

## Entomotoxicity Assessment of Locally Synthesized Nano-pesticide Formulations for Cotton Leafworm Control

#### A Thesis

Submitted to Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Sohag University,

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Science (Non-organic Chemistry)

By

Haytham Abdelmawgood Hassan Ayoub

B.Sc. Chemistry 2010

M.Sc. Chemistry 2018

Assistant Researcher, Plant Protection Research Institute (ARC)

### Supervised by

#### Prof. Dr. Farouk A. Rashwan

Professor of Physical Chemistry, Sohag University

### Prof. Dr. Rafat M. A. El-Khatib

Professor of Inorganic Chemistry, Sohag University

### Prof. Dr. Hanan F. El-Sayed

Chief Researcher of Pesticides, Plant Protection Research Institute (ARC)

### **Dr. Mohamed Khairy**

Associate Professor of Physical Chemistry, Sohag University

2022 - 1443

## Content

### **Contents**

| List of figures  |  |
|--|--|
| List of tables   |  |
| List of abbreviations  |  |
| Abstract   |  |
| Introduction   |  |
| 1.General Introduction   |  |
| 2. Traditional classification of pesticides                    |  |
| 2.1.Based on pesticide function and the pest they kill         |  |
| 2.2.Based on the chemical composition of the pesticide         |  |
| 2.3.Based on the mode of entry                                 |  |
| 3. Applications of nanomaterials in agriculture sector         |  |
| 3.1. Nanopesticides  |  |
| 3.1.1. Nanoemulsions   |  |
| 3.1.2. Nanocapsules  |  |
| 3.1.3. Nanoclays   |  |
| 3.1.4. Solid lipid nanoparticles                               |  |
| 3.1.5. Nanosuspensions   |  |
| 3.1.6. Metal oxide nanoparticles (NPs)                         |  |
| 3.1.6.1.Silica NPs (SiO <sub>2</sub> -NPs)                     |  |
| 3.1.6.2.Zinc oxide (ZnO-NPs)                                   |  |
| 3.1.6.3.Copper oxide (CuO-NPs)                                 |  |
| 3.1.6.4.Magnesium oxide (MgO-NPs)                              |  |
| 3.1.6.5. Calcium oxide nanoparticles (CaO-NPs)                 |  |
| 3.1.6.6.Titanium oxide nanoparticles(TiO <sub>2</sub> -NPs)    |  |
| 3.2. Nanofertilizers   |  |
| 4. Cotton leafworm   |  |
| 4.1. The mid-gut   |  |
| 4.2. Cuticle structure   |  |
| Aim of the work  |  |
| Materials and Methods  |  |
| 1. Chemicals   |  |
| 2.Synthesis of calcium borate samples                          |  |
| 3.Synthesis of calcium silicate from bio-waste eggshells (ECS) |  |
| 4. Characterization of materials                               |  |
| 4.1. X-ray diffraction   |  |
| 4.2. Fourier transformation infrared spectroscopy              |  |
| 4.3. N <sub>2</sub> Adsorption/desorption isotherms            |  |
| 4.4. Scanning electron microscopy                              |  |
| 5. Insect rearing  |  |
| 6 Rioassay   |  |
| 0. <i>Di</i> 00ssuy  |  |

## Content

| 7. Biological aspects  | 47  |
|--|-----|
| 8. Oxidative stress  | 47  |
| 9. Histopathological samples analysis  | 48  |
| 10. Joint action   | 48  |
| 11. Statistical analysis   | 49  |
| Results and discussion   | 50  |
| 1. physicochemical features of synthesized samples   | 50  |
| 1.1.Characterization of calcium borate samples   | 50  |
| 1.1.1.X-rav diffraction (XRD)  | 51  |
| 1.1.2. Fourier transforms infrared spectra (FTIR)  | 53  |
| 1.1.3.Textural properties  | 55  |
| 1.1.4.Morphological properties   | 59  |
| 1.2. Characertization of calcium silicate from bio-waste eggshells (ECS)                             | 63  |
| 1.2.1. X-ray diffraction (XRD)   | 64  |
| 1.2.2. Fourier transforms infrared spectra (FTIR)  | 64  |
| 1.2.3.Textural properties  | 65  |
| 1.2.4.Morphological properties   | 66  |
| 2. Insecticidal activity   | 67  |
| 2.1. Insecticidal activity of calcium borates  | 67  |
| 2.1.1. Biological aspects  | 74  |
| 2.1.2. Oxidative stress measurement  | 76  |
| 2.1.3. Histopathological alterations   | 78  |
| 2.2. Insecticidal activity of calcium silicate from bio-waste eggshells                              |     |
| (ECS)  | 83  |
| 2.2.1. Biological aspects  | 85  |
| 2.2.2.Histopathological alterations  | 86  |
| 2.3.Synergistic joint action of nanostructured materials with cholinesterase-inhibiting insecticides | 88  |
| Recommendations  | 93  |
| English summary  | 94  |
| References   | 99  |
| Publications   | 126 |
| Arabic summary   | ,   |

### List of figures

| Figure 1.  | Population growth 1950 – 2050. Data source: Food and<br>Agriculture Organization (FAO) and World Bank      | 1   |
|------------|--|-----|
| Figure ?   | Schematic representation for insecticides classifications  | Δ   |
| Figure 2.  | Schematic representation for the ways by which   | Ŧ   |
| Figure J.  | insecticides enter the pests   | 6   |
| Figure 4.  | Applications of nanomaterials in agriculture sector  | 7   |
| Figure 5.  | Schematic diagram of nano-based pesticide formulation  | 8   |
| Figure 6.  | Schematic representation of Oil-in-water nanoemulsion  | U   |
|            | (A) and water-in-oil nanoemulsion (B)  | 9   |
| Figure 7.  | Schematic illustration of the preparation of dual-   | 2   |
|            | functionalized pesticide nanocapsule   | 12  |
| Figure 8.  | . Schematic diagram for the assembly process of  |     |
| 8          | methomyl-loaded shell cross-linked nanocapsules  | 13  |
| Figure 9.  | Schematic representation of SLN production by  |     |
| 0          | microemulsion technique  | 16  |
| Figure 10. | Schematic representation for reaction paths of different   |     |
| -          | synthesis procedures to obtain MeSiNPs@bPEI and its  |     |
|            | further loading with citridiol pesticide. Days   | 20  |
| Figure 11. | Schematic illustration of the controlled-release mechanism   |     |
|            | of DQ herbicide loaded in MSNPs-SO <sub>3</sub> . Mechanism A:   |     |
|            | pesticide released by electrostatic interaction under  |     |
|            | different pH solutions. Mechanism B: Pesticide released  |     |
|            | through ion exchange by increasing ionic   | 22  |
|            | strength   | LΔ  |
| Figure12.  | Schematic representation of i) electrochemical deposition  |     |
|            | of ZnO NPs by using cyclic voltammetry ii)   |     |
|            | functionalization of ZnO/SPCE electrode using  |     |
|            | Tyrosinase/giutaralaenyae, III) A- detection of phenol and<br>B inhibiting haged detection of chloritelyan | 24  |
| Figure 12  | a) Model of nanocapsule containing   |     |
| Figure 15. | a) Model of nanocupsule containing<br>macro/microalaments Examples of opening strategies of                |     |
|            | nanocansule: (b) release of nutrients as a function of time  |     |
|            | to avoid or limit nutrient losses or designed to occur when  |     |
|            | a molecular receptor hinds to a specific chemical  | 29  |
| Figure 14  | Schematic representation of Spodoptera littoralis mid-out  |     |
| 9          | epithelial cell  | 32  |
| Figure 15. | Schematic representation of the various lavers of  | 2 - |
| 0          | Spodoptera littoralis cuticle structure  | 33  |

| Figure 16. | Schematic representation for the hydrothermal synthesis of calcium borate samples  |
|------------|--|
| Figure 17. | Schematic representation for the sol-gel synthesis of calcium silicate (ECS) from bio-waste eggshells  |
| Figure 18. | Diffraction of X- rays by a crystal according to Bragg's law   |
| Figure 19. | Schematic instrumental representation of Fourier transforms infrared spectrometry (FTIR)   |
| Figure 20. | The IUPAC classifications of various adsorption/desorption isotherms   |
| Figure 21. | The relationship between the pore shape and the adsorption-desorption isotherm   |
| Figure 22. | Wide-angle XRD of calcium borate samples at different annealing temperatures   |
| Figure 23. | FTIR of calcium borate samples at different annealing temperatures   |
| Figure 24. | Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and their corresponding pore size distribution curves for CBM-A, CBM-C  |
| Figure 25. | Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and their corresponding pore size distribution curves for CBSU-A, and CBSU-C.   |
| Figure 26. | Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and their corresponding pore size distribution curves for CBMU-A, and CBMU-C  |
| Figure 27. | SEM images of calcium borate samples at 500 $^{\circ}C$ (CBM-A) and at 800 $^{\circ}C$ (CBM-C).  |
| Figure 28. | SEM images of calcium borate samples at 500 °C (CBSU-<br>A) and at 800 °C (CBSU-C)   |
| Figure 29. | FE-SEM images of calcium borate samples at 500 °C (CBMU-A) and at 800 °C (CBMU-C).   |
| Figure 30. | Wide-angle XRD (a), and FTIR (b) of calcium silicate (ECS)   |
| Figure 31. | Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and their corresponding pore size distribution curves for calcium silicate (ECS).   |
| Figure 32. | SEM images of calcium silicate (ECS)   |
| Figure 33. | Toxicity lines for 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of CBM-A, CBM-C via<br>feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-<br>treatment |

| Figure 34. | Toxicity lines for 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of CBSU-A, CBMSU-C<br>via feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-<br>treatment                               | 69 |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 35. | Toxicity lines for 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of CBMU-A, CBMU-C<br>via feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-<br>treatment                                | 70 |
| Figure 36. | Toxicity line for 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of dimilin via feeding<br>bioassay method after 11 days post-treatment  | 74 |
| Figure 37. | Photographic images for 4 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera<br>littoralis exposed to LC <sub>50</sub> of CBM-A, CBM-C, CBSU-A,<br>CBSU-C, CBMU-A, CBSM-C, and Dimilin via feeding<br>bioassay method                      | 75 |
| Figure 38. | Photographic images for pupa stage of Spodoptera<br>littoralis exposed to LC50 of CBM-A, CBM-C, CBSU-A,<br>CBSU-C, CBMU-A, CBSM-C, and Dimilin via feeding<br>bioassay method   | 75 |
| Figure 39. | Cross-sections of mid-gut for $6^{th}$ instar larvae of<br>Spodoptera littoralis treated with $LC_{50}$ of CBM-A, CBM-<br>C, and dimilin compared with control (×20 H-E), Bm:<br>Basement membrane Lu: Lumen Ep: Epithelial cells | 79 |
| Figure 40. | Cross-sections of mid-gut for $6^{th}$ instar larvae of<br>Spodoptera littoralis treated with $LC_{50}$ of CBSU-A, CBSU-<br>C, CBMU-A, and CBMU-C (×20 H-E), Bm: Basement<br>membrane, Lu: Lumen, Ep: Epithelial cells            | 80 |
| Figure 41. | Cross-sections of cuticle layers for $6^{m}$ instar larvae of<br>Spodoptera littoralis treated with $LC_{50}$ of CBM-A, CBM-C,<br>and dimilin compared with control (×40 H-E)   | 81 |
| Figure 42. | Cross-sections of cuticle layers for $6^{th}$ instar larvae of<br>Spodoptera littoralis treated with $LC_{50}$ of CBSU-A, CBSU-<br>C, CBMU-A, and CBMU-C (×40 H-E)  | 82 |
| Figure 43. | Toxicity line for $2^{nd}$ instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of ECS via feeding<br>bioassay method after 11 days post-treatment   | 84 |
| Figure 44. | Photographic images for $4^{th}$ instar larvae (a) and pupa<br>stage (b) of Spodoptera littoralis exposed to $LC_{50}$ of ECS<br>via feeding bioassay method  | 86 |
| Figure 45. | Cross-sections of mid-gut for 6th instar larvae of<br>Spodoptera littoralis treated with LC50 of ECS compared<br>with control ( $\times$ 20 H-E), Bm: Basement membrane, Lu:  |    |

|            | Lumen, Ep: Epithelial cells Lumen, Ep: Epithelial                  | 87 |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 46. | Cross-sections of cuticle layers for 6th instar larvae of          |    |
|            | Spodoptera littoralis treated with LC50 of ECS compared            |    |
|            | with control (×40 H-E)   | 88 |
| Figure 47. | Toxicity lines for $2^{nd}$ instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis |    |
| C          | exposed to different concentrations of CBSU-A, ECS,                |    |
|            | methomyl and chlorpyrifos via feeding bioassay method              |    |
|            | after three days post-treatment                                    | 91 |
|            |  |    |

### List of tables

| Table 1. | <i>IUPAC</i> name and chemical formula of diflubenzuron,<br>methomyl and chlorpyrifos insecticides   | 36 |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 2. | Accumulative mortalities for 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera<br>littoralis exposed to different concentrations of CBM-A,<br>CBM-C via feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-<br>treatment   | 68 |
| Table 3. | Accumulative mortalities for 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera<br>littoralis exposed to different concentrations of CBSU-A,<br>CBSU-C via feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-<br>treatment   | 69 |
| Table 4. | Accumulative mortalities for 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera<br>littoralis exposed to different concentrations of CBMU-A,<br>CBMU-C via feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-<br>treatment   | 70 |
| Table 5. | Toxicity data of 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of CBM-A, CBM-C,<br>CBSU-A, CBSU-C, CBMU-A, CBMU-C, and dimilin via<br>feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-treatment   | 72 |
| Table 6. | Accumulative mortality for $2^{nd}$ instar larvae of Spodoptera<br>littoralis exposed to different concentrations of dimilin via<br>feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-treatment   | 73 |
| Table 7. | Lethal effects (LC <sub>50</sub> ) of CBM-A, CBM-C, CBSU-A, CBSU-C,<br>CBMU-A, CBMU-C and dimilin compared with control on<br>some biological aspects of Spodoptera littoralis. Data<br>values are the mean of three independent replicates and<br>expressed as mean $\pm$ standard error. Comparison was<br>performed by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA),<br>at $P < 0.05$ [ $\blacklozenge$ non-significant, $\ast$ significant, $\ast\ast$ high  | 7. |
| Table 8. | Significant, *** very high significant]<br>Lipid peroxide (MDA) for 6 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera<br>littoralis treated with LC <sub>50</sub> of CBM-A, CBM-C, CBSU-A,<br>CBSU-C, CBMU-A, CBMU-C, and dimilin compared with<br>control. Data values are the mean of three independent<br>replicates and expressed as mean $\pm$ standard error.<br>Comparison was performed by using one-way analysis of<br>variance (ANOVA), at $P < 0.05$ [ $\blacklozenge$ non-significant, *<br>significant ** high significant *** user high significant] | 70 |
| Table 9. | Significant, ** high significant, *** very high significant]<br>Accumulative mortality for $2^{nd}$ instar larvae of Spodoptera<br>littoralis exposed to different concentrations of ECS via<br>feeding bioassay method after 11 days post-treatment   | 84 |

## List of tables

| Table 10. | Toxicity data of 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of ECS via feeding<br>bioassay method after 11 days post-treatment  | 85 |
|-----------|--|----|
| Table 11. | Lethal effects ( $LC_{50}$ ) of ECS compared with control on some<br>biological aspects of Spodoptera littoralis. Data values are<br>the mean of three independent replicates and expressed as<br>mean $\pm$ standard error. Comparison was performed by<br>using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), at $P < 0.05$<br>[ $\blacklozenge$ non-significant, * significant, ** high<br>significant] | 86 |
| Table 12. | The mortality of 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of CBSU-A, and ECS<br>via feeding bioassay method after three days post-<br>treatment   | 90 |
| Table 13. | The mortality of 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of methomyl and<br>chlorpyrifos via feeding bioassay method after three days<br>post-treatment  | 90 |
| Table 14. | Toxicity data of 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis<br>exposed to different concentrations of CBSU-A, ECS,<br>methomyl and chlorpyrifos via feeding bioassay method<br>after three days post-treatment   | 91 |
| Table 15. | Joint toxicity of CBSU-A combined with methomyl and<br>chlorpyrifos insecticides on Spodoptera littoralis via feeding<br>bioassay method after three days post-treatment   | 92 |
| Table 16. | Joint toxicity of ECS combined with methomyl and<br>chlorpyrifos insecticides on Spodoptera littoralis via feeding   | 02 |
|           | bioassay methoa after three aays post-treatment  | 92 |

### List of abbreviations

| FAO    | Food and Agriculture Organization                                   |
|--------|---|
| NPs    | Nanoparticles   |
| EPA    | Environmental Protection Agency                                     |
| EO     | Essential oils  |
| RSM    | Response surface methodology  |
| AIs    | Active ingredients  |
| EMB    | Emamectin-benzoate  |
| PVA    | Polyvinyl alcohol   |
| DEPA   | Diethyl Phenylacetamide   |
| PEG    | Polyethylene glycol   |
| PCA    | poly citric acid  |
| AMK    | Alkaline modified kaolin  |
| РМК    | Phosphate modified kaolin   |
| SLNs   | Solid lipid nanoparticles   |
| NLC    | Nanostructured lipid carriers                                       |
| SC     | Suspension concentrate  |
| SDS    | Sodium 1-dodecanesulfonate  |
| PVP    | Polyvinylpyrrolidone  |
| MOs    | Metal oxide nanoparticles   |
| MSNPs  | Mesoporous silica nanoparticles                                     |
| bPEI   | branched polyethyleneimine  |
| DQ     | Diquat dibromide  |
| SPACEs | Screen-printed carbon electrodes                                    |
| ROS    | Reactive oxygen species   |
| MIC    | Minimum inhibiting concentration                                    |
| MBC    | Minimum bactericidal concentration                                  |
| BBSV   | Broad bean strain virus   |
| CB     | Calcium borates   |
| CBM-A  | Calcium borate monolithic microblocks(Amorphous phase)              |
| CBM-C  | Calcium borate monolithic microblocks (Crystalline phase)           |
| CBSU-A | <i>Calcium borate sea urchin-like microsphere (Amorphous phase)</i> |
| CBSU-C | Calcium borate sea urchin-like microsphere (Crystalline phase)      |
| CBMU-A | Calcium borate mushroom-like structure (Amorphous phase)            |
| CBMU-C | Calcium borate mushroom-like structure (Crystalline phase)          |
| CS     | Calcium silicates   |
| NCS-Ag | nano-structured calcium silicate-silver                             |
| ECS    | calcium silicate from eggshells                                     |
| TEOS   | tetraethyl orthosilicate  |
| XRD    | X-ray diffraction   |
| FTIR   | Fourier transform infrared spectrometry                             |

# List of abbreviations

| Scanning electron microscopy |
|------------------------------|
| Malondialdehyde              |
| Thiobarbituric acid          |
| Haematoxylin-Eosin           |
| Standard error               |
| one-way analysis of variance |
| Insect growth regulators     |
| Chitin synthesis inhibitors  |
| Organic phosphorus           |
|                              |

#### **Abstract**

Agricultural practices (i.e. fertilizers or pesticides) are anthropogenic sources of organic pollutants through the contamination of the local-point or diffused sources. Although, the necessity of these organic species in the food production, the inconsiderate use of these organic species caused harmful impacts for environment and human. Thus, developing of alternative agrochemicals has attracted much attention to overcome these agricultural and environmental challenges. Many researchers and agrochemical companies devote their interest to provide efficient and sustainable strategies for controlling insect pests. Application of nano-sized particles in the agriculture sector might provide an environmentally sustainable solution for the shortage of annually food production. Numerous nano-agrochemical substances were developed. However, the efficacy, safety and applicability of these nano-agrochemicals onsite is still challenge.

In this context, we synthesized SiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO and CaO nanostructures for cotton leafworm (*Spodoptera littoralis*) control. Interestingly, the CuO showed a faster entomotoxic effect than CaO. However, CaO showed lower median lethal concentration ( $LC_{50}$ ). Thus, calcium-based nanopesticides will be developed in the current thesis. Thank to calcium as an essential nutrient elements for plants, thus it could enhance the soil fertility. Firstly, calcium borate particles with different shapes including monolithic micro-blocks (M), sea urchin microspheres (SU) and mushroom (MU) -like were synthesized by facile hydrothermal routes. Amorphous phases of calcium borates of CBM-A, CBSU-A, and CBMU-A were obtained by thermal treatment at 500 °C, while the crystalline phases of CBM-C, CBSU-C, and CBMU-C were produced after the calcination at 800 °C. The crystalline phase of CBM-C, CBSU-C, and CBMU-C exhibited typical

diffraction peaks of orthorhombic calcium meta-borate ( $CaB_2O_4$ ) with a crystal size of 42.54 nm, 35.66 nm, and 37.28 nm, respectively.

The insecticidal activity of calcium borate samples was explored against Spodoptera littoralis. Feeding bioassay method was utilized for evaluating larvicidal efficacy after eleven days post-treatment, biological aspects, oxidative stress, and histopathological effects. The larvicidal efficacy based on  $LC_{50}$ values for CBM-A, CBSU-A, and CBMU-A were found to be 406.92, 207.05 and 188.63 mg/L, respectively. While, CBM-C, CBSU-C, and CBMU-C showed LC<sub>50s</sub> of 532.31, 303.61 and 276.38 mg/L, respectively, which is higher than that of the amorphous samples. These results indicated that the particle size and crystallinity of calcium borate samples are the significant key factors for Spodoptera littoralis control which mainly regarding to the availability of borate ions. The investigation of the biological aspects of calcium borate materials in terms of weight, pupation and adult emergency revealed that their lethal concentrations have significant effects on pupa and adult stages similar to insect growth regulator insecticides (i.e. dimilin). Thus, the influence of calcium borate samples on histopathological changes of mid-gut and cuticle cross-sections will be carefully studied. Interestingly, the calcium borate samples did not significantly effect on mid-gut section but cause partial destroy of cuticle layer leading to losing the protective exoskeleton and insects quickly begin to lose water and eventually die via desiccation.

Next attention was turned to utilizing calcium-rich waste (i.e. chicken eggshells) for preparing new insecticides at low cost with great environmental impacts. Thus, large scale of calcium silicate material was synthesized *via* template-free sol-gel method. Highly dense aggregated sheets with varied sizes less than 5.0  $\mu$ m of amorphous calcium silicate (ECS) were obtained. The larvicidal efficacy of ECS was investigated by feeding bioassay method against

### Abstract

*Spodoptera littoralis*. The ECS exhibited lower larvicidal activity ( $LC_{50} = 517$  mg/L) compared with calcium borates. Although, the lower insecticidal activity of ECS, it contains the most important soil nutrients (Ca and Si elements) as well as derived from environmental waste.

On the other hand, mitigation the usage of organic pesticides *via* combination with the nanostructured materials will be interesting trend. The synergistic combinations of CBSU-A, and ECS with cholinesterase-inhibiting insecticides such as methomyl and chlorpyrifos were explored. The combination of CBSU-A with methomyl and chlorpyrifos increased the toxicity to 2.4 and 2.6-folds. While, the combination of ECS raised the toxicity for methomyl and chlorpyrifos by 2.7 and 2.8-fold, respectively. The CBSU-A, and ECS could rupture the cuticle layer and then allows the organic insecticides to penetrate inside the insect body. Such miscellaneous mode of action increases the insecticidal efficacy against *Spodoptera littoralis*, which sequentially reduces the intensive usage of highly toxic nervous system insecticides. In conclusion, the synthesized calcium borates and calcium silicate nanostructures can be employed as a multifunctional nanoagrochemical to boost various agricultural programs in terms of soil fertility and plant growth.