EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF SALINITY AND ANTI-TRANSPIRATION ON THE GROWTH AND FODDER VALUE OF PANICUM PLANTS

By

ADEL SAID HASSAN ALI EL-Wardany

 B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agric. Production), Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ, 2001
 M.Sc. Agric. Sci., Advanced Agriculture systems on Arid Land., Ain Shams University., 2019

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirement for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY in

111

Agricultural Sciences (Salt and Dry Farming Technology)

Department of Salt and Dry Farming Technology Arid land Agricultural Graduate Studies and Research Institute Ain Shams University

2022

ABSTRACT

Adel Said Hassan Ali EL Wardany: Effect of Different Levels of Salinity and Anti-transpiration on the Growth and Fodder Value of *Panicum* Plants. Unpublished Ph.D. Arid land Agriculture Graduate Studies and Research Institute (ALARI). Ain Shams Univ., 2022.

This study was carried out at the private farm in Ismailia and the regional center for Food and Feed (RCFF), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. The aim of this study the effect of different levels of salinity and anti-transpiration on growth characters, chemical composition, and Value of Fodder for *Panicum maximum* plants during spring, summer, autumn and winter seasons through 72 treatments to experiment with the *Panicum maximum* plants [3 anti-transpiration x 4 salinity x 6 replecates].

Two types of anti-transpiration molasses (sugarcane) (5 ml / l), kaolin (50 g / l) and control. Using four levels of salinity (Rasheed salt) [well water in Ismailia (control) - 2000 - 4000 - 6000 ppm].

The results showed the highest plant height (123.77 cm) with the salinity of the well water (Control) with Kaolin anti-transpiration during the summer season. However, the results showed the largest number of branches and number of leaves (863.43, 325.10, respectively) with the salinity of the well water (control) with the anti-transpiration of molasses during the spring season. While the results showed the highest fresh and dry weight (298.20 and 1323.02) g/m2 respectively, and the largest leaf area (7542.77) cm2/m2 with the salinity of well water (control) with antitranspiration kaolin during the summer season. and The results showed the highest percentage of protein (23.49%) with the salinity of 4000 ppm with anti-transpiration molasses during the winter season, while the lowest percentage of protein (15.15%) with the salinity of well water (control) with anti-transpiration kaolin during the summer season. The lowest percentage of fiber derivatives (hemicellulose cellulose, lignin, NDF, ADF, and ADL) at the beginning of growth during the spring and summer season at the level of salinity of the well water (control) and 2000

ppm. The results showed (DMD) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. A close percentage (DMD) was recorded in the kaolin (% 96.62) compared to other anti-transpiration levels with a salinity of 6000ppm compared to other salinity levels. The results showed (ME (Mj/KG DM), (ME (Kcal /KG DM), and OMD) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. *Panicum* recorded a higher percentage of alfalfa with control salinity with kaolin, with salinity 2000ppm with molasses, and with salinity 4000ppm with control and kaolin during the spring season.

In conclusion, the idea of producing *Panicum maximum* is acceptable from a technical point of view. Due to the absence of forages green during the summer in Egypt.

Keywords:

Panicum maximum, anti-transpiration, salinity, summer, spring, autumn, winter, season, crops

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES LIST OF ABBREVIATION 1. INTRODUCTION 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1. Feeds 2.2. Chemical composition to Panicum plants 2.3. Panicum plants for feed animals and the efficiency. 2.3.1. History of Panicum plants 2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder. 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect of saline water 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.4.1. Chemical composition investigation	
 LIST OF ABBREVIATION 1. INTRODUCTION 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1. Feeds 2.2. Chemical composition to Panicum plants. 2.3. Panicum plants for feed animals and the efficiency. 2.3.1. History of Panicum plants. 2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder. 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants. 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 3.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	LIST OF TABLES
 INTRODUCTION REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1. Feeds 2.2. Chemical composition to Panicum plants. 2.3. Panicum plants for feed animals and the efficiency. 2.3.1. History of Panicum plants. 2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder. 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants. 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3. Part one. 3.3. Fertilization. 3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	LIST OF FIGURES
 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1. Feeds 2.2. Chemical composition to Panicum plants 2.3. Panicum plants for feed animals and the efficiency 2.3.1. History of Panicum plants 2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder. 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	LIST OF ABBREVIATION
 2.1. Feeds. 2.2. Chemical composition to Panicum plants. 2.3. Panicum plants for feed animals and the efficiency. 2.3.1. History of Panicum plants. 2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder. 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants. 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 3.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants. 3.6. MATERIAL AND METHODS. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	1. INTRODUCTION
 2.2. Chemical composition to Panicum plants. 2.3. Panicum plants for feed animals and the efficiency. 2.3.1. History of Panicum plants. 2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder. 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants. 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 3.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE
 2.3. Panicum plants for feed animals and the efficiency	2.1. Feeds
 2.3.1. History of Panicum plants. 2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder. 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants. 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants. 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	2.2. Chemical composition to Panicum plants
 2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder. 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants. 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants. 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	2.3. Panicum plants for feed animals and the efficiency
 2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants	2.3.1. History of Panicum plants
 2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants. 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.4. Part two. 	2.3.2. Panicum plants for livestock fodder
 2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain. 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.4. Part two. 	2.3.3. Changes in digestibility due to Panicum plants
 2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility. 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.4. Part two. 	2.3.4. Livestock performance from Panicum plants
 2.4. Effect of saline water. 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants. 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.4. Part two. 	2.3.5. Effect on growth performance and weight gain
 2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants	2.3.6. Effect on nutrient digestibility
 2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the Chemical composition of the plants. 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	2.4. Effect of saline water
Chemical composition of the plants	2.4.1. Effect of saline water on Panicum plants
 2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS 3.1. Materials 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one 3.3.1. Cultivation 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used 3.3.3. Fertilization 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments 3.3.5. Vegetative growth 3.4. Part two 	2.4.2. Effect of Panicum plants using saline water on the
 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS. 3.1. Materials. 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	Chemical composition of the plants
 3.1. Materials	2.5. Effect of Anti-Transpiration on plants
 3.2. The study was divided into three main parts: 3.3. Part one. 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	3. MATERIAL AND METHODS
 3.3. Part one	3.1. Materials
 3.3.1. Cultivation. 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	3.2. The study was divided into three main parts:
 3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used. 3.3.3. Fertilization. 3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments. 3.3.5. Vegetative growth. 3.4. Part two. 	3.3. Part one
3.3.3. Fertilization	3.3.1. Cultivation
3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments.3.3.5. Vegetative growth.3.4. Part two.	3.3.2. Irrigation rate and amount of water used
3.3.5. Vegetative growth 3.4. Part two	3.3.3. Fertilization
3.4. Part two	3.3.4. Data Recorded for experiments
	3.3.5. Vegetative growth
3.4.1. Chemical composition investigation	3.4. Part two
	3.4.1. Chemical composition investigation

3.4.2. Sample preparation.
3.4.3. Proximate analysis
3.4.4. Fiber fraction analysis
3.4.5. Determination of cellulose and hemicellulose and lignin
3.5. Part three
3.5.1. In-vitro digestibility
3.5.2. In vitro calculation
3.5.3. Relative gas production parameters calculation
3.6. Statistical analysis
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1. Growth characteristics
4.2. Chemical composition
4.3. Fiber fraction
4.4. Effect of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants In-vitro dry matter digestibility
4.5. Water Consumption
5. SUMMARY
6. REFERENCES
ARABIC SUMMARY

LIST OF TABLES

1	Salinity and pH of irrigation water during the experiment period
2	Average temperatures in Ismailia Governorate during the experiment period
3	Soil salinity before and after planting
4	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and its interaction on plant height (cm) of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants
5	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and its interaction on a number of branches of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants per (m ²)
6	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their interaction on the number of leaves of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants per (m2).
7	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and its interaction on a fresh weight of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants $(gm) per (m^2)$
8	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their interaction on the dry weight of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants (gm) per (m2).
9	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their interaction on a leaf area of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants (cm2) per (m2)
10	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their interaction on the specific density of leaf of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants per (m2).
11	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their interaction on a leaf area index of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants per (m2)

No.

Page

No.		Pa
12	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage of Protein in Panicum	
	maximum plants	4
13	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons on the	
	percentage of the moisture of Panicum maximum plants	4
4	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage ash of Panicum maximum	
	plants	4
5	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage crude fiber of Panicum	
	maximum plants	4
6	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage (EE) ether extract of Panicum	
	maximum plants	4
7	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage total carbohydrate of Panicum	
	maximum plants	4
8	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the energy (kcal/g) of Panicum maximum	
	plants	4
9	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage Cellulose of Panicum	
	maximum plants	
0	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage hemicellulose of Panicum	
	maximum plants.	5
1	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage lignin of Panicum maximum	
	plants	5
2	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on the percentage NDF of Panicum maximum plants.	5

Page

23	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their
	interaction on the percentage ADF of Panicum maximum
	plants
24	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their
	interaction on the percentage ADL of Panicum maximum
	plants
25	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their
	interaction on dry matter degradability (DMD) of Panicum
	maximum plants
26	Dry matter degradation (DMD) comparison for Panicum
	maximum with alfalfa
27	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their
	interaction on Neutral Detergent Fiber degradability
	(NDFD) of Panicum maximum plants
28	Neutral Detergent Fiber degradability (NDFD) comparison
	for Panicum maximum with alfalfa
• •	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their
29	interaction on Acid Detergent Fiber degradability (ADFD)
	of Panicum maximum plants
-	
30	Acid Detergent Fiber degradability (ADFD) comparison
	for <i>Panicum maximum</i> with alfalfa
31	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their
	interaction on Metabolizable Energy (ME (Mj/Kg DM)) of
	Panicum maximum plants
32	. Metabolizable Energy (ME (Mj/Kg DM)) comparison for
	Panicum maximum with alfalfa
33	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their
	interaction on Metabolizable Energy (ME (Kcal/KG DM))
	of Panicum maximum plants

No.

34 Metabolizable Energy (ME (Kcal/KG DM)) compare		
	for <i>Panicum maximum</i> with alfalfa 70	
35	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on Organic Matter degradability (OMD) of	
	Panicum maximum plants 71	
36	Organic Matter degradability (OMD) comparison for	
	Panicum maximum with alfalfa 72	
37	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on Short Chain Fatty Acid (SCFA	
	(MMOL/200MG DM)) of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants 74	
38	Short Chain Fatty Acid (SCFA (MMOL/200MG DM))	
	comparison for <i>Panicum maximum</i> with alfalfa	
39	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on Gas Production per g DM (GPDM) of	
	Panicum maximum plants 76	
40	Gas Production per g DM (GPDM) comparison for	
	Panicum maximum with alfalfa 77	
41	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on Gas Production per g OM (GPOM) of	
	Panicum maximum plants 78	
42	Gas Production per g OM (GPOM) comparison for	
	Panicum maximum with alfalfa79	
43	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on Gas Production per g NDF (GPNDF) of	
	Panicum maximum plants80	
44	Gas Production per g NDF (GPNDF) comparison for	
	Panicum maximum with alfalfa81	
45	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on Gas Production per g ADF (GPADF) of	
	Panicum maximum plants83	

No.

No.		Page
46	Gas Production per g ADF (GPADF) comparison for	
	Panicum maximum with alfalfa	84
47	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on Gas Production per g degraded DM	
	(GPdDM) of Panicum maximum plants	85
48	Gas Production per g degraded DM (GPdDM) comparison	
	for <i>Panicum maximum</i> with alfalfa	86
49	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	
	interaction on Gas Production per g degraded NDF	
	(GPdNDF) of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants	87
50	Gas Production per g degraded NDF (GPdNDF)	
	comparison for <i>Panicum maximum</i> with alfalfa	88
51	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and its	
	interaction on Gas Production per g degraded ADF	
	(GPdADF) of <i>Panicum maximum</i> plants	89
52	Gas Production per g degraded ADF (GPdADF)	
	comparison for <i>Panicum maximum</i> with alfalfa	90
53	Effect of salinity, anti-transpiration, and seasons and their	20
	interaction on Water Consumption of Panicum maximum	
	plants (L/Kg DM)	91
54		71
34	Water Consumption comparison for Panicum maximum	93
	with alfalfa	93

VIII

LIST OF FIGURES

	No.	Page
1	Preparing for farming	21
2	Panicum maximum cultivation	22
3	Six months after the first mowing	23

LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

ADF	:	Acid Detergent Fiber
NDFD	:	Neutral Detergent Fiber degradability
°C	:	Celsius degree
ADFD	:	Acid Detergent Fiber degradability
ADL	:	Acid Detergent Lignin
ARC	:	Agricultural Research Center
СНО	:	carbohydrate
СР	:	crude protein
DDM	:	digestible dry matter
DE	:	digestible energy
DM	:	dry matter
DMD	:	Dry matter degradability
DMI	:	dry matter intake
EE	:	fats
GE	:	growth energy
GPADF	:	Gas Production per g ADF
GPdADF	:	Gas Production per g degraded ADF
GPdDM	:	Gas Production per g degraded DM
GPDM	:	Gas Production per g DM
GPdNDF	:	Gas Production per g degraded NDF
GPNDF	:	Gas Production per g NDF
GPOM	:	Gas Production per g OM
IN-VDMD	:	In- Vitro dry matter digestibility
L.S.D	:	least significant difference test
ME (Mj/KG DM)	:	Metabolizable Energy
NDF	:	Neutral Detergent Fiber
OMD	:	Organic Matter degradability
RCFF	:	Regional Center for Food and Feed
S1	:	well water in Ismailia (control)
S2	:	2000 ppm (sea salt)
S3	:	4000ppm (sea salt)

S4	: 6000ppm (sea salt)
SCFA(MMOL/200MG DM)	: Short Chain Fatty Acid
TDN	: Total digestible nutrients

SUMMARY

Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) spreads in semi-tropical and tropical regions of the world (**Whyte et al.,1959**), where it is used in fattening ruminants. Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*.) plants are characterized by rapid growth after harvest, dense growth, and an increase in the number of leaves due to the increase in the number of basal buds of plants, and also tend animals to Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*.) (**Bianchini et al.,1999**).

This study was conducted at the farm in New Salhia in Ismailia Governorate and the Regional Center for Food and Feed (R.C.F.F.) Laboratories Agricultural Research Center (ARC) during the period from 2019 to 2020. To study the effect of different levels of salinity and antitranspiration on the growth and fodder value of Panicum maximum plants.

Anti-transpiration and salinity of irrigation were used through 72 treatments to experiment with the *Panicum maximum* plants [3 anti-transpiration x 4 salinity x 6 replicates].

Two types of anti-transpiration molasses (sugarcane) (5 ml / l), kaolin (50 g / l) and control. Using four levels of salinity (Rasheed salt) [S1= well water in Ismailia (control) -S2=2000 ppm- S3=4000 ppm -S4=6000 ppm].

The seedling of Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) used in this study was obtained from a private farm. Seedling price for plants *Panicum maximum* was [0.35 LE/seedling].

Irrigation is carried out at a rate of 16 liters / m2 / week

NPK 20/20/20 fertilizer was used at 50 g/2 L/m2/month. NPK was added monthly after ten days of each cutting.

Mowing is done every 30 days for a year The average of the three months (April, May, and June) is taken for the spring season, the average of the three months (July, August, and September) for the summer season, the average of the three months (October, November, and December) for

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

the Autumn season, and the average of the three months (January and February, and March) for the winter season to measure the expected fresh and dry weight of the forage.

Dry samples were used for chemical analysis. The average fresh and dry fodder yield per unit m² was calculated. Samples of *Panicum maximum* plants were collected from each try and separated for measuring plants height, No. of leaves, No. of Branches, Density, Leave area Index Leave area, fresh weight, and dry weight (each per square meter and per cm of height).

The results of the trials concluded that:

1. The highest plant height (123.77 cm) were achieved with the salinity of the well water (Control) with Kaolin anti-transpiration during the summer season.

2. The largest number of branches and number of leaves (863.43, 325.10, respectively) were recorded with the salinity of the well water (control) with the anti-transpiration of molasses during the spring season.

3. The highest fresh weight and dry weight (298.20 and 1323.02) g/m2 respectively, and the largest leaf area (7542.77) cm2/m2 were recorded with the salinity of well water (control) with anti-transpiration kaolin during the summer season.

4. The results showed the highest percentage of protein (23.49%) with the salinity of 4000 ppm with anti-transpiration molasses during the winter season, while the lowest percentage of protein (15.15%) with the salinity of well water (control) with anti-transpiration kaolin during the summer season.

5. The percentage of fiber decreased (22.09%), and the percentage of carbohydrates and energy also increased (284.07%, 45.68%) respectively with salinity 4000 ppm with anti-transpiration molasses during the spring season, while the percentage of fat increased (3.87%) with salinity 4000 ppm with anti-transpiration molasses during the summer season

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

6. The lowest percentage of fiber derivatives is hemicellulose cellulose, lignin, NDF, ADF, and ADL, at the beginning of growth during the spring and summer season at the level of salinity of the well water (control) and 2000 ppm.

7. The results showed (DMD) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. A close percentage (DMD) was recorded in the kaolin (% 96.62) compared to other anti-transpiration levels with a salinity of 6000ppm compared to other salinity levels.

8. The results showed (NDFD) and (ADFD) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. *Panicum* recorded a higher percentage of alfalfa (% 104.13 and %118.30) respectively with control salinity with molasses during the spring season, while it was higher in most of the transactions during the summer, autumn, and winter seasons.

9. The results showed (ME (Mj/KG DM), (ME (Kcal /KG DM), and OMD) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. *Panicum* recorded a higher percentage of alfalfa with control salinity with kaolin, with salinity 2000ppm with molasses, and with salinity 4000ppm with control and kaolin during the spring season.

10. The results showed an (SCFA (MMOL/200MG DM)) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. *Panicum* recorded a higher percentage of alfalfa (% 105.56) with control salinity with kaolin during the spring and summer seasons respectively.

11. The results showed (GPDM), (GPOM), and (GPNDF) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. *Panicum* recorded a less percentage of alfalfa.

12. The results showed (GPADF) a comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. *Panicum* recorded a higher percentage of alfalfa during the spring season in most of the treatments.

13. The results showed (GPdDM) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. *Panicum* recorded a higher percentage of alfalfa during the spring and summer seasons in most of the treatments.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

14. The results showed (GPdNDF) and (GPdADF) comparison for *Panicum maximum* with alfalfa. *Panicum* recorded a higher percentage of alfalfa during the spring season in most of the treatments.

Finally, The study concluded that *panicum* fodder was produced during the year, especially during the spring and summer season, with a high digestive value compared to alfalfa, while it bears high salinity up to 4000 parts per million, whether soil salinity or salinity of irrigation water. In conclusion, the idea of producing *panicum* fodder is accepted as an alternative for alfalfa in areas of high salinity.