

**COMBINING ABILITY AND HETEROSIS
ANALYSIS USING DIALLEL CROSS
BETWEEN SOME COTTON
GENOTYPES**

**BY
TAREK SHAKER GOMAA SALEM**

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
The requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in
Agriculture Science
(Agronomy)

**Department of Agronomy
Faculty of Agriculture
Zagazig University
2020**

ABSTRACT

Cotton is important fiber crop plays a major role in economy and national income of several countries in the world. Estimating combining ability and gene action could support cotton breeders to select potential parents and promising F1 crosses as well as identifying applicable breeding strategies for realizing high-yielding varieties with high fiber quality. The aim of current study was to estimate general combining ability for diverse six cotton genotypes (*Gossypium barbadense* L.) and specific combining ability for their realized F1 crosses from half diallel mating. Besides, to determine the gene action for earliness, yield and related traits as well as fiber quality parameters. Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications was applied to evaluate the six parents and their fifteen F1 crosses in Experimental Farm of Sids Research Station, Beni-Suef, Egypt. The obtained results displayed highly significant differences among parental genotypes and their F1 crosses for all evaluated traits. Moreover, General (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability effects were highly significant for all tested traits. Otherwise, the ratio of GCA/SCA was less than unity for all evaluated traits, which proposed the importance of non-additive gene action in inheritance of these traits. Furthermore, the results of components of genetic variance revealed to significant contribution for additive and non-additive gene actions in the inheritance of all studied traits. Otherwise, it was observed more contribution of dominance effects in inheritance of these traits compared to additive ones. The dominance effects for these traits proposing that selection in early generations may not be useful and it should be delayed to advanced generations.

The parents P2 and P3 exhibited lowest values of earliness traits; P2 and P5 surpassed the other parents in yield related traits; P1, P3 and P5 exhibited highest values of fiber quality parameters. Moreover, P2 presented good combining ability for reducing earliness traits, and P5 demonstrated good combining ability for increasing yield and contributing traits as well as P3 possessed good combining ability for increasing fiber quality parameters. Besides, the hybrids; P2×P5, P2×P6, P3×P5, P3×P6, P4×P5 P4×P6, and P5×P6 displayed lowest values of earliness traits; and P1×P2, P3×P6, P4×P5 and P4×P6 surpassed the parents and the other hybrids in yield traits; as well as P1×P3, P1×P5, P2×P5, P2×P6, P3×P4 and P5×P6 demonstrated highest values of fiber quality parameters. The crosses; P2×P5, P2×P6, P3×P5, P4×P5 and P4×P6 exhibited highest significant and negative SCA effects for reducing number of earliness traits. The crosses; P1×P2, P3×P6, P4×P6 and P5×P6 displayed the highest significant and positive SCA values for yield and related traits. The crosses; P2×P5, P2×P6 and P3×P4 exhibited the highest significant positive SCA values for fiber quality parameters. Additionally, Wr-Vr graphs revealed to high degree of genetic diversity for parents with different degrees of dominance and different distribution of dominant and recessive alleles in the parental genotypes for all evaluated traits. Boll weight/plant and number of opened bolls/plant exhibited highest positive direct effect on seed and lint cotton yield/plant. Which indicates the effectiveness of direct selection of these traits for achieving high seed and lint cotton yield/plant. On the other hand, the highest indirect positive effects were assigned for 100-seed weight through number of opened bolls/plant on both seed and lint cotton yield/plant.

CONTENTS

| Subject | Page |
|---|-------------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 3 |
| 2.1. Mean performance of parents and their F1 crosses | 3 |
| 2.2. Mid-parent and best-parent heterosis | 7 |
| 2.3. General and specific combining ability | 11 |
| 2.4. Components of genetic variance | 17 |
| 2.5. Path analysis | 21 |
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS | 25 |
| 3.1. Breeding materials and field experiments | 25 |
| 3.2. Studied traits | 26 |
| 3.2.1. Earliness traits | 26 |
| 3.2.2. Yield and its components traits | 28 |
| 3.2.3. Fiber quality parameters | 29 |
| 3.3. Statistical analysis | 29 |
| 3.3.1. Analysis of variance | 29 |
| 3.3.2. Diallel analysis; Griffing approach | 30 |
| 3.3.3. Genetic variances and derived parameters | 30 |
| 3.3.4. Graphical presentation of W_r/V_r | 32 |
| 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 33 |
| 4.1. Analysis of variance | 33 |
| 4.2. Mean performance | 37 |

VIII

| | |
|--|----|
| 4.2.1. Earliness traits | 37 |
| 4.2.2. Cotton yield and related traits | 39 |
| 4.2.3. Fiber quality parameters | 39 |
| 4.3. Genotypic classification according their performance | 42 |
| 4.4. Heterosis | 46 |
| 4.4.1. Earliness traits | 46 |
| 4.4.2. Cotton yield and related traits | 48 |
| 4.4.3. Fiber quality parameters | 48 |
| 4.5. General (GCA) combining ability effects | 51 |
| 4.6. Specific (SCA) combining ability effects | 54 |
| 4.7. Components of genetic variance | 59 |
| 4.8. Graphical presentation of W_r - V_r | 64 |
| 4.9. Interrelationship among evaluated traits | 67 |
| 4.10. Path analysis | 70 |
| 5. SUMMARY | 73 |
| 6. CONCLUSION | 84 |
| 7. REFERENCES | 85 |
| ARABIC SUMMARY | - |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Title | Page |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 1. | Name, pedigree and origin of six cotton varieties used as parents for this study | 25 |
| 2. | Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil in 2016 and 2017 growing seasons of cotton | 27 |
| 3. | Monthly average minimum temperature (T _{min.}), maximum (T _{max.}) temperature and total precipitation (Perc.) in 2016 and 2017 growing seasons of cotton | 28 |
| 4. | Mean squares of earliness traits for the six cotton genotypes and their 15 F1 crosses | 34 |
| 5. | Mean squares of yield and related traits for six cotton genotypes and their 15 F1 crosses | 25 |
| 6. | Mean squares of fiber quality properties for the six cotton genotypes and their 15 F1 crosses | 36 |
| 7. | Mean performance of the earliness traits for six cotton genotypes and their 15 F1 crosses | 38 |
| 8. | Mean performance of the yield and related traits for six cotton genotypes and their 15 F1 crosses | 40 |
| 9. | Mean performance of fiber quality properties for six cotton genotypes and their 15 F1 crosses | 41 |
| 10. | Heterosis as percentage of mid-parent and better-parent “heterobeltiosis” for earliness traits of the 15 crosses for quality traits | 47 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 11. Heterosis as percentage of mid-parent and better-parent “heterobeltiosis” for yield related traits of the 15 crosses for quality traits | 49 |
| 12. Heterosis as percentage of mid-parent and better-parent “heterobeltiosis” for fiber quality parameters of the 15 crosses for quality traits | 50 |
| 13. Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of six cotton genotypes for earliness traits | 52 |
| 14. Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of six cotton genotypes for yield and related traits | 53 |
| 15. Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of six cotton genotypes for fiber quality traits | 54 |
| 16. Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of the 15 crosses for earliness traits | 55 |
| 17. Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of the 15 crosses for yield and related traits | 57 |
| 18. Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of the 15 crosses for fiber quality traits | 58 |
| 19. Components of genetic variance for earliness traits | 60 |
| 20. Components of genetic variance for yield and related traits | 62 |
| 21. Components of genetic variance for fiber quality traits | 63 |
| 22. Partitioning of phenotypic correlation into direct and indirect effects by path coefficient analysis for yield components on seed and lint cotton yield/plant evaluated in 21 cotton genotypes | 72 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|--|------|
| 1. Dendogram of phenotypic distances among twenty-one cotton genotypes (six parents and fifteen hybrids) based on earliness traits | 43 |
| 2. Dendogram of phenotypic distances among twenty-one cotton genotypes (six parents and fifteen hybrids) based on yield and related traits | 44 |
| 3. Dendogram of phenotypic distances among twenty-one cotton genotypes (six parents and fifteen hybrids) based on fiber quality traits | 45 |
| 4. Wr-Vr graphs for earliness traits of parental cotton genotypes | 65 |
| 5. Wr-Vr graphs for cotton yield and contributing traits of parental cotton genotypes | 66 |
| 6. Wr-Vr graphs for fiber quality traits of parental cotton genotypes | 68 |
| 7. Biplot of cotton genotypes (parents and hybrids) and evaluated characters; number of opened bolls/plant (NOB/P), boll weight/plant (BW/P, g), seed cotton yield/plant (SCY/P, g), lint cotton yield/plant (g, LCY/P), lint percentage (L%), 100 seed weight (100-SW, g), lint index (LI), number of nodes to first sympodial branch (NFSB), days to first flower unfold (DFFU), days to first opened boll (DFOB), micronaire reading (MR), fiber strength (FS, g/tex), fiber length at 2.5 % (FL, mm) and uniformity ratio (UR, %). | 69 |