

EFFECT OF SOME AGRONOMIC TREATMENTS ON YIELD, LINT AND YARN QUALITY PROPERTIES OF EGYPTIAN COTTON

By

Fadia Sabry Abdel-Khalik Tolba

B. Sc. Agric. Sci., Fac. of Agric. Cairo University, 2008 Full field the course requirements equivalent for the B. Sc., in Agron., Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor- Benha Univ., 2014 M.SC. Agric. Sci. (Agronomy), Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ., 2017.

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of PHILOSOPHY DOCTOR IN AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES Crop Production Department of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture Benha University 2021

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station (Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate), Agricultural Research Center, Egypt, during two successive seasons of 2019 and 2020 to study the performance of two Egyptian cotton varieties, *i.e.*, Giza 94 and Giza 97 (promising hybrid of $[(G.89 \times R.101) \times G.86] \times G.94$) as affected by foliar spray with natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates, *i.e.*, control (full dose of mineral fertilization rates with 60 kg N, 30 Kg P₂O₅ and 48 kg K₂O/fed [A], 75 % A and foliar spray of compost tea, 75 % A and foliar spray of algae extract, 50 % A and foliar spray of compost tea with algae extract, 50 % A and foliar spray of algae extract and 50 % A and foliar spray of compost tea with algae extract. The two experiments were laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with four replicates.

Results showed that almost cotton growth, yield, yield components as well as fiber and yarn properties under study were differed significantly among the two Egyptian cotton verities (Giza 94 and Giza 97) in the 2019 and 2020 seasons. While, mean values in No. of un-opened bolls/plant and fiber maturity ratio in the two seasons, opened bolls percentage and bolls shedding percentage in the second season were not significantly affected by Egyptian cotton varieties under study. Growing the promising cotton variety of Giza 97 significantly gave the maximum mean values in No. of sympodial/plant, No. of fruiting sites/plant, No. of opened bolls/plant, No. of total bolls/plant, opened bolls percentage, seed cotton yield/plant, lint cotton yield/plant, boll weight, lint percentage, seed cotton yield/fed, lint cotton yield/fed, upper half mean length, fiber uniformity index, fiber bundle strength, fiber elongation, micronaire value, fiber yellowness degree, fiber diameter, fiber circumference and lea count strength product in addition to recording the lowest bolls shedding percentage, fiber brightness degree, yarn unevenness/100 m and No. of neps/100 m in the two seasons.

Results indicated that all growth, yield, yield components as well as fiber and yarn properties of Egyptian cotton were significantly influenced foliar spray with seven studied natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates except No. of monopodial/plant, No. of unopened bolls/plant, bolls shedding percentage, seed index, fiber uniformity index, fiber maturity ratio and yarn unevenness/100 m were not significantly affected in 2019 and 2020 seasons. Cotton plants treated with 75 % and foliar spray of compost tea plus algae extracts significantly produced the maximum mean values of plant height, No. of sympodial/plant, No. of fruiting sites/plant, No. of opened bolls/plant, No. of total bolls/plant, opened bolls percentage, seed cotton yield/plant, lint cotton yield/plant, boll weight, lint percentage, lint index, seed cotton yield/fed, lint cotton yield/fed, upper half mean length, fiber bundle

strength, fiber elongation, micronaire value, fiber brightness degree, fiber diameter, fiber circumference and lea count strength product in 2019 and 2020 seasons, respectively, in addition to recording the lowest fiber yellowness degree and No. of neps/100 m in both seasons respectively, followed by cotton treated with 75 % A and foliar spray of algae extract treatment. On the other hand, the lowest mean values of almost traits were obtained from cotton sowing under fertilized by 50 % A and foliar spray of compost tea in both seasons, respectively. While, Cotton plants treated with 100 % mineral fertilizer treatment markedly recorded the lowest mean values of No. of opened bolls/plant and opened bolls percentage in both seasons.

Results illustrated that the interaction effect among Egyptian cotton varieties and fertilization treatments induced significant differences on almost growth, yield, yield components as well as fiber and yarn properties except No. of monopodial/plant, No. of unopened bolls/plant, seed index, lint index, upper half mean length, fiber uniformity index, fiber elongation %, fiber maturity ratio and yarn unevenness/100 m during the both seasons. Egyptian cotton variety of Giza 97 when received 75 % A and foliar spray of compost tea plus algae extracts produced the height mean values in No. of sympodial/plant, No. of fruiting sites/plant, No. of opened bolls/plant, No. of total bolls/plant, opened bolls percentage, seed cotton yield/plant, lint cotton yield/plant, boll weight, lint percentage, seed cotton yield/fed, fiber bundle strength, micronaire value, fiber diameter, fiber circumference and lea count strength product as well as recorded the lowest mean values of No. of neps/100 m during the both seasons. On the other hand, planting Giza 94 variety treated with 50 % A and foliar spray of compost tea gave the lowest mean values in almost traits during the both seasons.

Conclusion

Based on the this study it could be concluded that, growing promising cotton variety of Giza 97 treated by 75 % of NPK (A) along with foliar spray of compost tea and algae extract treatment produced the maximum seed cotton yield and lint cotton yield as well as the properties fiber and yarn have improved.

Keywords: Egyptian cotton varieties, compost tea, algae extract, mineral fertilization rates, fiber and yarn properties

CONTENTS

| Title | | Page |
|------------------------|--|--------|
| | | number |
| Introduction | | 1 |
| Review of Liter | rature | 4 |
| 1) Perform | ance of cotton varieties | 4 |
| 1.1. | Performance of cotton varieties on | |
| | vegetative growth, yield and its | |
| | components. | 4 |
| 1.2. | Performance of cotton varieties on fiber and | |
| | yarn technological properties | 9 |
| 2) Effect of | f natural extracts and mineral fertilization | l |
| rates | | 15 |
| 2.1. | Effect of natural extracts and mineral | |
| | fertilization rates on vegetative growth, | |
| | yield and its components. | 15 |
| 2.2. | Effect of natural extracts and mineral | |
| | fertilization rates on fiber and yarn | |
| | technological properties | 18 |
| 3) The inter | raction effect | 21 |
| 3.1. | Effect of the interaction on vegetative | |
| | growth, yield and its components. | 21 |
| 3.2. | Effect of the interaction on fiber and yarn | |
| | technological properties. | 21 |
| Materials and 1 | Methods | 22 |
| Results and Dis | scussion | 30 |
| Materials and 1 | technological properties. Methods | |

| A- Vegetative | growth, yield and yield components | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| characters: | | 30 |
| 1- | Plant height (cm) | 30 |
| 2- | Number of monopodial/plant | 33 |
| 3- | Number of sympodial/plant | 35 |
| 4- | Number of fruiting sites/plant | 39 |
| 5- | Number of opened bolls/plant | 42 |
| 6- | Number of un-opened bolls/plant | 45 |
| 7- | Number of total bolls/plant | 47 |
| 8- | Opened bolls percentage (%) | 50 |
| 9- | Bolls shedding percentage (%) | 53 |
| 10- | • Seed cotton yield/plant (g) | 55 |
| 11- | • Lint cotton yield/plant (g) | 58 |
| 12- | Boll weight (g) | 61 |
| 13- | • Seed index (g) | 64 |
| 14- | Lint percentage (%) | 66 |
| 15- | Lint index (g) | 69 |
| 16- | Seed cotton yield/fed (kentar) | 72 |
| 17- | Lint cotton yield/fed (kentar) | 75 |
| B- Fiber and yarn properties: | | |
| B.1. Fib | er properties: | 79 79 |
| 1- | Upper half mean length (mm) | 79 |

| 2 | - Fiber un | iformity index (%) | 82 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|
| 3 | - Fiber bu | ndle strength (g/tex) | 84 |
| 4 | - Fiber elo | ongation percentage (%) | 87 |
| 5 | - Microna | ire value | 89 |
| 6- Fiber maturity ratio | | 92 | |
| 7 | - Color at | tributes | 94 |
| | i. | Fiber brightness degree (%) | 94 |
| | ii. | Fiber yellowness degree | 97 |
| 8 | - Fiber dia | ameter (µ) | 100 |
| 9 | - Fiber cir | cumference (µ) | 103 |
| B.2. Y | B.2. Yarn spinning properties: | | |
| 1 | - Lea c | ount strength product | 106 |
| 2 | - Yarn | unevenness/100 m (cv %) | 109 |
| 3 | - Numb | per of neps/100 m | 111 |
| Summary | | | 114 |
| References | | | 122 |
| Arabic Summa | ary | | |

LIST OF TABLES

.....

| Table number | The Table title | Page number |
|-----------------|---|----------------|
| 1 | Type and pedigree of studied Egyptian cotton varieties | 22 |
| 2 | Chemical analysis of algae extract | 23 |
| 3 | Nutrient contents of the extracted compost tea | 24 |
| 4 | Chemical and mechanical analysis of the experimental soil of the two growing seasons (2019 and 2020) | 25 |
| 5 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on plant height (cm) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 31 |
| 6 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on No. of monopodial/plant during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 34 |
| 7 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on No. of sympodial/plant during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 38 |
| 8 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on No. of fruiting sites/plant during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 41 |

| 9 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
|----------|---|----------|
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on No. of opened bolls/plant during 2019 | |
| | and 2020 seasons | 44 |
| 10 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on No. of un-opened bolls/plant during | |
| | 2019 and 2020 seasons | 46 |
| 11 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on No. of total bolls/plant during 2019 | |
| | and 2020 seasons | 49 |
| 12 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on opened bolls percentage (%) during | |
| | 2019 and 2020 seasons | 52 |
| 13 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during | |
| | | 54 |
| 14 | interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during | 54 |
| 14 | interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 54 |
| 14 | interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | 54 |
| | interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasonsEffect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | 54 57 |
| 14 15 | interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on seed cotton yield/plant (g) during | |
| | interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on seed cotton yield/plant (g) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | |
| | interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on seed cotton yield/plant (g) during 2019 and 2020 seasons Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | interaction on bolls shedding percentage (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on seed cotton yield/plant (g) during 2019 and 2020 seasons Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |

| 16 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on boll weight (g) during 2019 and 2020 | |
|--------|--|----|
| | seasons | 63 |
| 17 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on seed index (g) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 65 |
| 18 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on lint percentage (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 68 |
| 19 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on lint index (g) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 71 |
| 20 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on seed cotton yield/fed (kentar) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 74 |
| 21 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on lint cotton yield/fed (kentar) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 78 |
| 22 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on upper half mean length (mm) during | - |
| | 2019 and 2020 seasons | 81 |

| 23 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
|----|--|----|
| | interaction on fiber uniformity index (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 83 |
| 24 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on fiber bundle strength (g/tex) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 86 |
| 25 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on fiber elongation (%) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 88 |
| 26 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on micronaire value during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 91 |
| 27 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on fiber maturity ratio during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 93 |
| 28 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on fiber brightness degree (Rd %) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 96 |
| 29 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts and mineral fertilization rates as well as their interaction on fiber yellowness degree (+b) during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 99 |

| 30 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
|----|---|-----|
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on fiber diameter (μ) during 2019 and | |
| | 2020 seasons | 102 |
| 31 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on fiber circumference (µ) during 2019 | |
| | and 2020 seasons | 105 |
| 32 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on lea count strength product during | |
| | 2019 and 2020 seasons | 108 |
| 33 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on yarn unevenness/100 m (c.v. %) | |
| | during 2019 and 2020 seasons | 110 |
| 34 | Effect of Egyptian cotton varieties, natural extracts | |
| | and mineral fertilization rates as well as their | |
| | interaction on No. of neps/100 m during 2019 and | |
| | 2020 seasons | 113 |
| | | |