



Estimation of The Genetic parameters in some Inter-specific Cotton Crosses. (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. x *Gossypium barbadense* L.)

By

Hamid Gamil Rady Etman

B.Sc. Agric.(Agronomy),Fac.OfAgric.KafrEl-sheikhUniversity2008
M.Sc. Agric., Fac. of Agric., Univ Kafr El-sheikh University 2014
Assistant Researcher, Cotton Research Institute, Agric.Res.Center

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of**

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

IN

**AGRICULTURE SCIENCES
(AGRONOMY)**

**Department Of Agronomy
Faculty of Agriculture
KafrEl-SheikhUniversity**

**1443 A.H
2021 A.D**

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
I. Heterosis	3
A. Growth Traits	3
B. Yield and yield component traits.....	5
C. Fiber traits	12
II. Gene action.....	15
A. Growth Traits	16
B. Yield and yield component traits.....	17
C. Fiber traits.....	25
III. Heritability	30
A. Growth Traits	30
B. Yield and yield component traits.....	31
C. Fiber traits.....	35
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	38
I. Genetic materials	38
II. Mating design	39
A. Diallel crosses experiment analysis.....	41
B. Six parameters	44
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	49
Part 1.Diallel experiment.....	49
I. Analysis of variance	49
A. Growth Traits	50
B. Yield and yield component traits.....	50
C. Fiber traits.....	51
II. The mean performance of genotypes	52
A. Growth Traits	53
B. Yield and yield component traits.....	55
C. Fiber traits.....	57
III. Combining ability.....	58
1. General combining ability effects (GCA)	58
A. Growth Traits	58
B. Yield and yield component traits.....	60
C. Fiber traits.....	61
2. Specific combining ability effects (SCA)	62
A. Growth Traits	63
B. Yield and yield component traits.....	63
C. Fiber traits.....	64

INTRODUCTION

IV.	Heterosis	65
A.	Growth Traits	65
B.	Yield and yield component traits.....	68
C.	Fiber traits.....	69
Part 2:Six parameters.....		70
I.	The mean performance	70
A.	Yield and yield component traits.....	71
B.	Fiber traits	71
II.	Scaling tests and gene effects	72
A.	Yield and yield component traits.....	72
B.	Fiber traits	73
III.	Heterosis , inbreeding depression and Potence ratio average degree of dominance	78
A.	Yield and yield component traits.....	79
B.	Fiber traits	80
IV.	Heritability and genetic advance under selection.....	81
A.	Yield and yield component traits.....	81
B.	Fiber traits	83
SUMMARY		85
REFERENCES		90

INTRODUCTION

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page No.</u>
1. The origin and the main characters of the parents.	38
2. The analysis of variance and expectations of mean Square	41
3. Analysis of variance for combining ability and the expectation of mean squares	42
4. Analysis of variance and the mean squares of growth traits for the seven parents and their crosses	50
5. Analysis of variance and the mean squares of yield and yield component traits for the seven parents and their cross	50
6. Analysis of variance and the mean squares of fiber traits for the seven parents and their crosses	51
7. Mean performance of the parents and its crosses for growth and earliness traits	53
8. Mean performance of the parents and its crosses for yield and yield component traits	55
9. Mean performance of the parents and its crosses for the fiber traits	57
10. Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of parental genotypes for growth and earliness traits	59
11. Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of parental varieties for yield and yield component traits	60
12. Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of parental varieties for fiber traits	61
13. Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of the parental combinations for growth and earliness traits	62
14. Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of the parental combinations yield and yield	63

INTRODUCTION

component traits	
15. Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects for fiber traits	64
16. Heterosis % relative to mid-parents (M.P) and better parent (B.P) for growth and earliness traits	66
17. Individual heterosis % relative to mid-parent (M.P) and better parent (B.P) for yield and yield components traits	68
18. Individual heterosis % relative to mid-parent (M.P) and better parent (B.P) for fiber traits	69
19. Generation means for yield and yield component traits in the three crosses	71
20. Generation means for fiber traits in the three crosses	71
21. Estimates of scaling testes (A, B and C) for yield and yield component traits for the three crosses	72
22. Estimates of scaling testes (A, B and C) for fiber traits for the three crosses	73
23. Estimates of gene action parameters for yield and yield component traits for the three crosses	76
24. Estimates of gene action parameters for fiber traits for the three crosses	78
25. Estimation of heterosis,inbreeding depression and potency ratio for yield and yield component traits in the three cotton crosses	79
26. Estimation of heterosis, inbreeding depression and potency ratio for fiber traits in the three cotton crosses	81
27. Estimation of heritability and expected genetic advance for yield and its component traits	82
28. Estimation of heritability and expected genetic advance for fiber traits	83

REFERENCES

.SUMMARY

Four local cotton varieties: G. 92, G. 93, G. 94 and G. 96 and three exotic cotton verities: Acala, D.B 27 and D.B 244 were crossed in all possible combinations without reciprocals to produce 21 interspecific crosses during summer 2016.

In 2017 season TheF₁ seeds and their parents were sown in randomized blocks design with three replications.

Part I: Half diallel experiments:

Studied traits:

A. Growth traits:

1. Flowering (days) 2. Number of fruit branches/plant 3. Plant height. (c.m)

B. Yield and yield component traits:

1. Seed cotton yield/plant in grams 2. Lint cotton yield/plant in gram
3. Lint percentage 4. Boll weight in grams 5. Number of bolls/plant

C. Fiber properties:

1. Fiber length at 2.5% span length in mm 2. Uniformity ratio
3. Fiber strength 2. Fiber fineness in Micronaire reading

The results of mean performance and heterosis coupled with specific combining ability effects in TheF₁ plants revealed that the three interspecific crosses ,(G92xD.B27), (G93xD.B244) and (G94xD.B244) were the top performing and could be promising crosses.

In 2019 season, the obtained seeds of the six population P₁, P₂, F₁, Bc₁, Bc₂ and F₂ for each of the three interspecific crosses were separately sown in a randomized blocks design with three replications.

.REFERENCES

The obtained results could be summarized in the following points:

Part 1: half diallel experiment

1. The mean square of genotypes, (parents and crosses) were highly significant for all the studied.
2. The results showed that the mean squares of parents vs. crosses were highly significant for all the studied traits except, number of fruiting branches and plant height were only significant suggesting the presence of significant heterosis for all the studied traits, thereby supporting the possibility of heterotic effects for all the studied traits.
3. Mean squares indicated significant heterotic values in the interspecific crosses between *Gossypium hirsutum* L. x *Gossypium barbadense* L.
4. Mean performances showed that the genotypes which belong to American cotton were the best of all the yielding traits so, (D.B27), Acala, and (D.B244) parents exhibited higher values for seed cotton yield, lint yield and lint percentage than the other Egyptian parental genotypes (*G. barbadense*).
5. The best general combiners for earliness were D.B27 (p6) and D.B244 (p7), for plant height (shortest) were Acala(p5) and D.B27 (p6) for yield and yield components were the American parents Acala(p5), D.B27 (p6)) and D.B244 (p7). Meanwhile, the best general combiners for fiber traits were the varieties G.92 and G93.
6. Negative heterotic effects relative to mid-parent and better-parent were found for the interspecific crosses G92xAcala, G92 x D.B27, G92 x D.B244 G93 x Acala, G93 x D.B244, G94 x Acala and G94 x D.B244.
7. The interspecific crosses G92 x D.B27, G93 x Acala, G93 x D.B244 and G94 x D.B244 for flowering and number of fruiting branches/plant, G92 x D.B27

REFERENCES

- for seed cotton yield, lint yield, lint percentage and boll number/plant and G.94 x D.B244, G96 x Acala and G96 x D.B27 for fiber length ,uniformity ratio fiber strength and fiber fineness had the most desirable specific combining ability effects for these traits.
8. The results of and heterosis coupled with specific combining ability effects in F₁ plants revealed that the three interspecific crosses ,(G92xD.B27), (G93xD.B244) and (G94xD.B244) were the top performing and could be promising crosses.

Part II: Six parameters:

The studied characters:

A. Yield and yield components trait:

1. Seed cotton yield/plant in grams 2.Lint cotton yield/plant in grams
3. Lint percentage 4. Boll weight in grams 5. bolls Number

C. Fiber properties:

1. Fiber length at 2.5% span length in mm 2. Uniformity ratio
3. Fiber strength 2. Fiber fineness in Micronaire reading (U.R.%)

The obtained results could be summarized as follows;

1. The F₁ mean values exceeded the mid-parent for all the studied traits in the three crosses except for micronair value which was exhibited negative values(desirable) for this trait indicating partial dominance.
2. Regarding F₂ mean, the values were intermediate between the two parents and less than the F₁ mean values, indicating the inbreeding depression has occurred.
3. BC₁ and BC₂ mean values varied according to the trait itself, it was in the direction of their respective recurrent parent for the studied traits with some exception .
4. Highly significant positive heterosis relative to mid-parent were found for all traits(yield, its component and fiber traits) except for maicronair

REFERENCES

values were highly significant negative (desirable) for the three interspecific crosses. While, positive heterotic effects relative to the better-parent were found for fiber length in the first and third crosses uniformity ratio in the three crosses .

5. The dominance effects were more important and greater than additive effects for the traits, seed cotton yield/plant, lint cotton yield/plant, boll number/plant and uniformity ratio except fiber length which additive was more than dominance effects for all the three interspecific crosses.
6. Among the epistasis components the additive x additive was greater in magnitudes than additive x dominance and dominance x dominance for all the studied traits for the three crosses .
7. Heritability estimates in narrow sense were low to moderate for all the studied traits in all crosses, ranged from 10% for fiber strength in the first cross to 75% for lint percentage in the third cross.
8. High predicted genetic advance under selection associated with high narrow sense heritability estimates for seed cotton yield/plant and Micronair value and moderate estimates of heritability in narrow sense and moderates values of genetic advance were obtained for lint cotton yield/plant and boll number.