



Development of Multi-residues Method for Pesticide Residues Analysis in Essential Oils Using Chromatographic Technique

Thesis Submitted By

Mahmoud Samir Mohamed El Saied

M. Sc. in chemistry / Faculty of Science / Ain Shams University 2017

For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in chemistry (Ph. D.) Chemistry Department - Faculty of Science Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud Mohamed Abo-Aly

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Mona Abd El Aziz Khorshed

Chief Researcher and Technical Manager - Central Lab of Residue Analysis of Pesticides and Heavy Metals in Food - Agriculture Research Center

ABSTRACT

Orange oil is considered as the largest produced essential oil worldwide due to its unique properties. Pesticide residues in orange oil are expected to be much higher than the original fruit due to orange peel's cold-pressing during orange oil production. These residues may cause various health problems if consumed. The purpose of our study was to develop, optimize and compare four multi-residues extraction methods (dilution, QuEChERS, ethyl acetate, and mini-Luke) for analysis of 387 pesticides in orange oil using LC-MS/MS and GC-MS/MS. To our knowledge, this is the first report on the use of ethyl acetate and the mini-Luke method for the analysis of orange oil. The comparison was based on recoveries, matrix effect, and the amount of co-extract matrix. The optimum mean recoveries were obtained by the ethyl acetate method, which successfully analyzes 371 out of 387 pesticides with acceptable recovery (70-120%). It also showed a narrow recovery distribution in the range of 90-110% for 69% of all studied pesticides. Regarding the matrix effect, the QuEChERS method gave the highest number of pesticides with an insignificant matrix effect (80-120%) for both LC and GC amenable pesticides. The least amount of co-extract matrix components according to GC-MS/MS scan and gravimetric analysis has been achieved by the QuEChERS method.

In conclusion, the Ethyl acetate method gives acceptable recovery for a wide range of pesticides with a narrow recovery distribution and a moderate amount of co-extract matrix. While the QuEChERS method provides better selectivity and cleaner extract but with a narrow scope and less precision.

A monitoring program has been conducted to analyze the pesticide residues content in the orange oil sample that has been produced in Egypt using the ethyl acetate method. Thirty five orange oil samples have been analyzed and found to contain several pesticides (at least 15 pesticides) in each sample with various classes. The risk assessment to these pesticide levels couldn't be evaluated due to the absence of MRL. The risk of this pesticide depends mainly on the manufacture dilution factor in each application.

Keywords: multi-residue extraction methods; Orange oil; Liquid chromatography; Gas chromatography; Tandem mass spectrometry, Pesticide residues.

Aim of the work

1.	Introduction	1
1.1.	Essential oils	1
1.2.	Orange oil	3
1.3.	Pesticides and their Health Problems	3
1.4. Cl	hemical and physical properties of orange oil	. 4
1.5.	Instruments used for pesticide residues analysis	. 5
1.5.1.	High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC)	. 5
1.5.2.	Gas chromatography	. 7
1.5.3.	Mass spectrometry	. 8
1.5.4.	Quadrupole	10
1.6.	Matrix effect	. 14
1.6.1.	Matrix effect in LC-MS/MS	15
1.6.2.	Electrospray ionization (ESI)	15
1.6.3.	Matrix effect in GC-MS/MS	17
1.7.	Ways to compensate for matrix effect	18
1.8.	Extraction methods	19
2.	Materials and Methods	22
2.1.	Chemicals and reagents	.22
2.2.	Standard preparation	.22
2.3.	Apparatus	.23
2.4.	Sample preparation	.24
2.4.1.	QuEChERS method	.25
2.4.2.	Dilution method	.25
2.4.3.	Mini-Luke method	.26

2.4.4.	Ethyl acetate method27
2.5.	Instrumentation and conditions27
2.5.1.	LC-MS/MS
2.5.2.	GC-MS/MS
2.6.	Recoveries
2.7.	Matrix effect and amount of co-extracted matrix31
3.	Results and discussion
3.1.	Optimization of sample extraction methods33
3.1.1.	Optimization of QuEChERS method
3.1.2.	Optimization of Dilution method
3.1.3. metho	Modification of mini-Luke method and Ethyl acetate
3.1.4.	Recoveries comparison for the studied methods
3.2.	Matrix effect comparison for the studied methods42
3.2.1.	Matrix effect for LC-MS/MS42
3.2.2.	Matrix effect for GC-MS/MS43
3.3.	Amount of co-extracted matrix for the studied methods45
3.3.1.	Scan test using GC-MS/MS45
3.3.2.	Gravimetric test46
3.3.3.	Simplicity comparison between the studied methods47
3.4. sample	Monitoring of pesticide residues in commercial orange oil es
4.	Summary and Conclusion
5.	Reference

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Figure Title	Page
1	Fig.1: Different methods for extracting essential oil a: Water distillation b: Steam distillation c: Cold pressing	2
2	Fig.2: A schematic of an HPLC system	6
3	Fig.3: A schematic of GC system	8
4	Fig.4: A typical mass spectrum	9
5	Fig.5: linear quadrupole mass analyzer	11
6	Fig.6: diagram for AB Scix 4000qtrap triple quadrupole	12
7	Fig.7: Scan Modes in Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer spectrometer	14
8	Fig. 8: Illustration of Electrospray ionization process	16
9	Fig. 9: A Schematic flow for matrix enhancement effect	18
10	Fig. 10: Graphical comparison of the recoveries obtained by the QuEChERS method with and without water addition	36
11	Fig. 11. Graphical comparison of the recoveries of LC amenable pesticides obtained by the dilution method	37
12	Fig. 12. Graphical comparison of the recoveries of LC amenable pesticides obtained by the dilution method	38
13	Fig. 13. Graphical comparison of the recoveries obtained by the studied extraction methods	40

Figure	Figure Title	Page
14	Fig. 14. The relation between pesticide recoveries and Kow for each studied method	41
15	Fig. 15. Graphical comparison of the ME obtained by the studied extraction methods using LC-MS/MS	43
16	Fig. 16. Graphical comparison of the ME obtained by the studied extraction methods using GC-MS/MS	45
17	Fig. 17. Full scan chromatograms of orange oil blank extract by GC-MS/MS using the studied methods	46
18	Fig. 18. Amount of co-extracted matrix (mg/mL) for the studied methods	47
19	Fig. 19. Graphical representation of the steps and time required for each studied method	48

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Table Title	Page
1	Table 1 Gradient elution program for LC-MS/MS	29
2	Table 2 Matrix effect indication terms	32
3	Table 3 Recoveries at 0.05µg/ml for 48 represented pesticides intended for method optimization	34
	Table 4 Recoveries at 500 µg kg -1, RSD, Log Kow and matrix	
4	effects in orange oil for the studied methods.	49
5	Table 5 pesticides name, Log Kow, No. of positive pesticidealongwithpesticideshighest,lowestandaverageconcentration	63
6	Table 1S Names, chemical class, formula, molecular weight,CAS No. and stock solvent for the target pesticides	80
7	Table 2S Retention time and mass parameter for the target pesticides analysed by LC-MS/MS	103
8	Table 3S Retention time and mass parameter for the targetpesticides analysed by LC-MS/MS	120

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations	Synonyms
ACN	Acetonitrile
amu	atomic mass unit
API	Atmospheric Pressure Ionization
CAD	collision gas
CE	Collision Energy
CI	Chemical ionization
CUR	Curtain Gas
CXP	Cell Exit Potential
DC	direct-current
DP	Declustering Potential
DW	Deionized water
Ε	Extreme
EI	Electron ionization
EO	Essential oil
EP	Entrance Potential
ESI	Electrospray ionization
EU	Europe union
FAB	Fast atom bombardment
GC-MS/MS	Gas Chromatography Coupled with
	Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometry
HPLC	High-pressure liquid chromatography
IS ISO	Ion spray
	International Organization for Standardization
	Octane-water partion coefficient
LC-MS/MS	Liquid Chromatography Coupled with Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometry
	Medium
Μ	mass-to-charge ratio
m/z	Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization
ma	many assisted faser description ionization

MALDI

Abbreviations	Synonyms
MRL	maximum residue limit
MRM	Multiple Reaction Monitoring
ppb	part per billion
ppm	part per million
PSA	Primary secondary amine bonded phase silica
QuEChERS	Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, And Sa
RF	Radiofrequency
RSD	Relative Standard Deviation
SRM	Selected Reaction Monitoring
TEM	source temperature
TOF	Time-of-flight