

**ACTIVITY OF SOME NANO PARTICLES IN
CONTROLLING BEAN YELLOW MOSAIC VIRUS
ON FABA BEAN**

BY

AHMED YOUSEF SOBHY MAHMOUD EL GAMAL

B.Sc. in Agriculture (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Fayoum Univ., Egypt, 2009

Postgraduate Diploma in Integrated Pest Management, CIHEAM-Bari, Italy, 2013

M.Sc. in Agriculture (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ, Egypt, 2016

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirement for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D.)

in

Agricultural Sciences

(Plant Pathology)

Plant Pathology Department

Faculty of Agriculture

Zagazig University

2022

ABSTRACT

Nanotechnology has emerged as a new potential powerful tool to control viral plant diseases. This study was carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of four nanoparticles namely silver nanoparticles (AgNPs), chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs), chitosan-silver nanocomposites (Chi-AgNPs) and chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposites (Chi-SalNPs) in managing *Bean yellow mosaic virus* (BYMV) on faba bean plants from the plant-virus-vector interaction side. The antiviral capability was evaluated as a foliar application, seed soaking and seed /foliar combination methods. The efficiency of tested nanoparticles on virus acquisition and transmission by its aphid vector was investigated as well as potential treatments to affect the vector aphid population dynamics. The results indicated that all tested nanoparticles significantly reduced the virus infectivity and accumulation content in treated plants notably when applied as foliar application and seed/foliar combination. Nano-silver was exhibited high curative viricidal activities to inactivate BYMV when applied 48 h post-virus inoculation. The disease occurrence was entirely inhibited with AgNPs rate as low as 100 mg. l⁻¹, whereas the infectivity was completely inhibited when plants were exposed to 200 mg.l⁻¹ 24 h before-virus inoculation. However, ChiNPs, Chi-AgNPs and Chi-SalNPs completely inhibited the virus infectivity at 400 mg.l⁻¹ when applied as a foliar protective method. Seed soaking applications of Chi-AgNPs and Chi-Sal NPs were the most effective treatments in reducing the virus infectivity followed by ChiNPs and AgNPs. Interestingly, transmission electron microscope illustrated that AgNPs proved to be highly bio-reactive by binding to the virus particles, while the ChiNPs were found to affect the virus particle's integrity by producing defective and incomplete BYMV particles, suppressing their replication and accumulation within the plant tissues. Moreover, both AgNPs and ChiNPs were significantly found to upregulate the pathogenesis-related gene (PR-1) and promote the protein profile in treated plants irrespective of concentration. The mRNA of PR-1 gene was remarkably accumulated in treated plants reaching its maximum with 12.06 and 16.22 fold change at 200 mg.l⁻¹ AgNPs and 400 mg.l⁻¹ ChiNPs dosage rates respectively. The ability of tested nanoparticles to trigger defense-related oxidizing enzymes was also examined. The higher activity of phenylalanine ammonolyses (PAL) and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) was recorded in faba bean plants treated with Chi-SalNPs and ChiNPs, while the lowest response was noted with

all tested AgNPs rates. Peroxidase (PO) activity was significantly prompted with all tested nanoparticles reaching its maximum with AgNPs (at 250 mg.l⁻¹) followed by Chi-AgNPs (at 300 mg.l⁻¹) Chi-SalNPs (at 400 mg.l⁻¹) and ChiNPs (at 250 mg.l⁻¹). Furthermore, the total phenols were remarkably promoted for 30 days in response to ChiNPs, Chi-AgNPs and Chi-SalNPs applied as seed soaking at 400 mg.l⁻¹, compared to untreated control. Importantly, exposure of aphids to AgNPs-treated plants before virus acquisition reduced BYMV acquisition and transmission efficiency by 40.65% to 100 % at 24 h post-application depending on the AgNPs dosage. Further, the virus acquisition was reduced for 10 day-post treatments by 6.87% up to 79.64% depending on the dosage rate. On the other hand, the virus transmission by aphids in faba bean plants treated with tested nanoparticles 24 h before the biological inoculation of BYMV by viruliferous aphids was observed. The complete reduction in virus transmission was obtained with AgNPs at a low rate of 150 mg.l⁻¹ dosage, followed by Chi-AgNPs and ChiNPs at 250 and 300 mg.l⁻¹ dosage rates respectively. Moreover, all tested nanoparticles reduced the aphid population density after 30 days of application on treated faba bean plants. ChiNPs (400 mg.l⁻¹), Chi-AgNPs (400 mg.l⁻¹) and AgNPs (300 mg.l⁻¹) were the most effective treatments in reducing the aphid population by 96.64%, 95.89% and 92.15, respectively. Meanwhile, Chi-SalNPs reduce the aphid population by 80.56 % compared to untreated control.

Finally, these results confirm that the constructed nanoparticles are powerful and promising antiviral agents to manage BYMV disease. This study also provides the first report on the deterring activity of nanomaterials on plant virus acquisition and transmission by its insect vector. Simultaneously, the tested nanoparticles can affect the vector feeding behavior and alter virus-aphid transmissibility, suggesting that it may contribute to alleviating the natural disease occurrence and virus transmission under field conditions.

ABBREVIATIONS

θ	Theta angle
Δ	Delta
Å	Angstrom
A_{405}	Absorbance at 405 nm
AAP	Acquisition access period
AgNO_3	Silver nitrate
AgNPs	Silver nanoparticles
AMV	<i>Alfalfa mosaic virus</i>
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
bp	Base pair
BYMV	<i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i>
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degree celsius
CABI	Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International
C+ve	Positive control
C-ve	Negative control
ChiNPs	Chitosan nanoparticles
Chi-AgNPs	Chitosan-silver nanocomposites
Chi-SalNPs	Chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposites
cm	Centimeter
cm^2	Centimeter square
Conc.	Concentrations
CP gene	Coat protein gene
cv.	Cultivar
Ct. value	Cycle threshold
DI	Disease incidence
DS	Disease severity
dw	Distilled water
DAS-ELISA	Double antibody sandwich – Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay
F.A	Foliar application
FAO	Food and agriculture organization of the united nations
g	gram
Gov.	governorate
h	hour (s)
HR-TEM	High resolution- transmission electron Microscope
gfw	gram fresh weight
kb	Kilobase
kDa	Kilodalton
LA	Leaf area
LL	Leaflet length

LW	Leaf width
LSD	Least significant difference
M	Molar (concentration)
mA	Milliampere
mg	Milligram
mg.l ⁻¹	Milligram per liter
min.	Minute
µl	Microliter
ml.	Milliliter
mmol	Milimole
N	Normality (concentration)
ng	Nanogram
No.	Number
nmol	Nanomole
OD	Optical density
Na ₂ CO ₃	Sodium carbonate
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
nm	nanometer
PAL	Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase
PO	Peroxidase
PPO	Polyphenol oxidase
pH	Potential of Hydrogen
PR-proteins	Pathogenesis-related proteins
PSbMV	<i>Pea seed-born mosaic virus</i>
Red.	Reduction
RH	Relative humidity
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RT-PCR	Reveres transcription-polymerase chain reaction
qRT-PCR	Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction
SA	Salicylic acid
Sec.	Second
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfete
SSA	Seed soaking application
SFA	Seed/ foliar combinations
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscope
Treat.	Treatments
TPC	Total phenolic content
v/v	Volume per volume
w/v	Weight per volume
xg	(X) Earth's gravitational force
XRD	X-ray diffraction

CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	
ABBREVIATIONS	
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. Nanotechnology approach and crop protection.....	4
2.2. Faba bean crisis in Egypt	8
2.3.1. <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> (BYMV).....	10
2.3.2. Host range and symptomatology of BYMV.....	11
2.3.3. Means of transmission	14
2.3.3.1. Mechanical transmission	14
2.3.3.2. Aphid transmission	14
2.3.3.3. Seed transmission	15
2.3.4. BYMV structure, taxonomy and genome organization.....	15
2.3.5. Detection and identification	17
2.3.5. 1. Serological identification	17
2.3.5.2. Molecular identification	17
2.3.5.2.1. Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).....	17
2.4. Nanotechnology-based tools in plant viral disease management.....	19
2.4.1. Silver metallic nanoparticles.....	19
2.4.2. Natural polymers	21
2.4.3. Salicylic acid	24
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	26
3.1. Virus isolation and identification	26
3.1.1. Virus source, field symptoms and disease incidence.....	26
3.1.2. Virus isolation and propagation.....	27
3.1.3. Biological characterizations.....	27
3.1.3.1. Host range and symptomatology.....	27
3.1.3.2. Transmission of isolated BYMV.....	28
3.1.3.2.1. Mechanical transmission (Sap inoculation)	28
3.1.3.2.2. Aphid transmission.....	28

3.1.3.2.2.1. Aphid cultures.....	28
3.1.3.2.2.2. Aphid transmission assay.....	28
3.1.3.2.3. Seed transmission.....	30
3.1.4. Serological characterization.....	30
3.1.4.1. Double antibody sandwich-enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (DAS-ELISA)	30
3.1.5. Molecular characterization of isolated virus.....	33
3.1.5.1. Total RNA extraction.....	33
3.1.5.2. One-step reverse transcription (RT)-PCR reaction of BYMV-Coat protein (CP) gene.....	34
3.1.5.3. Primer used.....	34
3.1.5.4. PCR file conditions.....	34
3.1.5.5. PCR product verification.....	34
3.1.6. Particle morphology of isolated BYMV	35
3.2. Preparation and characterization of nanomaterials.....	35
3. 2.1. Preparation of tested nanomaterials.....	35
3.2.1.1. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs)	35
3.2.1.2. Chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs)	36
3.2.1.3. Chitosan-silver nanocomposite (Chi-Ag-NPs)	36
3.2.1.4. Chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi/Sal-NPs).....	37
3.2.2. Characterization of constructed nanomaterials.....	37
3.2.2.1. Particle size determination.....	37
3.2.2.2. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement.....	37
3.2.2. 3. Morphology of the prepared nanoparticles.....	38
3.3. Effectiveness of the constructed nanomaterials on host-virus interaction.....	40
3.3.1. Effects of tested nanoparticles on virus infectivity.....	40
3.3.1.2. Disease assessments.....	41
3.3.1.3. Determination of virus accumulation	41
3.3.1.4. Effect of AgNPs and ChiNPs on virion particiles	42
3.3.2. Determination of defense-related enzymes.....	42
3.3.2.1. Treatments and sampling for enzyme assays.....	42
3.3.2.2. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity.....	43

3.3.2.3. Polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase activities.....	43
3.3.3. Protein pattern analysis.....	44
3.3.4. PR-1 gene expression analysis using quantitative real time-PCR (qRT-PCR)	45
3.3.4.1. Total RNA isolation	45
3.3.4.2. cDNA synthesis.....	45
3.3.4.3. qRT-PCR analysis.....	45
3.3.5. Determination of total phenolic content (TPC)	46
3.3.5. 1. Treatments and sample collecting.....	46
3.3.5. 2. Leaf extraction for TPC assay.....	47
3.3.5. 3. Total phenolic content assay.....	47
3.3.6. Vegetative and growth parameters assessments.....	48
3.4. Effectiveness of the constructed nanomaterials on plant-vector -virus interactions.....	49
3.4.1. Effect of on virus acquisition and transmission.....	49
3.4.1.2. Pre-acquisition treatments and tested concentrations.....	49
3.4.1. 3. Acquisition assay.	49
3.4.1.4. Coat protein gene accumulation.....	50
3.4.2. Effect on virus – aphid transmissibility.....	50
3.4.3. Effect on aphid population dynamic.....	51
3.5. Statistical analysis.....	51
4. RESULTS.....	53
4.1. Virus isolation and identification	53
4.1.2. Virus source, field symptoms and disease incidence.....	53
4.1.2. Virus isolation.....	53
4.1.3. Host range and symptomatology	53
4.1.4. Virus transmission	60
4.1.5. Double antibody sandwiches- enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAS-EISA)	60
4.1.6. Molecular characterization of isolated BYMV.....	62
4.1.7. Transmission electron microscopy and particle morphology conformation	62
4.2. Characterization of constructed nanomaterials.....	64
4.2.1. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs)	64
4.2.2. Chitosan nanoparticles (AgNPs)	64
4.2.3 Chitosan-silver nanocomposites (Chi-AgNPs)	67
4.2.4. Chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposites (Chi-SalNPs)	67
4.3. Effectiveness of constructed nanomaterials on plant-virus interaction.....	70
4.3.1. Effect of tested nanoparticles on BYMV infectivity and disease	

components.....	70
4.3.1.1. Effect of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) on virus infectivity.....	70
4.3.1.1.1. Foliar protective and curative applications activity of ChiNPs.....	70
4.3.1. 1.2. Seed soaking and seed/foliar combinations activity of ChiNPs.....	71
4.3.1.2. Effect of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on virus infectivity.....	76
4.3.1.2.1. Foliar protective and curative applications activity of AgNPs.....	76
4.3.1.2.2. Seed soaking and seed/foliar application activity of AgNPs.....	76
4.3.1.3. Effect of chitosan-silver nanocomposites (Chi-AgN).....	82
4.3.1.3.1. Foliar protective and curative applications activity of Chi-AgNPs.....	82
4.3.1.3.2. Seed soaking and seed/foliar application activity of Chi-AgNPs.....	82
4.3.1.4. Effect of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposites (Chi-SalNPs) on virus infectivity.....	87
4.3.1.4.1. Foliar protective and curative applications activity of Chi-SalNP.....	87
4.3.1.4.2. Seed soaking and seed/foliar application activity of Chi-SalNPs.....	88
4.3.2. Effectiveness of chitosan and silver nanoparticles on virus units.....	92
4.3.3. Effect of tested nanoparticles on virus accumulation in faba bean treated plants	95
4.3.3.1. Activity of foliar protective application on BYMV accumulation.....	95
4.3.3.2. Efficacy of foliar curative application of tested nanomaterials on BYMV accumulation	99
4.3.3.3. Efficacy of seed soaking application of tested nanoparticles on BYMV accumulation	103
4.3.3.4. Efficacy of seed soaking in combination with foliar application of tested nanoparticles on BYMV accumulation content.....	106
4.3.4. Changes in gene transcription level and protein profile constituents.....	109
4.3.4.1. Effect of silver nanoparticles treatment on PR-1 gene expression.....	109
4.3.4.2. Effects of silver nanoparticles on protein profile constituents.....	109
4.3.4.3. Effects of chitosan nanoparticles on PR-1 gene expression.....	112
4.3.4.4. Effects of chitosan nanoparticles on protein profile constituents.....	112
4.3.5. Changes in total phenolic content (TPC).....	114
4.3.5.1. Effects of foliar application of synthesized nanomaterials on TPC dynamic curve.....	114
4.3.5.2. Effects of seed soaking application of synthesized nanomaterials on TPC dynamic curve.....	117
4.3.6. Changes in defense-related enzyme activities in faba bean plants treated with tested nanoparticles.....	120
4.3.6.1. Effect of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) on enzyme activity.....	120
4.3.6.1.1. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity.....	120
4.3.6.1.2. Polyphenoloxidase activity	123
4.3.6.1.2. Peroxidase activity.....	126
4.3.6.2. Effect of silver nanoparticles on enzyme activity.....	128
4.3.6.2. 1. Polyphenoloxidase activity	128

4.3.6.2. 2. Peroxidase activity.....	128
4.3.6.2. 3. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity.....	134
4.3.6.3. Effect of chitosan-silver nanocomposite on enzyme activities.....	137
4.3.6.4. Effect of chitosan-salicylic nanocomposite on enzyme activities.....	140
4.3.6.4.1. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity.....	140
4.3.6.4.2. Polyphenoloxidase activity	140
4.3.6.4.2. Peroxidase activity.....	142
4.3.7. Effects of tested nanoparticles on vegetative and growth parameters on faba bean plants	145
4.3.7.1. Chitosan nanoparticles applications.....	145
4.3.7.2. Silver nanoparticles applications	145
4.3.7.3. Chitosan-silver nanocomposites applications	150
4.3.7.4. Chitosan-salicylic acid nanoparticles applications.....	150
4.4. Effectiveness of the constructed nanomaterials on plant-vector -virus interactions.....	155
4.4.1. Virus -vector interaction.....	155
4.4.1.1. Effect of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on virus acquisition and transmissibility by aphids	155
4.4.1.2. Effect of chitosan based-nanoparticles on virus acquisition and transmission.....	159
4.4.2. Host-vector / host-virus interactions.....	161
4.4.2.1. Effects on virus-aphid transmission and disease response.....	161
4.4.2.1.1. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) applications.....	161
4.4.2.1.2. Chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) applications.....	161
4.4.2.1.3. Chitosan-silver nanocomposite (Chi-AgNPs) applications.....	162
4.4.3. Effect of prepared nanoparticles on aphid population dynamic.....	166
5. DISCUSSION.....	173
6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	184
7. REFERENCES.....	193

ARABIC SUMMARY

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1	Genotypic groups of <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> based on the hosts isolated from..... 12
2	Formulations and reagents of buffers used in ELISA..... 32
3	Primers used in conventional RT-PCR, as well as the selected PR-1 gene and the endogenous reference gene used in qReal time-PCR experiment..... 46
4	Incidence proportion of <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> and two other plant viruses associated with diseased and symptomatic faba bean plant samples collected during the growing seasons of 2017 /2018..... 54
5	Host range, symptoms response and ELISA reaction of different host plant species inoculated with the isolated BYMV..... 57
6	<i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> mechanical and seed transmissibility on faba bean plants experimentally grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 61
7	Percentage of transmission of the isolated <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> by two aphid insect species on faba bean plants experimentally grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 61
8	Effect of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) used at three methods of applications on <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> infectivity on faba bean plants experimentally grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 72
9	Effect of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) used at three methods of applications on <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> infectivity on faba bean plants experimentally grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 78
10	Effect of chitosan-silver nanocomposite (Chi-AgNPs) used at three methods of applications on <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> infectivity on faba bean plants experimentally grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions. 84
11	Effect of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-

Table	Page
SalNP) used at three methods of applications on <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> infectivity on faba bean plants experimentally grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.	89
12 Effect of foliar protective application of different prepared nanoparticles on virus concentration in faba bean treated plants at compared to healthy and infected untreated controls using ELISA reaction....	97
13 Effect of foliar curative application of different prepared nanoparticles on virus concentration in faba bean treated plants compared to healthy and infected untreated controls using ELISA reaction.....	101
14 Effect of seed soaking application of different prepared nanoparticles on virus concentration in faba bean treated plants at six tested concentrations compared to healthy and infected untreated controls.	104
15 Effect of seed soaking in combination with foliar application of different prepared nanoparticles on virus concentration in faba bean treated plants at six tested concentrations compared to healthy and infected untreated controls using ELISA reaction.	107
16 Pathogenesis-related gene 1 (PR-1) expression analysis and the fold change modulation in faba bean plants treated with three tested concentrations of AgNPs and ChiNPs compared the untreated control....	110
17 Effect of foliar application of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) and silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) at three different concentrations on phenolic contents in faba bean treated plants compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse condition.....	115

Table	Page
18 Effect of seed soaking application of synthesized nanomaterials tested at three different concentrations on the phenolic dynamic curve in faba bean plants compared to untreated control under greenhouse conditions.....	118
19 Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	121
20 Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.	124
21 Peroxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	127
22 Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.	130
23 Peroxidase (PO) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.	132
24 Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	135

Table	Page
25	Activity of chitosan-silver nanocomposite on some defense-related enzyme activity in faba bean compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 138
26	Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-saiNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 141
27	Polyphenol oxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-saiNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions. 143
28	Peroxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-saiNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 144
29	Effect of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) on vegetation parameters of faba bean treated plants with three methods of applications compared to infected and healthy untreated control..... 146
30	Effect of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on vegetation parameters of faba bean treated plants with three methods of application compared to infected and healthy untreated control. 148
31	Effect of chitosan-silver nanocomposites (Chi-AgNPs) on vegetation parameters of faba bean treated plants with three methods of application compared to infected and healthy untreated control... 151
32	Effect of chitosan-salicylic acid nanoparticles (Chi-SalNPs) on vegetation parameters of faba bean treated plants with three methods of application compared to infected and healthy untreated control. 153

Table	Page
33	Effect of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on the virus acquisition and transmission by vector aphids on faba bean plants experimentally grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 157
34	Effect of foliar application of different prepared nanoparticles on the vector aphid acquisition and transmission of <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> to faba bean plants. 160
35	Effect of foliar application of tested nanoparticles on <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> (BYMV)- aphid transmission and infectivity response on faba bean sprayed 24 hours before viruliferous aphids infestations under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 163
36	Effect of foliar application of different prepared nanoparticles and composites at two tested concentrations against vector aphid population number on faba bean treated plants experimentally grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 167

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Schematic diagram of various nanotechnology applications in agricultural sector (Khot et al., 2012; Parsad <i>et al.</i> , 2017a; Abd-Elsalam and Prasad, 2019; Kah <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	7
2	Faba bean cultivated areas in Egypt during the previous seven years in the period between 2013 to 2019 illustrating a sharp decrease in growing faba bean area compared to 2005 growing season (According to the statistical reports of the Ministry of Agriculture and Land Recommendation of Egypt).....	9
3	BYMV geographical distribution worldwide (illustrating by CABI Organization, available at https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/9433)	11
4	Schematic diagram of <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> genome according to the ICTV virus taxonomy profile (Guyat et al., 1996).....	16
5	Schematic diagram of formation mechanism concept of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) obtained by citrate anion as a reducing agent, according to the explanation of Pillai and Kamat (2004)	20
6	A: Schematic diagram of chitosan polymer preparation and structure (Hadwiger and Beckman, 1980). B: Simple illustration of chitosan nanoparticles preparation concept using tripolyphosphate (TPP)-ion gelation method according to Koukaras et al., 2012 and Hoang et al., 2022.....	22
7	Aphid species used in the research experiments. A: <i>Myzus persicae</i> individuals on faba bean plants. <i>Aphis craccivora</i> on gladiolus (B) and faba bean (C) plants grown under insect-proof greenhouse conditions. Red arrows refer to <i>M. persicae</i> individuals and blue arrows refer to <i>A. craccivora</i> individuals.....	29

Figure		Page
8	Zetasizer, X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) and High resolution -Transmission Electron Microscope (HR-TEM) instruments used in characterization of constructed nanoparticles.....	39
9	A: Simple schematic diagram illustrating the estimated part for single faba bean leaflet used in leaf area measurement. B: Chlorophyll meter used in determination of faba bean leaf chlorophyll content in both treated and untreated plants in all experiments....	48
10	<i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease occurrence, isolation and pathogenicity. A: Proportion of <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> and two other plant viruses associated with diseased and symptomatic faba bean plant samples collected during the growing season of 2017 /2018. B: Field symptoms of naturally infected faba plants with other viruses mixed with BYMV infection. C: Symptoms of naturally infected faba bean plants with BYMV in the field illustrating severe mosaic symptoms. D :Typical mosaic symptoms of isolated BYMV as a result of artificial inoculation on faba bean plants under insect-proof greenhouse conditions. All samples collected from faba bean plants growing at Giza Research Station, ARC were labeled in the field during all preliminary detection and isolation of BYMV.....	55
11	<i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease response on some mechanically inoculated legumes and nonlegumes hosts. A, B: Faba bean plants illustrating a stunning and sever mosaic symptoms. C: Bean plant illustrating sever mosaic symptoms. D: White lupin plants showing yellow mosaic. E: soybean plants exhibiting vien banding. F: <i>Vigna anguiculata</i> plant showing sever mosaic and malformation. G: <i>Chenopodium amaranticolor</i> illustrating chlorotic local lesions. H: <i>Nicotina rustica</i> plants showing yellowing, mild mosaic and leaf malformation.....	58

Figure	Page
12	59
<p><i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease response on some ornamental plants illustrating yellow mosaic on Gladiolus leaves (A) and flower color breaking on gladiolus (B), petunia (C) <i>Pelargonium zonale</i> (D) and <i>Pelargonium peltatum</i> (E).....</p>	
13	63
<p><i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease phenotypic response (A), molecular genotypic characterization (B) and particle morphology conformation confirmation (C). B: Agarose gel electrophoresis of two migrated RT-PCR products illustrating the genotypic identification of BYMV by amplifying the coat protein gene at a molecular weight of 907 bp; Lan 1: healthy plant control, Lan 2: BYMV-infected faba bean plant, Lan 3: Artificially inoculated <i>C. amaranticolor</i> sample., M: 1500 bp DNA ladder. C: Transmission electron microscope micrograph illustrating a typical flexuous filamentous potyvirus particle of isolated BYMV with an average 720 nm in length and 12.62 nm in diameter.</p>	
14	65
<p>Physico-chemical characterization of synthesized chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs). A: Zeta potential measurement showing a positive surface charge with ...mV. B: Particle size distribution histogram with an average size of 37.84 nm. C: X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern displaying amorphism nature of chitosan additionally with four typical peaks related to the diffraction planes of silver nanoparticles. D: TEM micrograph illustrating ChiNPs a pseudospherical to spherical shape with 36.52 nm average size.....</p>	
15	65
<p>Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) physicochemical characterization. A: Zeta potential measurement showing a negative surface charge with -36.5 mV. B: Particle size distribution histogram of AgNPs with an average size of 7.43 nm. C: X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern displaying four typical peaks related to the diffraction planes of silver nanoparticles. D: HR-TEM micrograph illustrating spherical shape nanoparticles</p>	

Figure	Page
with 8.54 nm average size.....	66
16 Chitosan-silver nanocomposites (Chi-AgNPs) Physicochemical characterization. A: Particle size distribution histogram with an average size of 45 nm. B: Zeta potential measurement showing a negative surface charge with -20.10 mV. C: X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern displaying amorphism nature of chitosan additionally with four typical peaks related to the diffraction planes of silver nanoparticles. D: TEM micrograph illustrating Chi-AgNPs a pseudospherical to spherical shape with 43.08 nm average size.....	68
17 Chitosan-salicylic acid nanoparticles (Chi-SalNPs) physicochemical characterization. A: Particle size distribution histogram with an average size of 48.77 nm. B: Zeta potential measurement showing a positive surface charge with 34.6 mV. C: X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern displaying amorphism nature of chitosan additionally with typical peaks related to the diffraction planes of salicylic acids. D: TEM micrograph illustrating Chi-SalNPs a pseudospherical to spherical shape with 40.28 nm average size.....	69
18 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease incidence and severity response scored on faba bean plants treated with foliar protective (A) and curative (B) applications of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) at six tested concentrations under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	73
19 Disease response of <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> scored on faba bean plants treated with foliar application of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) compare to untreated control plants A,B : Untreated control plants showing a severe mosaic and stunting compared to ChiNPs-treated plants with 400 mg.l ⁻¹ . C : 50 mg.l ⁻¹ treated plants showing severe mosaic. D : 400 mg.l ⁻¹ treated plants with no symptoms.....	74
20 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease response on faba bean plants treated with seed soaking (A) and in	

Figure	Page
combination with foliar application (B) of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) at six tested concentrations compared to untreated control	75
21 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease incidence and severity response scored on faba bean plants treated with foliar protective (A) and curative (B) applications of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) at six tested concentrations	79
22 Disease response of <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> scored on faba bean plants treated with foliar application of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) compare to untreated control plants. A, B: Untreated control plants showing a severe mosaic and stunting compared to AgNPs-treated plants at 300 mg.l ⁻¹ . C: 50 mg.l ⁻¹ treated plants showing mild-to-severe mosaic. D: 300 mg.l ⁻¹ treated plants showing no symptoms.....	80
23 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease response on faba bean plants treated with seed soaking (A) and in combination with foliar application (B) of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) at six tested concentrations compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	83
24 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease incidence and severity response scored on faba bean plants treated with foliar protective (A) and curative (B) applications of chitosan-silver nanocomposite (Chi-AgNPs) at six tested concentrations	85
25 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease response on faba bean plants treated with seed soaking (A) and in combination with the foliar application (B) of chitosan-silver nanocomposite (Chi-AgNPs) at six	

Figure	Page
tested concentrations compared to untreated control	86
26 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease incidence and severity response scored on faba bean plants treated with foliar protective (A) and curative (B) applications of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-SalNPs) at six tested concentrations	90
27 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> disease response on faba bean plants treated with seed soaking (A) and in combination with the foliar application (B) of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-Sal NPs) at six tested concentrations compared to untreated control.....	91
28 Transmission electron microscope micrograph from leaf dip-preparations of BYMV illustrating the direct effect of silver nanoparticles on virus units <i>in vivo</i> . A: AgNPs aggregates and attached to the envelope of virus particles in treated plants compared to untreated control (C), B: AgNPs-healthy treated plants served as a comparable control. Photos were captured under direct mag.:100000 x with scale pare of 100 nm, HV=80.0kV.	93
29 Transmission electron microscope micrograph of BYMV particles unites obtained from chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) treated (B, C, D) and untreated control (A). Red arrows refereeing to the defective viral particles in ChiNPs treated plants compared to untreated control.Photos were captured under direct mag.:100000 x with scale pare of 100 nm, HV=80.0kV.	94
30 <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> scored in faba bean plants treated with foliar protective application of different prepared nanoparticles at six tested concentrations compared to healthy and infected untreated control, expressed as optical density at 405 nm	98

Figure	Page
31	102
<p><i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> scored in faba bean plants treated with foliar curative application of different prepared nanoparticles at six tested concentrations compared to healthy and infected untreated control, expressed as optical density at 405 nm</p>	
32	105
<p><i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> scored in faba bean plants treated with seed soaking application of different prepared nanoparticles at six tested concentrations compared to healthy and infected untreated control, expressed as optical density at 405 nm.....</p>	
33	108
<p><i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> scored in faba bean plants treated with seed soaking in combination with foliar application of different prepared nanoparticles at six tested concentrations compared to healthy and infected untreated control, expressed as optical density at 405 nm</p>	
34	111
<p>Modulation changes in pathogenesis-related gene 1 (PR-1) expression and protein patterns in response to silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) foliar application. A, B: Expression pattern analysis of PR-1 of AgNPs over the untreated control. C: Protein patterns illustrating changes in protein accumulations.....</p>	
35	113
<p>Modulation changes in pathogenesis-related gene 1 (PR-1) expression and protein patterns in response to chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) foliar application. A, B: Expression pattern analysis of PR-1 of AgNPs over the untreated control. C: Protein patterns illustrating changes in protein accumulations.</p>	
36	
<p>Phenolic contents dynamic curve of faba bean plants treated with foliar application of chitosan nanoparticles (A) and silver nanoparticles (B) at three different</p>	

Figure	Page
	concentrations compared to untreated control 116
37	Phenolic contents dynamic curve of faba bean plants treated with seed soaking application of synthesized nanomaterials at three different concentrations compared to untreated control 119
38	Time course of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) compared to untreated control 122
39	Time course of polyphenol oxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) compared to untreated control 125
40	Time course of peroxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) compared to untreated control. 127
41	Time course of polyphenol oxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) compared to untreated control 131
42	Time course of peroxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) compared to untreated control.. 133
43	Time course of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions. 136
44	Time course of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (A), polyphenol oxidase (B) and peroxidase (c) activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentration of chitosan silver nanoparticles (Chi-AgNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 139

Figure	Page
45	Time course of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-salNPs) compared to untreated control 141
46	Time course of polyphenol oxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-salNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 143
47	Time course of peroxidase activity in faba bean plants treated with different concentrations of chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-salNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions..... 144
48	Vegetation parameters of faba bean plants treated with chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) at three methods of applications compared to untreated healthy (C-ve) and infected (C+ve) control plants..... 147
49	Vegetation parameters of faba bean plants treated with silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) at three methods of applications compared to untreated healthy (C-ve) and infected (C+ve) control plants..... 149
50	Vegetative parameters of faba bean plants treated with chitosan-silver nanocomposites (Chi-AgNPs) at three methods of applications compared to untreated healthy (C-ve) and infected (C+ve) control plants..... 152
51	Vegetation parameters of faba bean plants treated with chitosan-salicylic acid nanoparticles (Chi-salNPs) at three methods of applications compared to untreated healthy (C-ve) and infected (C+ve) control plants..... 154

Figure	Page	
52	<p>Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) affect <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> (BYMV)-acquisition and transmissibility. A: Effect on the percentage of BYMV-acquisition and transmissibility when vector aphids get contact with AgNPs-treated plants at 1, 5 and 10 days before acquisition assay. B: Gel electrophoresis analysis of RT-PCR coat protein gene product of BYMV in faba bean plants 30 days after acquisition assay, AgNPs treatments were sprayed 24 h pre-acquisition assay (before aphids get in contact with virus-infected plants). Lan1: control, Lan 2,3,4,5,6 and 7 are: 300, 250, 200, 150, 100 and 50 mg l⁻¹ of AgNPs treatments respectively. C: Disease response on faba plants scored 21 days after challenged with aphids at 24 h. D: Proportion of acquisition from AgNPs-treated plants infected with BYMV 24 h before acquisition assay.....</p>	158
53	<p><i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i> aphid transmission and disease severity response in faba bean plants treated with foliar application of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) at six different concentrations compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.</p>	164
54	<p>Effects on the percentage of <i>Bean yellow mosaic virus</i>-aphid transmission and disease severity response in faba bean plants treated with foliar applications of chitosan-silver nanoparticles (Chi-AgNPs) compared to untreated control. A: percentage of virus transmission and disease severity. B: Gel electrophoresis analysis of RT-PCR coat protein gene product of BYMV in faba bean plants 30 days after virus inoculation with aphids, Lan1: control, Lan 2,3,4,5,6 and 7 are: 400, 300, 250, 200, 100 and 50 mg l⁻¹ of Chi-AgNPs treatments respectively. C: Disease response scored on treated and untreated plants.....</p>	165

Figure	Page	
55	Population dynamic of vector aphid on faba bean plants treated with two tested concentrations of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs), silver nanoparticles (AgNPs), chitosan-silver nanocomposite (Chi-AgNPs) and chitosan-salicylic acid nanocomposite (Chi-Sal NPs) compared to control.	168
56	Aphid population density on faba bean plants treated with two tested concentrations of chitosan nanoparticles (ChiNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	169
57	Aphid population density on faba bean plants treated with two tested concentrations of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	170
58	Aphid population density on faba bean plants treated with two tested concentrations of chitosan-silver nanoparticles (Chi-AgNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	171
59	Aphid population density on faba bean plants treated with two tested concentrations of chitosan-salicylic acid nanoparticles (Chi-SalNPs) compared to untreated control under insect-proof greenhouse conditions.....	172