

Kafrelsheikh University
Faculty of Agriculture
Animal Production Department



**Effect of adding Flaxseed oil to Friesian calves feeds on growth
performance and carcass characteristics and quality**

By

Hesham Metwally Abbas Hamada

B.Sc. Fac. of Agric. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 1999

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master

(M.Sc.)

In

Agriculture Science

(Animal Production)

Department of Animal Production

Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University

2021

Abstract

Thirty Friesian calves were randomly divided into 3 groups, in G1 (control), animals fed basal diet, while in G2 and G3, they were supplemented with 2% and 4%FSO, respectively, for 8 months. The obtained results revealed that calves fed diet supplemented with 2% (G2) and 4% (G3) FSO had significantly higher TDN and DCP intake ($P < 0.01$) and average daily gain ($P < 0.05$) than G1. Additionally, G3 showed significantly higher hot carcass weight ($P<0.001$), dressing % ($P<0.05$), fat weight ($P<0.05$), boneless meat weight ($P<0.001$), 9-11th ribs cut weights ($P<0.05$), DM ($P<0.01$), CP ($P<0.05$), and CF ($P<0.05$) in eye muscle, and general cooked meat quality ($P<0.05$) than G1. However, meat of G3 had significantly ($P<0.05$) lower water-holding capacity than G1. Meat of G3 had higher concentration of unsaturated fatty acids as well as omega-3 followed by G2, while G1 had the lowest values. With these results, we could conclude that flaxseed oil supplementation in calves diets could improve growth performance, and carcass qualityand increase omega-3 FA in animal meat.

Keywords: Friesian bulls; carcass traits; meat quality; fatty acid profile.

LIST OF CONTENT

1.INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. Flaxseed	3
2.2. Antioxidant Effects	4
2.3 α - linolenic Acid	5
2.4. Growth performance	5
2.4.1. Feed intake	5
2.4.2. Rumen activity	6
2.4.3. Body weight gain	8
2.4.4. Feed gain ratio	9
2.5. Carcass Characteristics	9
2.5.1. Carcass traits	9
2.5.2. Meat quality	10
2.5.3. Eye Muscle Area	12
2.5.4. Subcutaneous Fat depth	12
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	18
3.1. Animals:	18
3.2. Feeding system and management:	18
3.3. Experimental procedures:	19
3.4. Digestion coefficients:	20
3.5. Rumen fluid parameters:	20
3.6. Blood sampling:	21
3.7. Feed conversion:	21
3.8. Economic efficiency	21
3.9. Slaughter and sampling procedures:	21
3.10. Carcass characteristics:	22

3.11. Physical characteristics of eye muscle:	22
3.12. Meat quality:	23
3.13. Fatty acid composition:	23
3.14. Statistical analysis:	23
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	24
<i>4.1. Digestibility coefficients and nutritive values.</i>	24
<i>4.2. Rumen parameters</i>	25
<i>4.3. Hematological parameters:</i>	28
4.3.1. Red blood cells count (RBCs):	28
4.3.2. Hemoglobin concentration:	30
4.3.3. Packet cell volume (PCV %):	31
4.3.4. White blood cells count (WBCs):	33
<i>4.4. Growth performance:</i>	34
4.4.1. Feed intake:	34
4.4.2. Live body weight:	35
4.4.3. Average daily gain:	37
4.4.4- Feed conversion:	39
4.4.5- Economic efficiency:	40
<i>4.5. Carcass characteristics:</i>	41
4.5.1- Carcass characteristics:	41
4.5.2. Carcass components:-	44
4.5.3. Weight (kg) of organs:-	45
4.5.4. Weight (kg) of offal:-	46
4.5.5. Carcass fat:-	47
<i>4.6. Eye (longissimusdorsi) muscle:</i>	49
4.6.1. Carcasses traits:	49
4.6.2. Physical characteristics of eye muscle:-	51
4.6.3. Chemical composition:	53

<i>4.7. Meat quality:</i>	54
<i>4.8. Fatty acids profile:</i>	56
5. Summary	62
6.conclusion	65
7.References	66
8.Arabic summary	

List of tables

Table (1) Chemical composition of feed stuffs and experimental rations.	19
Table (2) Digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of experimental rations.	25
Table (3): Effect of flaxseed oil supplementation on rumen liquor activity.	26
Table (4): Means and standard errors of red blood cells ($\times 10^6$ /ml) count of Friesian calves at different age intervals.	29
Table (5): Means and standard errors of hemoglobin concentration (g/dl) of Friesian calves at different age intervals.	30
Table (6)): Means and standard errors of Packet cell volume (PCV %) of Friesian calves at different age intervals.	32
Table (7): Means and standard errors of White blood cells count (WBCs) of Friesian calves at different age intervals.	33
Table (8): Feed intake of Friesian calves fed experimental rations.	34
Table (9): Means and standard errors of live body weight (kg) of Friesian calves at different ages.	36
Table (10): Means and standard errors of average daily gain (kg/day) of Friesian calves at different age intervals.	38
Table (11): Feed conversion ratio of Friesian calves fed experimental rations.	40
Table (12) Economic efficiency of calves fed experimental rations.	41
Table (13). Carcass characteristics of Friesian calves fed experimental rations.	42
Table (14). Carcass components of Friesian calves fed experimental rations.	45
Table (15). Weight (kg) of organs of Frisian calves fed experimental ration.	46

Table (16). Weight (kg) of offals of Frisian calves fed experimental ration.	47
Table (17). Weight (kg) of carcass fat of Frisian calves fed experimental ration.	48
Table (18): Carcasses traits of eye (<i>longissimusdorsi</i>) muscle of Frisian calves fed experimental ration.	50
Table (19). Physical characteristics of eye muscle of Frisian calves fed experimental ration	53
Table (20): Chemical composition of eye (<i>longissimusdorsi</i>) muscle of Frisian calves fed experimental ration.	54
Table (21): Taste test of meat of Frisian calves fed experimental ration	55
Table (22): Fatty acid profile of total lipids in <i>longissimusthoracis</i> muscle of Frisian calves fed.	60
Table (23): Fatty acid profile of total lipids in <i>longissimusthoracis</i> muscle of Frisian calves fed.	61

List of figures

Figure (1): Ruminal pH value of Friesian calves in different groups.	27
Figure (2): Ruminal ammonia-N concentration of Friesian calves in different groups.	27
Figure (3): Ruminal TVFAs concentration of Friesian calves in different groups.	28
Figure (4): Effect of flaxseed oil on red blood cells count of Friesian calves at different ages.	29
Figure (5): Hemoglobin concentration of Friesian calves at different ages.	31
Figure (6): Packet cell volume (PCV %) of Friesian calves at different ages.	32
Figure (7): White blood cells count of Friesian calves at different ages.	33
Figure (8); Live body weight (kg) of Friesian calves at different ages.	36
Figure (9): Average daily gain (kg/day) of treated and control Friesian calves at different age intervals.	39
Figure (10): Effect of hot carcass weight of treated and control Friesian calves.	43
Figure (11): Effect of dressing percentage of treated and control Friesian bulls.	43
Figure (12): Effect of boneless meat percentage of treated and control Friesian calves.	44
Figure (13): Effect of total fat of treated and control Friesian calves.	49
Figure (14): Effect of carcasses traits of eye (<i>longissimusdorsi</i>) muscle of treated and control Friesian bulls.	50
Figure (15): Effect of meat quality of treated and control Friesian calves.	56