

**EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT SOURCES OF  
WASTEWATER TO CULTIVATE SOME  
SELECTED ALGAL STRAINS**

By

**MERIHAN GAMAL ABDEL-RAOUF**

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agric. Microbiology), Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 2010

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment  
Of  
The Requirements for the Degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE  
in  
Agricultural Sciences  
(Agricultural Microbiology)**

**Department of Agricultural Microbiology  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Shams University**

**2021**

## ABSTRACT

**Merihan Gamal Abdel-Raouf: Evaluation of Different Sources of Wastewater to Cultivate Some Selected Algal Strains Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2021.**

The purpose of the present study was to assess the growth of microalgae on varied wastewater as a low-cost media for maximizing biomass production and their chemical composition and use producing biomass as feed additive to silkworm. In the current study, nine microalgae were cultivated on four variations of wastewater (agricultural wastewater, industrial dyes wastewater, whey and crystal wastewater) and compared to the synthetic media. The results indicated that the tested microalgae could not grow in crystal wastewater and weak with sterilized whey while, the ability of microalgae to grow in sterilized (synthetic medium, agricultural wastewater and industrial dyes wastewater) and gave greater biomass and chlorophyll (*a*) than in non-sterilized after three weeks of the incubation period but contamination occurred with non-sterilized whey. Out of the tested nine microalgae, four microalgae were selected which gave the highest significant values of dry weight, biomass productivity and chlorophyll (*a*) content ,The selected microalgae showed the highest significant values of the chemical composition, i.e., total carbohydrate, protein and lipid when cultivated in agricultural wastewater more than those cultivated in both industrial dyes wastewater and synthetic broth media,.Among four microalgae, two microalgae were chosen where the chemical composition contents ranged from 1.17 to 1.21-fold and 1.03 to 1.06-fold of carbohydrate, 1.06 to 1.09-fold and 1.88 to 1.93-fold of protein and 1.41 to 1.52-fold and 1.76 to 1.90-fold of lipid more than those of other microalgae, respectively .

The agriculture wastewater was inoculated with a single culture of *A. oryzae* HSSASE6 (KT277789) or *S. platensis* NIES-39 (A00800) individually with 10 % of inoculum size, which more preferred than was

inoculated with consortium culture. Results also showed that *A. oryzae* HSSASE6 (KT277789) was more efficient strain for giving biomass and productivity in agricultural wastewater than *S. platensis* NIES-39 (A00800) (about 28% more) .

Optimal custom(factorial) statistical design was performed to screen out the factors contributing to produce *A. oryzae* HSSASE6 biomass in agricultural wastewater using submerged culture technique the maximal *A. oryzae* HSSASE6 biomass (1.693 g/L) were achieved during run number 4 with pH (7.0), and agitation speed (100 rpm), incubation period (21d), incubation temperature degree (35°C), respectively .then has been done maximization of *A. oryzae* biomass production in agricultural wastewater using response surface methodology (RSM), the best growth was (1.948g/l) when used agitation speed (125rpm) and incubation temperature degree (40°C).

Phytotoxicity analysis revealed to faba bean seeds, results showed that control and wastewater after cultivated algae (50%) achieved germination ratio 100%.

Application of *A. oryzae* biomass produced after cultivated in agricultural wastewater as feed additive to silkworms (*Bombyx mori* L.) The mean pupal weights increased by 23.9% after using 12 mg of this alga compared with control. The maximum number of eggs (549 eggs) was recorded after using 12 mg d. w. alga /10 ml water. The greatest fresh cocoon weight was observed at 12 mg of *A. oryzae* HSSASE6 (1.72 g), The cocoon shell ratio was 20.38 and 21.51 % when larvae were fed on mulberry leaves treated with 6 mg and 12 mg *A. oryzae*. The mean length of silk filament was 1123.5 m, while 0.23 g, for weight and 1.842 denier for sizes of silk filament produced from larvae fed on mulberry leaves treated with 12 mg of *A. oryzae* /10 ml water.

**Keywords:** Biomass production, Microalgae, Cyanobacteria, Agricultural wastewater, Industrial dyes wastewater, Silkworm, optimal custom(factorial) and Response surface methodology.

# CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	V
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	IX
<b>LIST OF PHOTOS</b>	XIII
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	XIV
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	1
<b>2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	4
2.1. Identification of Wastewater	4
2.2. Wastewater Treatment systems	5
2.3. Types of wastewaters	6
2.4. Cultivation of Algae on Wastewater	8
2.5. Microalgae	9
2.5.1. Overview and classification	9
2.5.2. Culture mode	10
2.5.3. Mass Production of Microalgae	11
2.6. Role of Algae in the Life	11
2.6.1. CO <sub>2</sub> capture and produce O <sub>2</sub>	12
2.6.2. In modern agriculture	13
2.6.3. The role of algae in bioremediation	13
2.6.4. Algae used in nutrition worldwide	14
2.6.4.1. Food	14
2.6.4.2. High value products	15
2.6.4.3. Feed additives	16
2.6.5. Biofuel production	17
2.7. Interesting microalgae	18
<b>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	19
3.1. Materials	19
3.1.1. Sampling	19
3.1.1.1. Samples of Wastewater	19
3.1.1.2. Chemical composition of wastewater samples	19
3.1.2. Microalgae used	20

	<b>Page</b>
3.1.3. Seeds used	20
3.1.4. Silkworm	20
3.1.5. Culture media	21
3.2. Methods	25
3.2.1. Maintenance of microalgae cultures	25
3.2.1.1. Stock agar slants	25
3.2.1.2. Liquid culture	25
3.2.2 Cultivation of microalgae isolates and strains on synthetic media	26
3.2.3. Cultivation of microalgae isolates and strains on wastewater samples	26
3.2.4. Selection of the most efficient isolates and strains grow in the wastewater and determination intracellular contents	27
3.2.4.1. Growth curves and kinetics for the selected microalgae in different wastewater	27
3.2.4.2. Determination of the intracellular contents to the selected microalgae	27
3.2.5. Effect of single and consortia microalgae strains on biomass production in agricultural wastewater	28
3.2.6. Optimization of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production in agriculture wastewater using statistical design expert (SDE)	28
3.2.6.1. Screening of most significant fermentation parameters using optimal custom (factorial) design	28
3.2.6.2. Maximization of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production in agricultural wastewater using response surface methodology (RSM) by optimal custom design	31
3.2.7. Phytotoxicity assay	33

	<b>Page</b>
3.2.8. Application of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass produced after cultivated in agricultural wastewater as feed additive to silkworms ( <i>Bombyx mori</i> L.)	33
3.2.8.1 Rearing and feed additives used	33
3.2.9. Analytical procedures	34
3.2.9.1. Wastewater analysis	34
3.2.9.2. Microalgae	35
3.2.9.3. Intracellular contents extraction and assay	36
3.2.9.4. Criteria used for evaluating the tested feed additive	36
3.2.10. Statistical analysis	38
4. Results and Discussion	39
4.1 Chemical composition of wastewater samples before cultivation of microalgae	39
4.2 Cultivation of microalgae isolates and strains in synthetic media	40
4.3 Cultivation of microalgae isolates and strains in wastewater and whey as growth medium	48
4.3.1 Agricultural wastewater as a medium	48
4.3.2 Industrial dyes wastewater as a medium	56
4.3.3 Glass industrial wastewater as a medium	63
4.3.4 Whey as a medium	64
4.4 Selection of the most efficient isolates capable to grow in the wastewater	70
4.5 Growth curves and kinetics for the selected microalgae in different wastewater	71
4.6 Intracellular contents accumulation	75
4.7 Effect of single and consortia microalgae strains in biomass production	78
4.8 Optimization of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 in agriculture wastewater using statistical design expert (SDE)	80

	<b>Page</b>
4.8.1 Screening of most significant fermentation parameters using optimal custom(factorial) design	80
4.8.2 Maximization of <i>A. oryzae</i> biomass production in agricultural wastewater using response surface methodology (RSM) by optimal custom design	86
4.9 Phytotoxicity assay	92
4.10 Application of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass produced after cultivated in agricultural wastewater as food additive to silkworms ( <i>Bombyx mori</i> L.)	93
4.10.1 Biological parameters	93
4.10.1.1 Weights of produced pupae	93
4.10.1.2 Number of deposited eggs per mated female moth	96
4.10.1.3 Produced cocoons	97
4.10.2 Silk filament	101
<b>5.SUMMARY</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>6.REFERENCES</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1	sources of collected wastewater samples.	19
2	Coded and actual level of four variables investigated regarding <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production as determined by optimal custom(factorial) design.	29
3	Evaluation of the most significant factors affected <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production after cultivated in agriculture wastewater using optimal custom(factorial) design.	30
4	Coded and actual level of two variables investigated regarding <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production as determined using response surface methodology (RSM) by optimal custom design.	31
5	Optimization the most significant factors for <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass after cultivated in agriculture wastewater using response surface methodology (RSM) by optimal custom design.	32
6	Chemical composition of wastewater samples before cultivation of microalgae.	39
7	Cell dry weight (g/L) of microalgae grown in non-sterilized synthetic broth media during 4 weeks under static culture.	41
8	Cell dry weight (g/L) of microalgae grown in sterilized synthetic broth media during 4 weeks under static culture.	42
9	Chlorophyll a (mg/L) of microalgae grown in non-sterilized synthetic media broth during 4 weeks under static culture.	44
10	Chlorophyll a (mg/L) of microalgae grown in sterilized synthetic media broth during 4 weeks under static culture.	45
11	Cell dry weight (g/L) of microalgae grown in non-	



<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Page</b>
	sterilized agricultural wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture. 48
<b>12</b>	Cell dry weight (g/L) of microalgae grown in sterilized agricultural wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture. 49
<b>13</b>	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (mg/L) of microalgae grown in non-sterilized agricultural wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture. 52
<b>14</b>	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (mg/L) of microalgae grown in sterilized agricultural wastewater ring 4 weeks under static culture. 53
<b>15</b>	Cell dry weight (g/L) of microalgae grown in non-sterilized dyes wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture. 56
<b>16</b>	Cell dry weight (g/L) of microalgae grown in sterilized industrial dyes wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture. 57
<b>17</b>	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (mg/L) of microalgae grown in non-sterilized industrial dyes wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture. 60
<b>18</b>	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (mg/L) of microalgae grown in sterilized industrial dyes wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture. 61
<b>19</b>	Cell dry weight (g/L) of microalgae isolates grown in sterilized whey as by- product growth medium during 4 weeks under static culture. 65
<b>20</b>	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (mg/L) of microalgae isolates grown in sterilized whey as media broth during 4 weeks under static culture. 67

<b>Table No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>21</b>	Summarized the biomass and Chlorophyll a and Productivity and (Chlorophyll/Biomass) on different wastes media.	70
<b>22</b>	Optimal custom(factorial) design matrix and the actual values of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production in agricultural wastewater.	81
<b>23</b>	Statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) of optimal custom(factorial) design for <i>A.oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production.	82
<b>24</b>	Optimal custom (response surface methodology) design matrix and the actual values of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production in agricultural wastewater using submerged culture.	87
<b>25</b>	Statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) of optimal custom (response surface methodology) design for <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production.	88
<b>26</b>	Phytotoxicity of agricultural wastewater on bean ( <i>Vicia faba</i> ) seeds germination before and after cultivation of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6.	92
<b>27</b>	Mean weights of <i>B. mori</i> pupae (g) fed as fifth instar larvae on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 strain and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	94
<b>28</b>	Mean numbers of deposited eggs laid by mated female <i>B. mori</i> moth fed as fifth larval instars on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 strain and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	96
<b>29</b>	Dimension of <i>B. mori</i> cocoons produced by larvae fed as fifth instars on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated	

<b>Table No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
	with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	98
<b>30</b>	Mean weights of fresh cocoon and cocoon shell (g) and cocoon shell ratio produced by <i>B. mori</i> larvae fed as fifth instar on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	99
<b>31</b>	Mean length (m), weight (g) and size (denier) of silk filament reeled from cocoons produced by full grown <i>B. mori</i> larvae fed as fifth instars on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	101

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Fig. No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
1	Biomass productivity (g/L/w) of microalgae grown in non-sterilized (A) and sterilized (B) synthetic broth media during 4 weeks under static culture.	43
2	Chlorophyll ( <i>a</i> ) yield coefficient relative to biomass (YCh/B) (mg/g/L) of the most efficient microalgae after grown in non-sterilized (A) and sterilized (B) synthetic broth media after 3 weeks of incubation period under static culture.	47
3	Biomass productivity (g/L/w) of microalgae grown in non-sterilized (A) and sterilized (B) agricultural wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture.	51
4	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> yield coefficient relative to biomass (YCh/B) (mg/g/L) of the most efficient microalgae after grown in non-sterilized (A) and sterilized (B) agricultural wastewater after 3 weeks of incubation period under static culture.	55
5	Biomass productivity (g/L/w) of microalgae grown in non-sterilized (A) and sterilized (B) industrial dyes wastewater during 4 weeks under static culture.	59
6	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> yield coefficient relative to biomass (YCh/B) (mg/g/L) of the most efficient microalgae after grown in non-sterilized (A) and sterilized (B) industrial dyes wastewater after 3 weeks of incubation period under static culture.	62
7	Biomass productivity (g/L/w) of microalgae grown in sterilized Whey as media broth during 4 weeks under static culture.	66

<b>Fig. No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>8</b>	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> yield coefficient relative to biomass (YCh/B) (mg/g/L) of microalgae isolates after grown in sterilized whey as media broth after 3 weeks of incubation period under static culture.	69
<b>9</b>	Sigmoidal growth curves of the most efficient microalgae in wastewater and synthetic medium (control) during 28days of incubation periods under static culture.	72
<b>10</b>	Correlation coefficient between the cell dry weight (growth) at log phase and incubation periods (d) of the most efficient microalgae in wastewater and synthetic medium (control).	73
<b>11</b>	Specific growth rate ( $\mu$ ), doubling time (td) and multiplication rate (MR) of the most efficient microalgae in wastewater and synthetic medium (control) during 21days of incubation periods under static culture.	74
<b>12</b>	Carbohydrate content presence in the selected microalgae strains.	75
<b>13</b>	Protein content presence in the selected microalgae.	76
<b>14</b>	Lipid content presence in the selected microalgae.	77
<b>15</b>	Effect of single culture of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 and <i>S. platensis</i> NIES-39 at different concentrations on biomass production during cultivation in agricultural wastewater.	79
<b>16</b>	Effect of mixed cultures ( <i>A. oryzae</i> : <i>S. platensis</i> ) at different concentrations on biomass production during cultivation in agricultural wastewater.	79

<b>Fig. No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
17	The actual and predicted values of optimal custom (factorial) design for <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production.	83
18	The model graph at one-factor level for <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6biomass.	84
19	The model graph at interaction between two factors for <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass.	85
20	Pareto graph showing contribution effect % of different variables on <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production in agricultural wastewater.	86
21	The actual and predicted values of optimal custom (response surface methodology) design for <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 biomass production.	89
22	The model graph at one-factor level (A) and interaction between two factors (B) for <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6biomass.	90
23	Three-dimensional response surface and two-dimensional contour plots showing the effect of incubation temperature and agitation speed on algae dry weight.	91
24	Mean weights of <i>B. mori</i> pupae (g) fed as fifth instar larvae on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 strain and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	94
25	Mean numbers of deposited eggs laid by mated female <i>B. mori</i> moth fed as fifth larval instars on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 strain and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	97

<b>Fig. No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>26</b>	Dimension of <i>B. mori</i> cocoons produced by larvae fed as fifth instars on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	98
<b>27</b>	Mean weights of fresh cocoon and cocoon shell (g) produced by <i>B. mori</i> larvae fed as fifth instar on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	100
<b>28</b>	Mean length (m) of silk filament reeled from cocoons produced by full grown <i>B. mori</i> larvae fed as fifth instars on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A.oryzae</i> HSSASE6and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	102

**LIST OF PHOTOS**

<b>Photo No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>1</b>	Comparison weights of <i>B. mori</i> pupae (g) fed as fifth instar larvae on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 strain and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	98
<b>2</b>	Comparison weights of fresh cocoon (g) produced by <i>B. mori</i> larvae fed as fifth instar on one daily meal of mulberry leaves treated with different concentrations of <i>A. oryzae</i> HSSASE6 and the other daily meals on untreated leaves.	100



**LIST OF ABBREVIATION**

<b>ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>Mean</b>
AWW	Agricultural wastewater
DWW	Dyes wastewater
d	day
g/l	gram per liter
mg/l	milligram per liter
µg/l	microgram per liter
min	minutes
nm	Nanometer
RSM	response surface methodology
S m-control	Synthetic medium
W	week