



Ecological and Taxonomical Studies of Some Mite Species Infesting Pomegranate Trees and their Predators in Assiut Governorate

By Abdelgayed Salah Ahmed Abdelgayed

B.Sc. Agric. (Plant Protection), Assiut Univ. (2004) M.Sc. Agric. (Plant Protection), Assiut Univ. (2017)

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Agricultural Sciences (Plant Protection-Agricultural Animal Pests)

> Department of Plant Protection Faculty of Agriculture Assiut University

2022

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Wahab M. Ali Prof. Dr. El-Sayed A.M. El-Eraky Prof. Dr. Nazeh M. Abd El-Wahed [God bless his soul].

Examined by:

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Wahab M. Ali Prof. Dr. El-Sayed A.M. El-Eraky Prof. Dr. Hassan M.H.A. Hamza Prof. Dr. Mohamed A.A. Abou-Shosha

CONTENTS

| CONTENTS i LIST OF TABLES iv LIST OF FIGURES vi UIST OF FUBLICATIONS EXTRACTED FROM OBTAINED RESULTS of OF THE CURRENT STUDY ix DEDICATION x ACKNOWLEDGEMENT xi 1. INTRODUCTION 1 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3 2.1.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 19 2.2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees 22 2.2.3. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseid mites, Euseitus scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 44 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 66 3.1.4. Sampling methods and localities 66 67 | CONTENTS | Page |
|---|---|------|
| LIST OF TABLES iv LIST OF FIGURES vi LIST OF FIGURES vi LIST OF FUBLICATIONS EXTRACTED FROM OBTAINED RESULTS ix DEDICATION x ACKNOWLEDGEMENT xi 1. INTRODUCTION 1 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3 2.1.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2.1. Taxonomical Studies 6 2.2.1.1. Mits associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 6 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3.1.1 Taxonomical Studies 66 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 66 3.1.3. Sclearing and slide preparations. 66 66 3.1.4.4.Mite identification 67 67 3.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 | CONTENTS | i |
| LIST OF FIGURES vi LIST OF PUBLICATIONS EXTRACTED FROM OBTAINED RESULTS ix DEDICATION xi ACKNOWLEDGEMENT xi 1. INTRODUCTION 1 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.2. Loological Studies 6 2.2.1. Alites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1. Alites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1. Alites of miscellaneous feeding habits 12 2.2.2. Predacious mites 6 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 12 2.2.2. Production fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 22 2.3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 22 2.3.3. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 3.2.2. Population fluctuation o | | iv |
| LIST OF PUBLICATIONS EXTRACTED FROM OBTAINED RESULTS DF THE CURRENT STUDY DEDICATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT 1. INTRODUCTION 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3.1. Taxonomical Studies 3.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.1.1. Astigmata mites 3.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 2.2. Predacious mites 2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 2.3.3. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 3.1.4. Mite identification 3.2.3. Meteorological data 7 | | vi |
| OF THE CURRENT STUDY ix DEDICATION x ACKNOWLEDGEMENT xi 1. INTRODUCTION 1 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2.1.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 6 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2. Predacious mites 22 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 | | |
| DEDICATION x ACKNOWLEDGEMENT xi I. INTRODUCTION 1 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1. Predacious mites 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 19 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 22 2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3.1. Taxonomical Studies 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Kite identification 67 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.1.4. Kite identification 67 3.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 <t< td=""><td></td><td>ix</td></t<> | | ix |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT xi 1. INTRODUCTION 1 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2. Loological Studies 6 2.2. Loological Studies 6 2.2. Loological Studies 6 2.2. Loological Studies 6 2.2. Predacious mites 12 2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.1.9 opulation fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 19 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scualis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mit | | x |
| 1. INTRODUCTION 1 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2.1.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2.1.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 22 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees 22 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scuralis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 | | |
| 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 22 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees 22 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 0.1. The off the predatory conditions 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 67 3.4.4. Mite identification 67 3.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 3.2.1. Sampling | | |
| 2.1. Taxonomical Studies 3 2.1.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.1.1. Astigmata mites 6 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 12 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 12 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory conditions 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 3.2.4. Statistical anal | | 3 |
| 2.1.1. Astigmata mites 3 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.1.9 Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 19 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees 22 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.1. Sampling 6 | | |
| 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2. Ecological Studies 6 2.2.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 12 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 22 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory conditions 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites <td></td> <td></td> | | |
| 2.2.1. Mites associated with pomegranate orchards 6 2.2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 22 2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 | | |
| 2.2.1.1. Phytophagous mites 6 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2. Production fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 19 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 22 2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| 2.2.1.2. Predacious mites 12 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits 19 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 22 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3. In the life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.2.4. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 71 | | |
| 2.2.1.3. Mites of miscellaneous feeding habits192.2.2. Population fluctuations of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 195822and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees222.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species282.2.3.1. The life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958282.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory conditions282.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius282.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius443. MATERIALS AND METHODS663.1.1. Sampling methods and localities663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.1. Sampling693.2.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis71 | 2.2.1.1. Predacious mites | |
| 2.2.2. Population fluctuations of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 22 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees 22 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 28 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory conditions 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees2222.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species2822.3.1. The life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 19582822.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958.443. MATERIALS AND METHODS663.1.1. Sampling methods and localities663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.1. Sampling693.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.3. Meteorological data703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | | 17 |
| 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species282.2.3.1. The life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958282.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958.283. MATERIALS AND METHODS663.1. Taxonomical Studies663.1.1. Sampling methods and localities663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.2. Ecological Studies693.2.1. Sampling693.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.3. Meteorological data703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | and Oligonvalues numicae (Hirst 1024) on nomegranate trees | 22 |
| 2.2.3.1. The life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 28 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1. Taxonomical Studies 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 | | |
| on pomegranate under laboratory conditions282.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958.443. MATERIALS AND METHODS663.1. Taxonomical Studies663.1.1. Sampling methods and localities663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species673.2. Ecological Studies693.2.1. Sampling693.2.3. Meteorological data703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | 2.2.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mile Species | 20 |
| 2.2.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 44 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1. Taxonomical Studies 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 3.2. Ecological Studies 69 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 | | 28 |
| scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958.443. MATERIALS AND METHODS663.1. Taxonomical Studies663.1. Taxonomical Studies663.1.1. Sampling methods and localities663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species673.2. Ecological Studies693.2.1. Sampling693.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.3. Meteorological data703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | | 20 |
| 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958.443. MATERIALS AND METHODS663.1. Taxonomical Studies663.1. Taxonomical Studies663.1.1. Sampling methods and localities663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species673.2. Ecological Studies693.2.1. Sampling693.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.3. Meteorological data703.2.4. Statistical analysis703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | | |
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 66 3.1. Taxonomical Studies 66 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.2. Extraction of mites 66 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 3.2. Ecological Studies 69 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | 44 |
| 3.1. Taxonomical Studies663.1.1. Sampling methods and localities663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species673.2. Ecological Studies693.2.1. Sampling693.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.3. Meteorological data703.2.4. Statistical analysis703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | | |
| 3.1.1. Sampling methods and localities663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species673.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species673.2. Ecological Studies693.2.1. Sampling693.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.3. Meteorological data703.2.4. Statistical analysis703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | | |
| 3.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.2. Extraction of mites663.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations.663.1.4. Mite identification673.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species673.2. Ecological Studies693.2.1. Sampling693.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.3. Meteorological data703.2.4. Statistical analysis703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | | |
| 3.1.3. Clearing and slide preparations. 66 3.1.4. Mite identification 67 3.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 3.2. Ecological Studies 69 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| 3.1.4. Mite identification673.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species673.2. Ecological Studies693.2.1. Sampling693.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites693.2.3. Meteorological data703.2.4. Statistical analysis703.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species713.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | | |
| 3.1.5. Description of the new genus and four new species 67 3.2. Ecological Studies 69 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| 3.2. Ecological Studies 69 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| 3.2.1. Sampling 69 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| 3.2.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites 69 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | 3.2.1 Sampling | |
| 3.2.3. Meteorological data 70 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| 3.2.4. Statistical analysis 70 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| 3.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species 71 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on 71 pomegranate under laboratory conditions 71 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | |
| 3.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on pomegranate under laboratory conditions 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis | | |
| bomegranate under laboratory conditions713.3.1.1. Statistical analysis72 | 3.3.1 Life table parameters of Tanuinglous publicad Dritshard & Baker 1058 on | |
| 3.3.1.1. Statistical analysis 72 | | 71 |
| Statistical analysis | 3 3 1 1. Statistical analysis | |
| | 3.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, <i>Euseius scutalis</i> | |
| | (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on <i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> (Haliday, 1835), and | |
| | | 73 |
| entipulpus puncue l'incliaid de Daker, 1956. | <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958. 3.3.2.1. The effect of the two prey species and the consumption rates on the | |
| development of <i>Eusaius soutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot 1958) | development of <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) | 73 |
| | 3.3.2.2. Life table parameters | 74 |
| the table parameters | 3.3.2.3. Statistical analysis | 75 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 76 |
| 4. RESOLIS AND DISCONTANT | 77 |
| 4.1.1 Description of the new genus and new species | 77 |
| The second state of the new genus <i>Equipidivibility</i> Elaky et al., 20190 | 77 |
| 4.1.1.1. Description of the new genus <i>Despines Dearly</i> et al., 2019c (Family: Acaridae Latreille, 4.1.1.2. <i>Egyptglyphus oconnori</i> Eraky et al., 2019c (Family: Acaridae Latreille, | |
| | 77 |
| 1802) 4.1.1.3. Description of two new species of the genus <i>Caloglyphus</i> Berlese, 1923 | 82 |
| 4.1.1.3. Description of two new species of the gonds caregoppins and gonds and goppins and the species of the gonds caregoppins and the species of the species of the gonds caregoppins and the species of the gonds caregoppins and the species of the gonds caregoppins and the species of the | |
| 1907) | 82 |
| 1802) 4.1.1.3.2. Caloglyphu azzai Eraky et al., 2020a (Family: Acaridae Latreille, 1802) | 86 |
| the termination of one new species of the genus Mylanoetus Berlese, 1910 | 91 |
| 4.1.1.4. Description of one new species of the genus regime regim | |
| 4.1.1.4.1. Mylandelius grundium Zicusy et any 20200 (2000) | 91 |
| Berlese, 1897) | 95 |
| 4.2. Ecological Studies 4.2.1. Survey studies | 95 |
| 4.2.1. Survey studies 4.2.1.1. Species composition and distribution of mites reported on pomegranate | |
| trees in Assiut Governorate, Upper Egypt (2018-2020) | 95 |
| 4.2.1.2. Phytophagous mites | 95 |
| 4.2.1.2. Phytophagous inites 4.2.1.3. Predacious mites | 103 |
| 4.2.1.4. Miscellaneous mites | 116 |
| 4.2.1.4. Miscenaneous mices 4.2.2. Study of population fluctuation of mites, <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & | |
| Baker, 1958 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate trees | 127 |
| 4.2.2.1. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites associated | |
| with leaves of some varieties of pomegranate trees at Abou-Tig, El-Fateh and | |
| Manfalout cities in Assiut Governorate | 127 |
| 1. Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites on leaves of Baladi (Manfalouty) | |
| pomegranate variety | 127 |
| 2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites on leaves of Assiuty pomegranate | |
| variety | 138 |
| 3. Population fluctuation of predaceous mites on leaves of Baladi (Manfalouty) | |
| pomegranate variety | 149 |
| 4. Population fluctuation of predaceous mites on leaves of Assiuty pomegranate | |
| variety | 159 |
| 5. The relationship between the population fluctuation of phytophagous mites | |
| Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, | |
| 1924) versus predaceous mites <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) and | |
| Neoseiulus barkeri (Hughes, 1948) on leaves of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate | |
| variety during two years (2018/2019-2019/2020) | 169 |
| 6. The relationship between the population fluctuation of phytophagous mites | |
| Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and Oligonychus punicae (Hirst, | |
| 1924) versus predaceous mites Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) and | |
| Neoseiulus barkeri (Hughes, 1948) on leaves of Assiuty pomegranate variety | |
| during two years (2018/2019-2019/2020) | 177 |
| 4.3. Some Biological Aspects of Mite Species | 184 |
| 4.3.1. Life table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 on | |
| pomegranate under laboratory conditions | 185 |
| 1. Developmental times | 185 |
| 2. Thermal requirements | 188 |

.

| | Page |
|--|------|
| 3. Life table parameters | 190 |
| 4.3.2. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, Euseius | |
| scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) when fed on Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, | |
| 1835), and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958. | 193 |
| 1. Developmental time of the predator, Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, | |
| 1958) | 193 |
| 2. Life table parameters of Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) feed | |
| Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday, 1835) and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & | |
| Baker, 1958 | 194 |
| 3. Predation efficiency of Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) adult and | |
| mobile stages | 194 |
| 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION | 198 |
| 6. REFERENCES | 207 |
| ARABIC SUMMARY | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|-----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Phytophagous mite species reported from pomegranate orchards in Assiut Governorate, Upper Egypt (2018-2020). | 96 |
| 2 | Predatory mite species reported from pomegranate orchards in Assiut, Upper Egypt (2018-2020). | 104 |
| 3 | Mite species of different feeding habits reported from pomegranate orchards in Assiut Governorate, Upper Egypt (2018-2020). | 117 |
| 4 | Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting pomegranate trees variety Baladi (Mafalouty) during the two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 129 |
| 5 | Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting pomegranate trees variety Baladi (Mafalouty) during two successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 132 |
| 6 | Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting pomegranate trees variety Baladi (Mafalouty) during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 135 |
| 7 | Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting pomegranate trees variety Assiuty during two successive years at Abou- Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 140 |
| 8 | Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting pomegranate trees variety Assiuty during two successive years at El- Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 143 |
| 9 | Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting pomegranate trees variety Assiuty during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 146 |
| 10 | Population fluctuation of predaceous mites <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias- Henriot, 1958) and <i>Neoseiulus barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948) associated with pest of pomegranate trees variety Baladi (Mafalouty) during two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 150 |
| 11 | Population fluctuation of predaceous mites <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias- Henriot, 1958) and <i>Neoseiulus barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948) associated with pest of pomegranate trees variety Baladi (Mafalouty) during two successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 153 |
| 12 | Population fluctuation of predaceous mites <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias- Henriot, 1958) and <i>Neoseiulus barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948) associated with pest of pomegranate trees variety Baladi (Mafalouty) during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 156 |
| 13 | Population fluctuation of predaceous mites <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias- Henriot, 1958) and <i>Neoseiulus barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948) associated with pest of pomegranate trees variety Assiuty during two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 160 |

| | Title | Page N |
|-----------|--|----------|
| Table No. | a line mites Euseius scutalis (Athias | Page No. |
| | Population fluctuation of predaceous mites Euseius scutalis (Athias- | 1 1 1 |
| | Henriot, 1958) and Neoseiulus barkeri (Hughes, 1948) associated with | |
| 14 | pest of pomegranate trees variety Assisty during two successive years at | |
| | The production Against Governorate (2018-2020). | 163 |
| | The tail dustration of predaceous miles Elisenia semana (Aunas- | |
| | Line in the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution with | |
| 15 | nest of nomegranate trees variety Assiuty during two successive years at | 1 |
| | Lise of the Assist Governorate (2018-2020). | 166 |
| | i se sub and collected of phylophayous and predateous inites | |
| | I in the momentume Relact (Manialouly) valiety of leaves | |
| 16 | Li , Abou-Tig city Assill Governoiale (2010-2020). | 171 |
| | the second of phytophagous and predaceous miles | |
| | I in the memorrange Baladi (Manialouty) variety on reaves | |
| 17 | associated with pomegranate Baladi (walnated by) during two years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 173 |
| | during two years at El-Faten city, Assut Governorate (Lore 2020). Monthly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous mites | 1/5 |
| | Monthly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predeceded inter- | |
| 18 | associated with pomegranate Baladi (Manfalouty) variety on leaves | 175 |
| | during two years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 175 |
| | Monthly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous mites | |
| 19 | associated with nomegranate Assisty variety on leaves during two years | |
| | at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 179 |
| | Manshly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous mites | |
| 20 | associated with pomegranate Assiuty variety on leaves during two years | |
| | at El Esteh city Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 181 |
| | Monthly numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous miles | |
| 21 | associated with pomegranate Assiuty variety on leaves during two years | |
| 21 | at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 183 |
| | Mean developmental times in days (±SD) of Tenuipalpus punicae | |
| | Pritchard & Baker, 1958 females reared on <i>Punica granatum</i> L. at | |
| 22 | Pritchard & Baker, 1958 remains react out i tuniou granding in a | 186 |
| | constant temperatures and 70±5% relative humidity. Mean developmental durations in days (±SD) of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> | 100 |
| | Mean developmental durations in days (±50) of Tenapapins plincate | |
| 23 | Pritchard & Baker, 1958 males reared on <i>Punica granatum</i> L. at constant | 186 |
| | temperatures and 70±5% relative humidity. | 100 |
| 24 | Linear regression analysis values for the effect of temperature on | 100 |
| 24 | Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 developmental rates. | 188 |
| 25 | Life table parameters of Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 | |
| | females reared on punica granatum L. at constant temperatures and | |
| | 70±5% relative humidity. | 190 |
| 26 | Mean durations (days±SD) of Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) | |
| 20 | reared on two prey species at (30±1°C, 65±5% R.H.). | 195 |
| | Life table parameters of Euseius scutalis reared on Siphoninus phillyreae | |
| 27 | (Haliday, 1835) and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 at | |
| | (30±1°C, 65±5% R.H.). | 195 |
| | Number of consumed prey (Mean±SD) by Euseius scutalis (Athias- | |
| 28 | Henriot, 1958) reared on Siphoninus phillwroad (Haliday, 1835) and | |
| | Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 at (30±1°C, 65±5% R.H.). | 197 |



| | LIST OF FIGURES | |
|------------|---|----------|
| Figure No. | Title | Page No. |
| (1-2) | <i>Egyptglyphus oconnori</i> Eraky et al., 2019c (Dcutonymph), 1. Dorsal side; 2. Propodosoma. | 78 |
| (3-5) | Egyptglyphus oconnori Eraky et al., 2019c (Deutonymph), 3. Gnathosoma; 4. Ventral side; 5. Adhering plate. | 79 |
| (6-9) | Egyptglyphus oconnori Eraky et al., 2019c (Deutonymph), 6. Leg I; 7. Leg II; 8. Leg III; 9. Leg IV. | 80 |
| (10-14) | Caloglyphus punicum Eraky et al., 2020a (Deutonymph), 10. Dorsal side; 11. Ventral side; 12. Gnathosoma; 13. Propodosoma; 14. Adhering plate. | 84 |
| (15-18) | Caloglyphus punicum Eraky et al., 2020a (Deutonymph), 15. Leg I; 16. Leg II; 17. Leg III; 18. Leg IV. | 85 |
| (19-22) | Caloglyphus azzai Eraky et al., 2020a (Deutonymph), 19. Dorsal side; 20. Ventral side; 21. Adhering plate; 22. Gnathosoma; | 88 |
| (23-26) | Caloglyphus azzai Eraky et al., 2020a (Deutonymph), 23. Leg I; 24. Leg II; 25. Leg III; 26. Leg IV. | 89 |
| (27-30) | Myianoetus granatum Eraky et al., 2020a (Deutonymph), 27. Dorsal side; 28. Ventral side; 29. Gnathosoma; 30. Adhering plate. | 92 |
| (31-34) | Myianoetus granatum Eraky et al., 2020a (Deutonymph), 31. Leg I; 32. Leg II; 33. Leg III; 34. Leg IV. | 93 |
| 35 | Population fluctuation of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 infesting Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 130 |
| 36 | Population fluctuation of <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Abou-Tig, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 131 |
| 37 | Population fluctuation of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 infesting Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 133 |
| 38 | Population fluctuation of <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 134 |
| 39 | Population fluctuation of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 infesting Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 136 |
| 40 | Population fluctuation of <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018- 2020). | 137 |

LIST OF FIGURES

vi

| Figure No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------|--|----------|
| 41 | Population fluctuation of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 infesting Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018- 2020). | 141 |
| 42 | Population fluctuation of <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting Assiuyt pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 142 |
| 43 | Population fluctuation of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 infesting Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 144 |
| 44 | Population fluctuation of <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 145 |
| 45 | Population fluctuation of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 infesting Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 147 |
| 46 | Population fluctuation of <i>Oligonychus punicae</i> (Hirst, 1924) infesting Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 148 |
| 47 | Population fluctuation of <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) associated with pest of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 151 |
| 48 | Population fluctuation of <i>Neoseiulus barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948) associated with pest of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 152 |
| 49 | Population fluctuation of <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) associated with pest of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 154 |
| 50 | Population fluctuation of <i>Neoseiulus barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948) associated with pest of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 155 |
| 51 | Population fluctuation of <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) associated with pest of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 157 |
| 52 | Population fluctuation of <i>Neoseiulus barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948) associated with pest of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 158 |
| 53 | Population fluctuation of <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) associated with pest of Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 161 |



| Figure No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------|---|----------|
| | Population fluctuation of Neoseiulus barkeri (Hughes, 1948) | |
| 54 | associated with pest of Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two | |
| | successive years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 162 |
| | Population fluctuation of <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) | |
| 55 | associated with pest of Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two | |
| | successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 164 |
| | Population fluctuation of <i>Neoseiulus barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948) | |
| 56 | associated with pest of Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two | |
| | successive years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 165 |
| | Population fluctuation of <i>Euseius scutalis</i> (Athias-Henriot, 1958) | |
| 57 | associated with pest of Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two | |
| | successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 167 |
| | Population fluctuation of Neoseiulus barkeri (Hughes, 1948) | |
| 58 | associated with pest of Assiuty pomegranate variety trees during two | |
| · · · · | successive years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 168 |
| | Monthly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous | |
| 59 | mites associated with pomegranate Baladi (Manfalouty) variety on | |
| | leaves during two years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018- | |
| | 2020). | 172 |
| | Monthly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous | |
| 60 | mites associated with pomegranate Baladi (Manfalouty) variety on | |
| n spins a | leaves during two years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 174 |
| | Monthly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous | 174 |
| | mites associated with pomegranate Baladi (Manfalouty) variety on | |
| 61 | leaves during two years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate | |
| | (2018-2020). | 176 |
| | Monthly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous | 170 |
| 62 | mites associated with pomegranate Assiuty variety on leaves during | |
| - | two years at Abou-Tig city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 180 |
| _ | Monthly mean numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous | 100 |
| 63 | mites associated with pomegranate Assiuty variety on leaves during | |
| | two years at El-Fateh city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 182 |
| | Monthly numbers collected of phytophagous and predaceous mites | 102 |
| 64 | associated with pomegranate Assiuty variety on leaves during two | |
| | years at Manfalout city, Assiut Governorate (2018-2020). | 184 |
| 65 | Linear regression analysis values for the effect of temperature on | |
| 65 | Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 developmental rate. | 189 |
| | Age specific survivorship (L_x) and age specific fecundity (M_x) for | |
| 66 | Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 reared on P. | |
| | granatum at four constant temperatures and 70±5% relative humidity. | 191 |
| | Age specific survivorship (L_x) and age specific fecundity (M_x) for | |
| 67 | Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) fed Siphoninus phillyreae | |
| 67 | (Haliday, 1835) and Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 | |
| | at (30±1°C, 65±5% R.H.). | 196 |

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS EXTRACTED FROM OBTAINED RESULTS OF THE CURRENT STUDY

| Publications | Title | |
|--------------|--|--|
| No. | The | |
| | Abdelgayed, A.S.; Abd El-Wahed, N.M.; Ali, A.M. and Eraky | |
| | S.A. (2019a). Biological aspects, thermal requirements and life | |
| 1. | table parameters of <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Pritchard & Baker, 1958 | |
| | (Acari: Tenuipalpidae) on pomegranate over different temperatures | |
| | in Assiut, Egypt. Acarines, 13: 21-27. | |
| | Abdelgayed, A.S.; Abd El-Wahed, N.M.; Ali, A.M. and Eraky | |
| 2. | S.A. (2019b). Species composition and diversity of mites inhabiting | |
| | pomegranate orchards in Assiut Governorate, Upper Egypt. | |
| | Acarines, 13: 29-36. | |
| | Abdelgayed, A.S.; Abd El-Wahed, N.M.; Ali, A.M. and Eraky | |
| | S.A. (2020). Food preference, predation efficiency and life table | |
| 3. | parameters of Euseius scutalis (Acari: Phytoseiidae) reared on | |
| 5. | Tenuipalpus punicae (Acari: Tenuipalpidae) and Siphoninus | |
| | phillyreae (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) under constant conditions. | |
| | International Journal of Agricultural Science, 2(2): 428-437. | |
| | Abo-Elmaged, T.M.; Ali, A.M.; Abd El-Wahed, N.M.; Eraky, | |
| | S.A. and <u>Abdelgayed, A.S. (2021</u>). Population fluctuations of mites | |
| 4. | on two pomegranate (<i>Punica granatiuum</i>) varieties in three suburbs | |
| | of Assiut governorate, Egypt. Egyptian Academic Journal of | |
| | Biological Sciences, B. Zoology, 13(2): 55-63. | |
| | Eraky, S.A.; Abd El-Wahed, N.M.; <u>Abdelgayed, A.S.</u> and Ali, | |
| 5. | A.M. (2019). A new genus and new species of mites (Acari: | |
| | Acaridae) extracted from soil under pomegranate trees, Assiut, | |
| | Upper Egypt. Acarines, 13: 53-56. | |
| | Eraky, S.A.; <u>Abdelgayed, A.S.;</u> Abd El-Wahed, N.M. and Ali, | |
| | A.M. (2020). Three new species of mites (Acari: Acaridae and | |
| 6. | Histiostomatidae) extracted from the soil under pomegranate trees, | |
| | Assiut, Upper Egypt. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological | |
| | Sciences, A. Entomology, 13(3): 147-156. | |

5- Summary and Conclusion

Mites play an important role in the biogeochemical cycles of nature as well as in the agricultural cycles of organic matter. They are considered to be very important both in human and animal catering. According to their feeding habits, the mites occurred on pomegranate trees can be classified into three groups (phytophagous, predacious and these of unknown feeding habits). This point has gained an extended attention for the last five decades and mites have won nearly the same fame of importance as insects have.

When reviewing previous and current results focused on mite species (both phytophagous and predacious) live on pomegranate trees in both Egypt and abroad. It was cleared that, there were a lot of species pertaining to diverse groups of mites. So, the current work aimed to study the taxonomy and the ecology of some species with economic importance. In the present study, an accurate survey of mite species inhabiting citrus trees in Assiut city and its suburbs was done. The present study describes three new species, new to science, belonging to three different families of mites (Acaridae Latreille, 1802 and Histiostomatidae Berlese, 1897).

Moreover, the present study was designed to explore the ecological trends of certain phytophagous and predacious mite species inhabiting pomegranate trees under various circumstances. However, the great numbers of species and their various morphological differences acquire more intensive and comprehensive research especially for the predacious ones. Therefore, the author intended to give some morphological and taxonomical treatises.

The present work might help acarologists to classify the species of mites found on pomegranate trees, whether phytophagous or predacious ones. As for the description of the new species, the present survey helped to discover three new species that have not been described before whether in Egypt or around the world.

The present course of investigation, therefore, had to be accomplished in the following four points:

- 1. Survey of mites inhabiting certain pomegranate trees (pomegranate orchards) in Assiut Governorate, Upper Egypt.
- 2. Morphology and taxonomy of some mite species inhabiting pomegranate orchards with description of one new genus and four new species pertaining to the families: Acaridae Latreille, 1802 and Histiostomatidae Berlese, 1897.

- 3. Study the population fluctuation of *Tenuipalpus punicae* Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and *Oligonychus punicae* (Hirst, 1924) on pomegranate.
- 4. Study the biolgy of *Tenuipalpus punicae* Pritchard & Baker, 1958 under laboratory constant temperature.
- 5. Evaluation of the predatory efficiency of phytoseiid mites, *Euseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot, 1958) that feed on *Siphoninus phillyreae* (Haliday, 1835), and *Tenuipalpus punicae* Pritchard & Baker, 1958.

The author would like to stress that the present work is principally interested to the mites taxonomy and ecology. For this purpose, the original descriptions of mites (Families: Acaridae Latreille, 1802 and Histiostomatidae Berlese, 1897), were collected and all the drawings of detailed morphological features were examined, especially for the described species of Egyptian mite fauna.

The present study included the regular samples collected from soil, leaves and debris under pomegranate fruit trees, Assiut Governorate, in order to study the mite fauna inhabiting pomegranate leaves and weeds under the pomegranate fruit trees.

Previous works were reviewed, materials and methods were explained, then results were tabulated, illustrated, discussed and summarized. The thesis was entailed with the English and Arabic summary.

This work revealed the following results:

5.1. Taxonomical studies:

Of the total number of mite fauna educed from different localities, one new genus and four new species belonging to the families: Acaridae Latreille, 1802 and Histiostomatidae Berlese, 1897 were found to be new to science.

- These are:
- a. Egyptglyphus Eraky et al., 2019c
- b. Egyptglyphus oconnori Eraky et al., 2019c
- c. Caloglyphus azzai Eraky et al., 2020a
- d. Caloglyphus punicum Eraky et al., 2020a
- e. Myianoetus granatum Eraky et al., 2020a

Based on the morphological characteristics of the collected mite species, author established an identification keys for the Egyptian species of both forementioned families.

5.2. Ecological studies:

5.2.1. Survey studies:

Surveillance studies revealed the occurrence of 190 mite species pertaining to 50 families and 100 genera in addition to 1 unidentified genera and species. According to their feeding behavior, mites were divided into three major groups (*i.e.*, 15 species are plant feeders, 105 species are predacious mites and 70 species are of miscellaneous feeding habits).

5.2.2. Population fluctuation of mites:

5.2.2.1. Population fluctuation of phytophagous and predaceous mites associated with leaves of some varieties of pomegranate trees at Abou-Tig; El-Fateh and Manfalout cities in Assiut Governorate:

The pomegranate false spider mite, *T. punicae* and the Avocado brown mite, *O. punicae* on leaves of pomegranate trees using direct count method during two successive seasons (2018-2020) in Assiut Governorate. The seasonal abundance of this mite species population expressed as monthly sum of individual numbers, average and percentages from the overall season numbers during the two inspected season (2018-2020)

A.1. Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites on leaves of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety:

The pomegranate false spider mite, *T. punicae* on Baladi (Manfalouty) variety at Abou-Tig, El-Fateh and Manfalout cities have two annual peaks of seasonal abundance in July and August in the two years. While, the avocado brown mite, *O. punicae* had four annual peaks of seasonal abundance in June, July, August and September in the two years.

B.2. Population fluctuation of phytophagous mites on leaves of Assiuty pomegranate variety:

Clearly demonstrate that, the pomegranate false spider mite, *T. punicae* on Assiuty variety in Abou-Tig, El-Fateh and Manfalout cities has three annual peaks of seasonal abundance in July, August and September in the two years at Abou-Tig city. While, at El-Fateh city it had two annual peaks of seasonal abundance in June and July in the first year, in August and September in the second year. While, in local farm Manfalout city it had two annual peaks of seasonal abundance in July and August.

C.3. Population fluctuation of predaceous mites on leaves of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety:

The phytoseiid mite, *E. scutalis* was recorded on leaves from February to November associated with different pests. On Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety the predator was found with rare numbers at first December, January, February and the population density of mite was maximum during July for the first and second years, at Abou-Tig local farm. The numbers of mite began to decrease again until the end of November, Not recorded in December and January in both years at Abou-Tig, El-Fateh and Manfalout cities. While, in Abou-Tig city the predator was found with rare numbers at first February and the population density of mite was maximum during July and August for the first and second years. While, in the local farm El-Fateh, the numbers of mite began to decrease again until the end of April not recorded in December and January in both years. While, the phytoseiid mite, *N. barkeri* was found with rare numbers at first March, at Abou-Tig city and the population density of mite was maximum during September and July for the first and second years.

D.4. Population fluctuation of predaceous mites on leaves of Assiuty pomegranate variety:

The phytoseiid mite, *E. scutalis* has two annual peaks of seasonal abundance in August and September in the two years at Abou-Tig for the first year and the second year. While, in El-Fateh has two annual peaks of seasonal abundance in August and September in the two years. While, in Manfalout local farm has one annual peaks of seasonal abundance in August in the first years. The low numbers of mite were recorded in December and January at Abou-Tig, El-Fateh and Manfalout local farms. While, the phytoseiid mite, *N. barkeri* found with rare numbers at first November, February and the population density of mite was maximum during July and September in the two years at Abou-Tig. While, in El-Fateh city the phytoseiid mite, *N. barkeri* found with rare numbers at first after that the number increased gradually to reached the peak of seasonal abundance in February in the two years, for the first and the second year. The numbers of mite began to decrease again gradually. In Manfalout, the phytoseiid mite, *N. barkeri* found with rare numbers at first after that the number increased gradually to reached the peak of seasonal abundance in March in the two years, for the first and the second year. The numbers of mite began to decrease again gradually.

E.5. The relationship between population fluctuation of the phytophagous mites, *Tenuipalpus punicae* Pritchard & Baker, 1958 and *Oligonychus punicae* (Hirst, 1924) and the predaceous mites, *Euseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot, 1958) and *Neoseiulus barkeri* (Hughes, 1948) associated with leaves of pomegranate varieties during two years (2018/2019-2019/2020):

1. Leaves of Baladi (Manfalouty) pomegranate variety:

The predaceous mites (*E. scutalis* and *N. barkeri*) have two annual peaks of seasonal abundance in September and July in Abou-Tig. While, in August and July in El-Fateh local farm and July and August in Manfalout in the first and second year. showed that a highly significant positive effect existed on population density of *T. punicae* acted by the predator mite were detected in the first and second year, in Abou-Tig, El-Fateh and Manfalout local farm in Assiut Governorate.

2. Leaves of Assiuty pomegranate variety:

The predaceous mites (*E. scutalis* and *N. barkeri*) have one annual peaks of seasonal abundance on leaves of Assiuty pomegranate variety was collected in July at Abou-Tig, in September and August at El-Fateh. While, in July at Manfalout during the two successive years (2018-2019 and 2019-2020).

F.6. The effect of two weather factors in population fluctuation of mites during two successive years started from October 2018 in Abou-Tig, El-Fateh and Manfalout local farms, Assiut Governorate:

The results showed the following notes:

1. Temperature:

The present study showed that, the density of the population of *T. punicae* and the predaceous mites on leaves of varieties pomegranate trees, exhibited significant positive correlation with temperature during the two successive years, on the other hand, the density of the population of *T. punicae* exhibited non-significant negative correlation with temperature at Abou-Tig city in Baladi and Assiuty pomegranate varieties during the two successive years. While, exhibited significant negative correlation with temperature at El-Fateh and Manfalout cities, except for Baladi pomegranate variety it exhibited non-significant negative correlation with temperature at El-Fateh and Manfalout cities, except for Baladi

in the First year, while exhibited significant negative correlation with temperature in the second year .

2. Relative humidity:

The present study showed that, the density of the population of *T. punicae* and the predaceous mites on leaves of varieties pomegranate trees, exhibited significant negative correlation with relative humidity during the two successive years, on the other hand, the density of the population of *T. punicae* exhibited non-significant positive correlation with relative humidity at El-Fateh city, except for Assiuty variety it exhibited significant positive correlation with relative correlation with relative humidity during the First year, while exhibited non-significant positive correlation with relative humidity during the second year .While, exhibited significant positive correlation with relative humidity at Abou-Tig and Manfalout local farms, except for Baladi (Manfalouty) mango variety it exhibited non-significant positive correlation with relative humidity in the First year, while exhibited significant positive correlation with relative humidity in the second year.

5.2.3. Some biological aspects of mite species:

A. Tenuipalpus punicae Pritchard & Baker, 1958 (Tenuipalpidae Berlese, 1913):

The pomegranate red mite, *T. punicae* was raised on leaves of *Punicae* granatum L. cv., Baladi "Manfalouty" at four different temperatures 20°, 25°, 30°, and 35°C, it completed the life span and it fecundity, but the mite was affected by temperature degrees.

- This study showed the following notes:

- Relationships between the biotic potential of pomegranate false spider mite, *Tenuipalpus punicae* Pritchard & Baker 1958 and temperature was studied at constant temperature conditions of 20°-35°C.
- 2. Optimum temperatures range for *T. punicae* was 30°-35°C.
- 3. The shortest pre-oviposition period, the greatest egg-laying capacity and immature stages development, optimum conditions were noticed at 35°C followed by 30°C.
- 4. Complete age-specific fecundity schedules and life table parameters were computed from these data for each of four temperatures.
- 5. The shortest developmental duration was (12.56 & 9.63) days for female and male at 35°C.
- 6. The highest total mean fecundity rate was 13.13 eggs/female (with a daily rate of 1.59 eggs/female/day) was at 30°C.
- The thermal constants (K) were (56.82, 100.80, 83.93, 122.23, 345.97, 419.22, 308.60 and 648.66) (DDs) for the previous stages as physiological times required for this species phenomena.
- The maximum values of intrinsic rate of increase (*r_m*) and the finite rate of increase (λ) was obtained at 35°C (*i.e.*, 0.120 and 1.12 individuals/female/day).
- 9. The gross reproductive rate (**GRR**) was the highest at 35°C as 16.4 eggs/female/generation.
- 10. The shortest time for population density doubling (**DT**) was (5.77) days at 35° C.

B. Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958) (Phytoseiidae Berlese, 1914):

C. Rearing the predatory mite, *Euseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot, 1958):

The predatory mite, *E. scutalis* was collected from pomegranate trees (*Punica granatum* L., Lythraceae Jaume Saint-Hilaire, 1805). In the pomology farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University [27° 02' 28.20" N, 31° 00' 25.80" E]. Infested pomegranate leaves with *T. punicae* were provided daily to the stock colony of the predator as a fresh food. The stock colony was maintained under controlled conditions $(30\pm1^{\circ}C \& 65\pm5 \% R.H)$. Few drops of water were added when needed.

D. Effect of temperature and effect of different kind of foods on the development *Euseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot, 1958):

- Following are the most important notes:

- The biology, life table parameters and predation efficiency of the predatory mite, *E. scutalis* feed *T. punicae* mobile stages and *S. phillyreae* eggs were tested at constant conditions (30±1°C and 65±5% R.H.).
- 2. The predator completed its developmental times on both prey pests in (6.20) and (6.45) days.
- 3. The mite was slightly influenced by the prey type, as when fed *T. punicae* mobile stages, its developmental time was shorter than preyed on *S. phillyreae* eggs.
- Whenever, the female predator fed the mite mobile stages, the finite rate of increase (λ) was higher than that on the insect eggs.
- 5. The mean generation time (**T**) was also affected, whereas it was longer when the female preyed on *S. phillyreae* eggs compared with that on *T. punicae* mobile stages.
- 6. The *E. scutalis* female consumed high number of insect eggs during its oviposition period and the longevity was longer in comparison with feed *T. punicae* mobile stages.

- Practical Application and Recommendations:

- Research on the taxonomy, morphology and ecology of the pomegranate mites is promising, especialy in Egypt, as compared with other groups of fruit orchards. However, the considerable numbers of species and various morphological differences require more intensive and comprehensive research. Therefore, the present course of investigation intended to give a morphological and taxonomical treatise as apart of the ecological research, this makes the identification of the species pertaining to the mite groups easier, especially the use of the identification keys, based on the morphological characteristics of the adult and deutonymphal stages.
- 2. Biological control has great potential as a tactic for regulating pest populations in integrated pest management programs (IPM) in pomegranate orchards. Therefore, it is important to survey predacious mites associated with the both pomegranate spider mite and flat red mite in Egypt and study their role as biocontrol agents in order to minimize the use of pesticides.
- 3. Continuous monitoring of field crops as invasive/secondary pests may appear *e.g.*, *Oligonychus punicae* (Hirst, 1924) and *Polyphagotarsonemus latus* (Banks, 1904).
- 4. More surveys are needed in searching for more predatory species.
- 5. Testing the predation ability of the commonly reported predatory species (lab. studies and/or field releases).
- 6. Laboratory mass rearing of predatory mites reported.
- 7. *Oligonychus punicae* (Hirst, 1924) and *Tenuipalpus punicae* Pritchard & Baker, 1958 were the phytophagous mites with more abundant.
- 8. *Euseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot, 1958) and *Neoseiulus barkeri* (Hughes, 1948) are the most common predator mites.
- 9. *Tenuipalpus punicae* Pritchard & Baker, 1958 is the most important phythophagous mites in pomegranate culture in Assiut Governorate, Upper Egypt with outbreak population in June, July and August.
- 10. High predator diversity was observed on associated pomegranate trees, indicating the existence of species mobility in pomegranate orchard.