenic *E. coli* in humans. *Escherichia coli* sero groups associated with human disease may indicate a source of potential public health risk in our community. The presence of virulence associated traits in these isolates is currently being investigated.

Knowledge of the prevalence of non O157 STEC in various sources is essential to design effective intervention strategies to prevent food borne illness outbreaks in humans. There are several studies reporting the prevalence of non O157 *E. coli* serogroups in cattle feces.