

Improvement the Shelf-life and Health Related Properties of Ready to Eat Meat by Using of some Plant Extract

A thesis

Presented to the graduate school
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Damanhour University in
partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Of

Ph. D of Veterinary Sciences

Specialization

Meat Hygiene

By

Gomaa Saber Rezk Zaqzouq

(**B.V.Sc.** Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University, 2006)

(**M.V.Sc.** Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University, 2013)

2022

LIST OF CONTENTS

TITLE	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. Sources of contamination in meat and meat products.	4
2.2. Incidence of food poisoning microorganisms in meat and meat products.	7
2.3. Public health hazard for examined bacterial in meat product.	15
2.4. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils and its mechanism of action.	17
2.5. Antimicrobial activity of Clove essential oil and extract in meat	20
2.6. Antimicrobial activity of Garlic essential oil and extract in meat	23
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	25
3.1. Material	25
3.1.1. Collection of samples	25
3.1.2. Apparatus and instruments	25
3.1.3. Media	26
3.1.4. Stains	26
3.1.5. Reagents	26
3.2. Methods	27
3.2.1. Microbiological Examination	27
3.2.1.1. Preparation of Samples	27
3.2.1.2. Bacteriological examination	27
3.2.1.2.1. Enumeration of Aerobic plate counts	27
3.2.1.2.2. Enumeration of <i>Staph. aureus</i> count	27
3.2.1.2.2.1. Isolation and identification of <i>Staph. aureus</i>	28
3.2.1.2.3. Enumeration of Coliform.	29
3.2.1.2.4. Isolation and identification of <i>E. coli</i>	29
3.2.2. Experimental work	32

3.2.2.1. Extraction of Essential oils.	32
3.2.2.2. Preparation of minced meat.	33
3.2.2.3. Preparation of bacterial strains.	33
3.2.2.4. Inoculation of minced meat with tested bacteria.	34
3.2.2.5. Sensory evaluation.	35
3.2.2.6. Bacteriological analysis; enumeration of the tested Microorganism	35
3.3. Statistical analysis	35
4. RESULTS	36
5. DISCUSSION	48
6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	65
7. ENGLISH SUMMARY	67
8. REFERENCES	71
9. ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	CONTENS	Page
1	Statistical analytical results of Aerobic plate count of examined meat products	36
2	Statistical analytical results of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> count of examined meat products	37
3	Incidence of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> of in examined meat products	38
4	Statistical analytical results of Coliform count of examined meat Products	39
5	Incidence of <i>E. coli</i> in examined meat products	40
6	Physical characters of untreated and treated meat inoculated with <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	41
7	Physical characters of untreated and treated meat inoculated with <i>E. coli</i> .	42
8	Effect of Essential oils on <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> % log cfu / g. inoculated in minced meat samples stored at $4 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.	43
9	Effect of essential oils on <i>E. coli</i> % log cfu / g. inoculated in minced meat samples stored at $4 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.	45
10	comparison between the Effect of essential oil on <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>E. coli</i> % log cfu/ g of chilled minced meat at $4 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.	47

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1	Mean values of Aerobic plate counts (APC) cfu/g of examined meat products.	36
2	Mean values of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> count cfu/g of examined meat product.	37
3	Incidence of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> in examined meat product samples.	38
4	Mean values of Coliform count cfu/g in examined meat product samples.	39
5	Incidence of <i>E. coli</i> in examined meat product samples.	40
6	Physical characters of untreated and treated meat inoculated with <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> .	41
7	Physical characters of untreated and treated meat inoculated with <i>E. coli</i> .	42
8	Effect of essential oils on <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> % log cfu/g inoculated in minced meat samples stored at 4± 1° C.	44
9	Effect of essential oils on <i>E. coli</i> % log cfu/g inoculated in minced meat samples stored at 4± 1° C.	46

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

APC	Aerobic Plate Count
APHA	American Public Health Association
BHI	Brain Heart Infusion
BP	Baired Parker agar
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
E.O.S.	Egyptian Organization for Standardization and quality control
EC	European Commission
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EMB	Eosin Methylen Blue
EO(s)	Essential oil
FAO	Food and Agriculture Oganization
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FRPERC	Food Refrigeration and Process Engineering Research Centre
GMP	General Manufacturing Process
GRAS	Generally Recognized as Safe
ISO	International Organization of Standardization
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
MFDS	Ministry of Food and Drug Safety
MR	Methylo Red
MRSA	Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus
PEO	polyethylene oxide
RTE	Ready to eat
SE(s)	Staphylococcal Enterotoxins
SEPO	Staphylococcal food – poisoning outbreaks
TBA	Thiobarbituric Acid
TSI	Triple suger iron
TVN	Total Volatile Nitrogen
U.V.R	Ultra Violet Ray
USFDA	U. S. Food and Drug Administration
VP	Vagous Proskour
VRB	Violet Red Bile agar
WHO	World Health Organization

7. ENGLISH SUMMARY

The present study was conducted to evaluate the safety of some meat product (shawerma, kofta, pasterma and sausage) at El Beheira governorate in addition to evaluation of efficacy of natural essential oils as antimicrobial agents and food preservatives. Therefore, a total of 100 random samples of meat product shawerma, kofta, Pastrama and sausage (25 for each) were collected from local butcher shops and supermarkets in El Beheira governorate. The collected samples were transferred directly to the laboratory of food hygiene, Animal Health Research Institute, Damanhur Branch, in an isolated ice box under complete aseptic conditions with undue delay to be subjected to microbial examination; in addition to, evaluation of natural preservative effect of essential oil.

Our results revealed that, the APC (cfu/g) of the examined samples of meat products ranged from 1.8×10^4 to 8.5×10^5 with the mean value $2.4 \times 10^5 \pm 1.9 \times 10^5$ cfu/g in shawerma, 1.5×10^4 to 3×10^5 with the mean value $1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 7.2 \times 10^4$ cfu/g in kofta, 1.1×10^4 to 1.1×10^6 with the mean value $1.7 \times 10^5 \pm 2.1 \times 10^5$ cfu/g in pasterma and 1.2×10^5 to 2.4×10^6 with the mean value of $9.8 \times 10^5 \pm 6.5 \times 10^5$ cfu/g in sausage. Samples of sausage were the most contaminated ones followed by shawerma, pasterma and Kofta.

Concerning *Staph. aureus* count (cfu/g) of meat products were ranged from 1.2×10^2 to 2.1×10^3 with the mean value of $7.4 \times 10^2 \pm 5.6 \times 10^2$ cfu/g in shawerma, 1.3×10^2 to 3×10^3 with the mean value of $1.1 \times 10^3 \pm 6.9 \times 10^2$ cfu/g in kofta, 2.5×10^2 to 3.2×10^3 with the mean value of $1.5 \times 10^3 \pm 7.5 \times 10^2$ cfu/g in pasterma and 1.1×10^2 to 3.1×10^3 with mean value of $8.7 \times 10^2 \pm 8.8 \times 10^2$ cfu/g in sausage. The incidence of *Staph. aureus* in beef shawerma, kofta, pasterma and sausage were 60, 68, 44 and 52%, respectively.

Coliform count of the examined samples it was ranged from 1.1×10^2 to 5×10^3 with the mean value $2.2 \times 10^3 \pm 1.3 \times 10^3$ in shawerma, 2.4×10^2 to 3.5×10^3 with the mean value $1.5 \times 10^3 \pm 9.2 \times 10^2$ cfu/g in kofta, 1.2×10^2 to 2.4×10^3 with the mean value $6.8 \times 10^2 \pm 6.4 \times 10^2$ cfu/g in pasterma and 2.1×10^2 to 1.1×10^4 with the mean value of $1.9 \times 10^3 \pm 2.1 \times 10^3$ cfu/g in sausage. The incidence of *E. coli* in the examined samples of beef shawerma, kofta, pasterma and sausage were 52, 36, 36 and 44%, respectively.

The antibacterial activity of examined essential oils (clove and garlic) with different concentration (0.3, 0.5 and 1) % on viability of *Staph. aureus* and *E. coli*, the results revealed the count of tested bacteria in examined minced meat samples were as follow:

The log means value \pm SD of *Staph. aureus* counts in control samples of examined

minced meat at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th days) were 6.04 ± 0.11 , 5.95 ± 0.83 , 6.09 ± 0.91 , 6.15 ± 1.33 and 6.99 ± 1.31 cfu/g, respectively. While the mean values of *E. coli* were 5.24 ± 0.27 , 5.47 ± 0.47 , 5.53 ± 0.44 , 5.67 ± 0.49 and 5.97 ± 0.76 cfu/g, respectively.

Concerning the effects of clove on *Staph. aureus*, the log means value \pm SD in treated minced meat samples with clove 0.3% at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th days) at 4 ± 1 °C were 5.52 ± 0.76 , 4.93 ± 0.95 , 4.47 ± 1.26 , 4.19 ± 0.99 and 5.31 ± 1.03 cfu/g, respectively, with reduction percent of 8.63, 17.19, 26.52, 30.31 and 24.08 % respectively. With clove 0.5% at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th days) were 5.00 ± 1.18 , 3.91 ± 1.23 , 3.46 ± 0.77 , 0.00 ± 0.00 and 0.00 ± 0.00 cfu/g, respectively, with a reduction percent of 17.22, 34.26, 43.14, 100.00 and 100.00 %, respectively. While with clove 1% at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th days) were 3.97 ± 1.23 cfu/g and then not detected from the first day till 4th day of experiment with a reduction percent 34.31 after 3hrs of treatment and 100% in the remain days of experiment not detected from the second day of experiment with a reduction percent 100%.

Concerning to effects of clove on *E. coli*, revealed that, the log means value \pm SD of *E. coli* in treated minced meat samples with clove 0.3% at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th days) were 5.08 ± 0.47 , 4.43 ± 0.51 , 3.87 ± 0.54 , 4.63 ± 0.50 and 4.86 ± 0.61 cfu/g, respectively, with a reduction percent of 3.11, 19.14, 30.12, 18.24 and 18.60%, respectively. While clove 0.5% log mean of *E. coli* at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th days) were 4.39 ± 0.65 , 3.89 ± 0.59 , 3.26 ± 0.76 , 0.00 ± 0.00 and 0.00 ± 0.00 cfu/g, respectively, with a reduction percent of 16.25, 28.88, 41.09, 100.00 and 100.00%, respectively. While with clove 1% the log mean of *E. coli* at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th days) were 4.36 ± 0.71 , 3.73 ± 0.59 cfu/g, respectively and then not detected from the second day till 4th day of experiment with a reduction percent 16.86 and 31.85% after 3hrs and first day of treatment and 100% in the remain days of experiment not detected from the second day of experiment with a reduction percent 100%.

Concerning to the effects of garlic on *Staph. aureus* % the log means value \pm SD in treated minced meat samples with garlic 0.3% at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th days) at 4 ± 1 °C were 5.88 ± 0.91 , 5.22 ± 1.13 , 4.36 ± 1.32 , 4.43 ± 1.18 and 4.75 ± 1.05 cfu/g, respectively, with a reduction percent of 2.67, 12.26, 28.35, 26.41 and 32.05 %, respectively. While garlic 0.5% were 5.30 ± 0.70 , 4.52 ± 1.30 , 0.00 ± 0.00 , 0.00 ± 0.00 and 0.00 ± 0.00 cfu/g, respectively, with a reduction percent of 12.32, 24.10, 100.00, 100.00 and 100.00 %

respectively. While garlic 1% at storage periods were 4.66 ± 0.71 , 3.88 ± 0.61 , 0.00 ± 0.00 , 0.00 ± 0.00 and 0.00 ± 0.00 cfu/g, respectively, with a reduction percent of 22.84, 34.80, 100.00, 100.00 and 100.00 %, respectively.

Concerning to the effects of garlic on *E. coli*, the log means value \pm SD of *E. coli* in treated minced meat samples with garlic 0.3% at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th days) were 4.67 ± 0.60 , 4.37 ± 0.51 , 3.79 ± 0.57 , 3.93 ± 1.00 and 4.58 ± 0.70 cfu/g, respectively with a reduction percent of 10.90, 20.12, 31.43, 30.72 and 23.25% respectively. With garlic 0.5% the log means value \pm SD of *E. coli* at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th days) were 4.46 ± 0.55 , 3.87 ± 0.43 cfu/g, respectively and then not detected from the second day till 4th day of experiment with a reduction percent of 14.95, 29.23, 100.00, 100.00 and 100.00%, respectively. garlic 1% the log mean \pm SD counts of *E. coli* at storage periods were 4.36 ± 0.61 , 3.55 ± 0.46 cfu/g, respectively and then not detected from the second day till 4th day of experiment with a reduction percent 16.86 and 35.25% after 3hrs and first day of treatment and 100% in the remain days of experiment not detected from the second day of experiment with a reduction percent 100%.

Concerning to mixture of the two tested oils by concentration 0.3% in the experiment, the log means value \pm SD of *Staph. aureus* with mixd of the two oils 0.3% at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th days) were 5.30 ± 1.00 , 3.63 ± 1.23 , 3.56 ± 1.23 , 4.07 ± 0.88 and 4.34 ± 0.99 cfu/g, respectively with a reduction percent 12.23, 38.91, 41.49, 32.39 and 37.85 % respectively. It was observed that, mix of the two oils 0.3% better in growth inhibition of staphylococci and higher in reduction percent than garlic oil 0.3% and clove 0.3%, higher reduction percent and complete inhibition of Staphylococci in minced meat. That's mean mix of oils 0.3% has a synergistic effect and improves the antibacterial effect of garlic 0.3% and clove 0.3% rather than the oil alone at this concentration; in addition to the mix of oil possess an accepted and preferable odor and flavor so can use it to overcome the un accepted flavor of high concentration particularly clove oil.

The log means value \pm SD of *E. coli* with mixed of the two oils 0.3% at storage period (3hrs, 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th days) were 4.63 ± 0.42 , 3.83 ± 0.45 , 3.64 ± 0.49 , 3.84 ± 0.53 and 4.20 ± 0.57 cfu/g, respectively with a reduction percent of 11.81, 30.12, 34.18, 32.29 and 29.73 % respectively. It was observed that, mix of oils 0.3% better in growth inhibition of *E. coli* and higher in reduction % than garlic 0.3% and clove 0.3%. That's mean mix of oils 0.3% has synergistic effects and improves the antibacterial effect of garlic 0.3% and

clove 0.3% rather than the oil alone at this concentration.

From the obtained results, it was revealed that the sensory properties of the samples were enhanced by addition of essential oils (clove and garlic). In addition, addition of essential oils leads to enhancement of the shelf life of minced meat samples at storage period at $4 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$.