



**Ecological studies on the cowpea aphid, *Aphis craccivora*  
Koch infesting broad bean crop with special references to  
its Hymenopters parasitoids in Assiut Governorate**

**By**

***Enas Gamal Ahmed El-Sayed***

B.Sc. Agric. Sci., (General agriculture production) Assiut University  
(2008)

M. Sc. Agric. Sci., (Plant Protection-Economic Entomology) Assiut  
University (2016)

**THESIS**

Submitted in partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
**Doctor of Philosophy**

In

Agricultural Science

(Plant Protection - Entomology)

Department of Plant Protection

Faculty of Agriculture

Sohag University

**2022**

**Supervised by:**

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed. M. A. Salman**

Professor of Economic Entomology, Former Vice-Dean for Education and student  
Affairs, Fac. of Agric. Sohag Univ., Egypt.

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. A. Abdel-Rahman**

Prof. of Economic Entomology, Plant Protection Research Institute, A.R.C.,  
Egypt.

## Contents

Subject	Page
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	I
<b>Contents</b>	II
<b>List of tables</b>	VI
<b>List of figures</b>	IX
<b>1-Introduction</b>	1
<b>2-Review of Literature</b>	4
<b>2.1- Ecological studies</b>	4
2.1.1- Population studies of main insect pest inhabiting faba bean plants and their associated natural enemies.	4
2.1.2- Susceptibility of some broad bean varieties to natural infestation by aphid, <i>A. craccivora</i> under field conditions.	14
2.1.3- Factors affecting on the population of the main pests infesting broad bean plants.	18
2.1.4- Effect of certain agricultural tools as alternative methods of pesticides to control the key insect pests of broad bean plants.	21
<b>2.2- Biological studies</b>	23
<b>3-Materials and Methods</b>	27
<b>3.1- Population studies</b>	27
<b>3.2- Survey of the natural enemies</b>	28
3.2.1- Sweep net technique.	28
3.2.2- Mummies collected from faba bean fields.	28
3.2.3- Parasitization rate.	28
<b>3.3- Agricultural practice</b>	28
3.3.1- Faba bean cultivars (three cultivars).	28

## Contents (Cont.)

Subject	Page
3.3.2- Planting dates (three dates).	29
3.3.3- Nitrogen fertilization (3 levels).	29
<b>3.4- Susceptibility degrees</b>	29
<b>3.5- Chemical analysis</b>	29
<b>3.6- Biological studies</b>	29
3.6.1- Plant rearing.	29
3.6.2- Aphid source.	30
3.6.3- Experiments.	30
<b>4-Results and discussion</b>	31
<b>4.1- Main insect pests.</b>	31
<b>4.2- Some natural enemies (predators and parasitoids) recorded associated with broad bean plants in Assiut</b>	31
4.2.1- Predators	31
4.2.2- parasitoids	31
4.2.3- Dominance degrees of the predators and parasitoids inhabiting broad bean plants.	31
4.2.3.1- Predators.	31
4.2.3.2- Parasitoids.	35
<b>4.3- Impact of hymenopterous parasitoids in regulating cowpea aphid in Assiut</b>	37
4.3.1-Mummification rate.	37
4.3.1.1- 2017-2018 season.	37
4.3.1.2- 2018-2019 season.	37
4.3.2- Parasitization rate.	37
4.3.2.1- 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 seasons.	37
<b>4.4- Population studies</b>	45

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Page</b>
4.4.1- Aphids, <i>A. craccivora</i>	45
4.4.1.1- 2017-2018 Season.	45
4.4.1.2- 2018-2019 season.	45
4.4.1.3- Population age structure of cowpea aphid infesting faba bean	52
4.4.1.4- Multi-correlation analysis of some factors affecting the infestation of faba bean plants by <i>A. craccivora</i> .	55
4.4.1.5- Biotic factors	55
4.4.1.5.1- Plant age.	55
4.4.1.5.2- Predators.	55
4.4.1.5.3- Parasitoids.	58
4.4.1.6- Abiotic factors	58
4.4.1.6.1- Air temperature and humidity.	58
4.2.2- The leafminer, <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess)	58
4.4.2.1- 2017 - 2018 Season.	58
4.4.2.2- 2018 - 2019 season.	61
4.4.2.3- Population age structure of the leafminer on faba bean plants	61
4.4.2.4- Multi-correlation analysis of some factors affecting the infestation of faba bean plants by the leafminer, <i>L. trifolii</i> .	68
4.4.2.5- Biotic factors	68
4.4.2.5.1- Plant age.	68
4.4.2.5.2- Predators.	71
4.4.2.6- Abiotic factors	71
4.4.2.6.1- Air temperature and humidity.	71
<b>4.5-Agricultural practices</b>	71
4.5.1- Effect of sowing dates and faba bean cultivars.	71
4.5.2- Effect of faba bean cultivars	74
4.5.3- Effect of nitrogen fertilization.	74

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>4.6- Some biological aspects of the cowpea aphid infesting faba bean.</b>	81
4.6.1- Developmental time.	81
4.6.1.1-Nymphal stage.	81
4.6.1.2- Adult stage.	81
<b>5- Summary</b>	87
<b>6-References</b>	93
<b>Arabic summary</b>	-

### List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
4 -1	The average number of main insect pests recovered infesting faba bean plants in Assiut during the two successive seasons of 2017- 2019.	32
4 -2	A partial list of certain partial enemies collected associated with faba bean fields during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	33
4 -3	Dominance degrees (%) of some predators recovered associated insect pests inhabiting broad bean plants, during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	34
4 – 4	Dominance degrees (%) of some parasitoids reared on <i>A. craccivora</i> inhabiting broad bean plants, during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	36
4-5	Field parasitism (mummification rate) of <i>A. craccivora</i> and percentage of parasitism in the field, Assiut 2017-2018.	38
4-6	Field parasitism (mummification rate) of <i>A. craccivora</i> and percentage of parasitism in the field, Assiut 2018-2019	40
4-7	Number of developed mummies (parasitization rate) reared from <i>A. craccivora</i> during 2017-2018 season.	42
4-8	Number of developed mummies (parasitization rate) reared from <i>A. craccivora</i> during 2018-2019 season.	43
4-9	Population fluctuation of <i>A. craccivora</i> infesting broad bean plants, during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	46
4-10	Factors affecting on <i>A. craccivora</i> population infesting broad bean plants during 2017-2018 season.	48
4-11	Factors affecting on <i>A. craccivora</i> infesting broad bean plants during 2018-2019 season.	50
4-12	Population phases of <i>A. craccivora</i> (P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5 in days), maximum number of aphids / plant (Max. No.), coefficient of daily rate of population increase ( $\alpha_1$ ) and decrease ( $\alpha_2$ ) and the duration of each population stage (P3-P1, P3-P2, P4-P3, P5-P3, P5-P1 and P4-P2 in days) on faba	53

### List of Tables (Cont.)

Table No.	Title	Page
	bean plants, during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	
4 -13	Relative efficiency of Multi-factors affecting population of <i>A. craccivora</i> infesting broad bean plants during, 2017-2018 season.	56
4 -14	Relative efficiency of Multi-factors affecting population of <i>A. craccivora</i> infesting broad bean plants during, 2018-2019 season.	56
4 -15	Population fluctuation of <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) infesting broad bean plants, during 2017-2018season.	59
4-16	Population fluctuation of <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) infesting broad bean plants, during 2018-2019 season.	62
4 -17	Factors affecting on <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) population infesting broad bean plants during 2017-2018 season.	64
4 -18	Factors affecting on <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) infesting broad bean plants during 2018-2019 season.	65
4 -19	Population phases of <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) (P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5 in days), maximum number leafminer / plant (Max. No.), coefficient of daily rate of population increase ( $\alpha_1$ ) and decrease ( $\alpha_2$ ) and the duration of each population stage (P3-P1, P3-P2, P4-P3, P5-P3, P5-P1 and P4-P2 in days) on faba bean during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	66
4 -20	Relative efficiency of Multi-factors affecting population of <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) infesting broad bean plants during, 2017-2018 season.	69
4-21	Relative efficiency of Multi-factors affecting population of <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) infesting broad bean plants during, 2018-2019 season.	69
4-22	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of cultivars, at the three studied sowing dates through the whole period of observation, 2017-2018 season.	72
4-23	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of cultivars, at the three studied sowing dates through the whole period of observation, 2018-2019 season.	73

**List of Tables (Cont.)**

Table No.	Title	Page
4 – 24	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of sowing dates, on the studied cultivars through the whole period of observation, 2017-2018 season.	75
4 – 25	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of sowing dates, on the studied cultivars through the whole period of observation, 2018-2019 season.	76
4-26	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of sowing dates, on the studied Nitrogen fertilizer rate / plot through the whole period of observation, 2017-2018 season.	77
4-27	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of sowing dates, on the studied Nitrogen fertilizer rate / plot through the whole period of observation, 2018-2019 season.	78
4-28	Susceptibility degrees of broad bean cultivars to <i>A. craccivora</i> infestation during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	79
4-29	Susceptibility degrees of broad bean cultivars to leafminer infestation during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	80
4-30	Developmental time (in days $\pm$ SD) of <i>A. craccivora</i> reared on three varieties of faba bean at temperature of $20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	82
4-31	Cumulative survival (numbers) of <i>A. craccivora</i> reared on different varieties of faba bean at temperature of $20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	83
4-32	Survival (%) of <i>A. craccivora</i> reared on different varieties of faba bean at temperature of $20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	83
4-33	Index of Efficiency (IE) of <i>A. craccivora</i> reared on different varieties of faba bean at temperature of $20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	83
4-34	Longevity and number of progeny of <i>A. craccivora</i> reared on three varieties of faba bean at temperature of $20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	84
4-35	Some life table aspects of <i>A. craccivora</i> on reared on three varieties of faba bean at temperature of $20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	84



### List of Figures

Table No.	Title	Page
4-1	The average number of the main insect pests recovered infesting faba bean plants in Assiut during two successive seasons of 2017- 2019 seasons.	32
4-2	Dominance degrees (%) of some predators recovered associated insect pests inhabiting broad bean plants, during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	34
4-3	Dominance degrees (%) of some parasitoids reared on <i>A. craccivora</i> inhabiting broad bean plants, during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	36
4-4	Field parasitism (mummification rate) of <i>A. craccivora</i> and percentage of parasitism in the field, during 2017-2018 season.	39
4-5	Field parasitism (mummification rate) of <i>A. craccivora</i> and percentage of parasitism in the field, during 2018-2019 season.	41
4-6	Number of developed mummies (parasitization rate) reared from <i>A. craccivora</i> during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	44
4-7	Population fluctuation of <i>A. craccivora</i> infesting broad bean plants, during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	47
4-8	Population of <i>A. craccivora</i> infesting broad bean plants during 2017-2018 and the associated natural enemies.	49
4-9	Meteorological data recorded during 2017-2018 season.	49
4-10	Population of <i>A. craccivora</i> infesting broad bean plants and the associated natural enemies during 2018-2019 season.	51
4-11	Meteorological data recorded during 2018-2019 season.	51
4-12	Population phases of <i>A. craccivora</i> (P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5 in days), maximum number of aphids / plant (Max. No.), coefficient of daily rate of population increase ( $\alpha_1$ ) and decrease ( $\alpha_2$ ) and the duration of each population stage (P3-P1, P3-P2, P4-P3, P5-P3, P5-P1 and P4-P2 in days) on faba bean plants, during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	54

### List of Figures (Cont.)

Table No.	Title	Page
4-13	Relative efficiency of Multi-factors affecting population of <i>A. craccivora</i> infesting broad bean plants during, two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	57
4-14	Population fluctuation of <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) infesting broad bean plants, during of 2017-2018 season.	60
4-15	Population fluctuation of <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) infesting broad bean plants, during 2018-2019 season.	63
4-16	Population phases of <i>L. trifolii</i> (P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5 in days), maximum number on faba bean plants during two successive seasons 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 season.	67
4-17	Relative efficiency of Multi-factors affecting population of <i>L. trifolii</i> (Burgess) infesting broad bean plants during, two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	70
4-18	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of cultivars, at the three studied sowing dates through the whole period of observation, 2017-2018 season.	72
4-19	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of cultivars, at the three studied sowing dates through the whole period of observation, 2018-2019 season.	73
4-20	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of sowing dates, on the studied cultivars through the whole period of observation, 2017-2018 season.	75
4-21	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of sowing dates, on the studied cultivars through the whole period of observation, 2018-2019 season.	76
4-22	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of sowing dates, on the studied Nitrogen fertilizer rate / plot through the whole period of observation, 2017-2018 season.	77
4-23	The average number of studied insects/plant regardless of the effect of sowing dates, on the studied Nitrogen fertilizer rate / plot through the	78

### List of Figures (Cont.)

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
	whole period of observation, 2018-2019 season.	
4-24	Susceptibility degrees of broad bean cultivars to <i>A. craccivora</i> infestation during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	79
4-25	Susceptibility degrees of broad bean cultivars to leafminer infestation during two successive seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	80
4-26	Developmental time (in days $\pm$ SD) of <i>A. craccivora</i> reared on three varieties of faba bean at temperature of $20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	82

## 5- Summary

Faba bean (*V. faba* L.) is a species of bean family Fabaceae (Leguminosae), it is an economically important crop in Egypt.

The present study was undertaken at Refa village (27.0976° N, 31.2070° E), Assiut District (10 Kilometers south of Assiut City). It has long been planted to patches of alfalfa, faba bean, Egyptian clover, wheat, maize and vegetables. This study was oriented in the farmer fields during two successive growing seasons of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.

**Thus, the present study aimed to investigate:**

- 1- Studying the population of aphids and their natural enemies with special references to hymenopterous parasitoids.
- 2- Studying factors affecting the population of the main pests infesting broad bean plants.
- 3- Examined certain agricultural tools as alternative methods of insecticides to control the key pests of bean.
- 4- Studying susceptibility of some broad bean varieties to natural infestation by aphids under field condition.
- 5- Studying some biological aspects of the cowpea aphid infesting broad bean cultivars.

**The results could be summarized as follows:**

### 5.1- Pests

The achieved results during the two successive seasons of the present study revealed that the cowpea aphid, *A. craccivora* Koch and the bean leafminer, *L. trifolii* (Burgess) are the most dominant insect pests infesting broad bean plants in Assiut. Immature and adults of these pests were commonly intermixed together at the same location on the plants in Assiut.

### 5.2- Some natural enemies (predators and parasitoids) recorded associated with broad bean plants in Assiut

Five species of natural enemies were identified as entomophagous. They are belonging to 4 orders (Coleoptera, Diptera, Neuroptera, and Heteroptera as well as some of the unidentified species of true spiders) and 5 families (Coccinellidae, Staphylinidae, Syrphidae, Chrysopidae, and Anthocoridae). The green lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea* (Steph.), the hover fly, *Syrphus corolla* F. and the lady beetles, *Coccinella undecimpunctata* L., and some unidentified species of true spiders were the most abundant predators which recorded and identified. Species such as *Paederus alferii* Koch, and *Orius* sp. were collected occasionally in low numbers.

#### 5.2.1- Parasitoids

Four species of primary parasitoids; *Aphidius colemani* Viereck, *Aphidius matricaria*, *Diaretella rapae* (M'Intosh) and *Paraon necans* (Mackauer) were recorded and identified belonging to family Aphidiidae; in addition to two hyperparasitoid species belonging to two families, Cynipidae; *Alloxysta* sp. and Pteromalidae, *Oachyneuron* sp.

### 5.3- Dominance degrees of the predators and parasitoids inhabiting broad bean plants:

### **5.3.1- Predators**

Generally, regardless of the growing seasons, data showed that *C. undecimpunctata* seems to be the most important economic predator on broad bean plants.

### **5.3.2- Parasitoids**

The dominance degrees (%) of hymenopterous parasitoid species emerged from mummies of *A. craccivora* collected from broad bean plants during 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 growing seasons in Assiut. *Aphidius matricariae* *Diaretella rapae* had the most dominant degrees % (31.68 and 23.76% during 2017-2018) and (25.35 and 16.90% during 2018-2019) followed by *P. necans*, meanwhile, *A. colemani* had low values of dominance. For the secondary parasitoids, *Alloxysta* and *Oachyneuron* had the lowest dominance degrees (9.90, 7.93%, and 22.54, 8.45%) during 2017-2018 and 2018-2019, respectively.

## **5.4- Impact of hymenopterous parasitoids in regulating cowpea aphid in Assiut**

Field and laboratory studies were conducted to determine the effect of hymenopterous parasitoids in relation to cowpea population during 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 growing seasons.

### **5.4.1- Mummification rate**

#### **5.4.1.1- 2017-2018 season**

Data revealed that the mummified aphids appeared on faba bean plants during the period extended from the third week of November up to the end of March. The percentage of parasitism was relatively low generally <3% until the middle of January. Then the level of parasitism dramatically increased as the aphid population increased. Maximum parasitism (6.38%) was achieved during the end of February and the beginning of March.

#### **5.4.1.2- 2018-2019 season**

Data showed that the mummified aphids appeared on plants at the third week of November up to the end of March. The percentage of parasitism (%) was relatively low (0.15%) at the third week of November and increased with small number of forming a peak (7.44%) at the middle of March. Generally, the mummification rate in the first season more than those in the second season.

### **5.4.2- Parasitization rate**

The present study was oriented under laboratory condition to estimate the rate of parasitism by hemeopterous parasitoids attacking cowpea aphid, *A. craccivora*. Live aphids (apterous forms) were collected weekly from faba bean field. In each date specimens were transferred to the laboratory and aphids were reared until formation of mummies.

#### **5.4.2.1- 2017-2018**

The present study indicated that the hymenopterous parasitoids are considered to be potentially important regulates of cowpea aphid in faba bean ecosystem.

## **5.5- Population studies**

### **5.5.1- Aphids, *A. craccivora***

#### **5.5.1.1- 2017-2018 Season**

Data show that the *A. craccivora* began to appear in the field in relatively low numbers (0.10 individuals / plant) third week of November when the plants were in the seedling stage. This period coincided with a maximum temperature of 27.86°C, a minimum temperature of 13.71°C, a maximum RH of 72.57% and a minimum RH of 13.25%. Thereafter, the population density of the pest fluctuated in scarcely numbers to attain the peak (46.34 individuals / plant) during the second week of February (Feb. 10) when the plants were during the vegetative growth stage (end of flowering and beginning of ripening stages). The recorded weather factors in this period were 30.07°C and 8.64°C for max. and min., temperature and 70.71% and 9.43% for max. and min. RH, respectively. Then the number of aphids decreased gradually till the end of March, when the faba bean plants were in the ripening stages. The recorded weather factors were 30.57°C and 11.43°C for max. and min. temperature and 60.57% and 12.86% for max. and min. RH, respectively.

#### **5.5.1.2- 2018-2019 season**

The population trend was nearly similar as in 2017-2018 season. *A. craccivora* were first appeared in relatively high numbers during the third week of November after one month of sowing when the plants were in the seedling stage. Then, the number increased gradually forming the peak of 82.56 individuals / plant during the first week of February (the end of flowering and the beginning of ripening stages). The recorded max., min. temperatures were 23.50°C; 4.71°C and the max., min. RH were 80.57%, 25.43%, respectively. The population then decreased to its lowest level (2.23 individuals / plant). The recorded max., min. temperature were 27.71°C, 7.14°C and the max., min. RH were 77.14%, 15.14%, respectively.

#### **5.5.1.3- Population age structure of cowpea aphid infesting faba bean**

From the foregoing results the population development of *A. craccivora* at Assiut area, in southern Egypt can be described by dividing this populations into five phases and calculated from the beginning of sowing (middle of October) as starting date as follows.

Its clear that the cowpea aphid, *A. craccivora* needed about 122.50 days (17 – 18 weeks) from the first appear on the plant to their disappearance (P1-P5).

The highest population level could be expected after about 110.50 days (about 15 weeks).

#### **5.5.1.4- Multi-correlation analysis of some factors affecting the infestation of faba bean plants by *A. craccivora***

The relationship between *A. craccivora* infestation and some variables was investigated. The studied variables were plant age and predators as biotic factors as well as some abiotic factors air temperatures and relative humidity.

##### **5.5.1.4.1- Biotic factors**

###### **5.5.1.4.1.1- Plant age**

The results shows that the rating sort of the plant age came in number one and had significant positive correlation with plant age ( $r = +0.77$ ).

#### **5.5.1.4.1.2- Predators**

Predators came in the rating sort in number three and seven and had positive correlation with predators ( $r = + 0.53$ ).

#### **5.5.1.4.1.3- Parasitoids**

Predators came in the rating sort in number four and four and had significant positive correlation with parasitoids ( $r = + 0.62$ ).

#### **5.5.1.4.2- Abiotic factors**

##### **5.5.1.4.2.1- Air temperature and humidity**

The rating sort of the maximum and minimum temperature came in number two and six of (2017-2018) and five and three of (2018-2019) and had significant positive correlation ( $r = + 0.59$ ) and ( $r = + 0.66$ ) respectively.

The maximum and minimum relative humidity came in number seven and five of (2017-2018) and six and two of (2018-2019) and had highly significant positive correlation ( $r = +0.71$ ) and ( $r = + 0.68$ ) respectively.

#### **5.5.2- The leafminer, *L. trifolii* (Burgess)**

Two characteristic parameters (i.e larval content and number of tunnels / plant) were used to determine the population of the leafminer infesting broad bean plants in the fields during 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 seasons.

##### **5.5.2.1- 2017 - 2018 Season**

Data show that the *L. trifolii* began to appear in the field of faba bean in relatively high numbers (0.15 / plant) after one month of planting during the third week of November when the plants were in the seedling stage. This period coincided with a maximum temperature of 21.86°C, a minimum temperature of 13.71°C, a maximum RH of 72.57% and a minimum RH of 13.25%. Thereafter, the population density of the pest fluctuated in scarcely numbers to attain the peak (39.08 / plant) during the third week of February when the plants were in the ripening stage and beginning of mature stage. The recorded weather factors in this period were 23.43°C and 10.29°C for max. and min., temperatures and 73.57 and 9.57% for max. and min. RH. Then the number of the pest decreased during the next two weeks. The recorded weather factors were 30.57°C and 11.43°C for max. and min. temperature and 60.57 and 12.86% for max. and min. RH. From the present results it could be observed that, the faba bean plants mostly suffered from the attack with the leafminer at the period of flowering and ripening stages.

##### **5.5.2.2- 2018 - 2019 season**

The pest was appeared during the third week of November when the plants were in the seedling stage. Then, the number increased gradually forming the first peak of 28.80 / plant during the second half of February (the beginning of ripening stage). The recorded max. and min. temperatures were 23.50°C; 4.71°C and the max. and min. RH were 80.57% and 25.43%, respectively. A lowest level was recorded during the end week of March. The recorded max., min. temperature were 27.71°C, 7.14°C and the max., min. RH were 77.14% and 15.14, respectively.

From the present results it could be observed that, the faba bean plants mostly suffered from the attack with the leafminer (larvae and tunnel) at the period of flowering and ripening stages.

### **5.5.2.3- Population age structure of the leafminer on faba bean plants**

The population of *L. trifolii* began to appear on faba bean plants after three weeks of planting during 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 seasons and developed up to last middle of February, and then the population decreased till the end of season. The maximum levels were attained for both seasons (2017-2018 and 2018-2019) during middle of February.

From the foregoing results the population development of leafminer on faba bean plants in Assiut area, in southern Egypt can be described by dividing this populations into five phases and calculated from the beginning of transplanting (1<sup>st</sup> of November) as starting date.

Its clear that the pest needed about 128.50 days (18 – 19 weeks) from the first appear on the plant to their disappearance (P1-P5). The highest population level could be expected after about 110.50 days (about 17 weeks).

### **5.5.2.4- Multi-correlation analysis of some factors affecting the infestation of faba bean plants by the leafminer *L. trifolii***

In this time the he studied variables were plant age and predators as biotic factors as well as some abiotic factors air temperatures and relative humidity.

#### **5.5.2.4.1- Biotic factors**

##### **5.5.2.4.1.1- Plant age**

The rating sort of the plant age came in number one and had significant positive correlation with plant age ( $r = + 0.69$ ).

##### **5.5.2.4.1.2- Predators**

The rating sort in number six and two and had significant positive correlation with predators ( $r = + 0.58$ ).

##### **5.5.2.4.1.3- Air temperature and humidity**

The rating sort of the maximum and minimum temperature came in number four and three of (2017-2018) and four and three of (2018-2019) and had significant positive correlation ( $r = + 0.73$ ) and ( $r = + 0.56$ ) respectively.

The maximum and minimum relative humidity came in number five and two of (2017-2018) and six and five of (2018-2019) and had highly significant positive correlation ( $r = + 0.68$ ) and ( $r = + .057$ ) respectively.

### **5.5.2.5- Agricultural practices**

#### **5.5.2.5.1- Effect of sowing dates and faba bean cultivars**

From obtained results, it could be concluded that the early sowing of faba bean gives the plants the chance to escape from the heavy infestation of aphids, while the gradual late successive sowing dates increased the aphid populations to reach its maximum level on the delayed time. Consequently, the plants of the late sowing date suffering greatly from the aphid infestation affecting the plants of the early sowing dates (contrary to the aphid infestation).

Altering the sowing date of crops has been a recognized method of cultural control for many pests.

#### **5.5.2.5.2- Effect of faba bean cultivars:**

From the obtained data, it is obvious that the faba bean cultivar Misr 3 was harboured the lowest number of aphids with significant differences between this cultivar and the others. Sakha 1 came in the next grades. The cultivar Giza 843 was harboured the highest population of aphids during the two seasons of



study. It is clear from the results that all of the studied cultivars did not exhibit any resistance effect against aphid infestation.

#### **5.5.2.5.3- Effect of nitrogen fertilization:**

Data indicate that the population of aphids and leafminer increased significantly with the increase of nitrogen fertilizer. Significant differences were existed between the number of aphids and leafminer on plants received different rates of nitrogen fertilization.

### **5.6- Some biological aspects of the cowpea aphid infesting faba bean**

#### **5.6.1- Developmental time**

##### **5.6.1.1- Nymphal stage**

Statistical analysis showed that the longest for nymphal development on three cultivars was recorded on Misr 3 while the shortest period was noticed on cultivar of Giza 843. On the other hand, the time needed for the development decreased development time by about 1.24 and 1.78 times. The cultivar Giza 843 had the lowest nymphal duration (4.65 days) followed by Sakha 1. Misr 3 had the highest.

Based on the obtained data, it may be concluded that cultivars of Giza 843 and Sakha 1 were the most suitable for the development of the pest.

Survival of nymphal instars and whole nymphal stage reared on three cultivars. The highest percentage of survival were observed at cultivar of Misr3 and Sakha1, respectively, whereas, the lowest (24%) was noticed on cultivar of Giza843.

##### **5.6.1.2-Adult stage**

Adult longevity was divided into pre-reproductive, reproductive and post-reproductive periods. The results indicate that reproductive periods varied from cultivar to others.

Adult apterous cowpea aphid numbers on Giza 843 was significantly higher than on Misr 3 and Sakha 1. In the detached-leaf biological assay, the cultivar Giza 843 had a longer pre-reproductive, reproductive, post-reproductive, and total longevity period as compared with Misr 3 and Sakha 1, whereas the number of progeny was lower as compared with Misr 3 and Sakha 1.

Finally the colony development study ranked the resistance level among cultivars as Giza 843>Sakha 1 and Misr3.