

Mansoura University Faculty of Agriculture Economic Entomology Department

STUDIES ON THE TOMATO LEAFMINER , TUTA ABSOLUTA (Meyrick) AND ITS ASSOCIATED NATURAL ENEMIES.

BY

Nesreen El sayed Mostafa El mowafy

B. sci. (General Entomology), Faculty of Science, Tanta University, 1996 M. sci. Agric. Sci. (Economic Entomology) Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, 2018

Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

In

Agricultural Sciences (Economic Entomology) Department of Economic Entomology Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura University SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr.

Prof. Dr.

Samir S. Awadalla Professor of Economic Entomology Mohamed H. Bayoumy Professor of Economic

Entomology

Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura University

Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura University

Prof. Dr.

Ekram A. Abdou Researcher, Plant Protection Research Institute Agricultural Research Center

2022

CONTENTS

Title	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1.The tomato leaf miner, <i>Tuta absoluta</i> (Meyrick).	4
2.2 Biological studies on <i>T. absoluta</i>	14
2.3.Efficiency sex pheromone traps in monitoring <i>T. absoluta</i> population	16
2.4. The main insect predators associated with <i>Tuta absoluta</i> .	21
3. MATERIALS AND METHODES	30
3.1 Effect of tomato plantating dates on the population density of the	
tomato leafminer, <i>Tuta absoluta</i> and its predatory insects.	30
3.1.1.Direct count method	30
3.1.2. Pheromone trap method	30
3.2. Seasonal activity and biological studies on the mirid zoophytophagous bug <i>Nesidiocoris tenuis</i> .	31
3.2.1. Biological studies on the mirid , zoophytophagous, <i>N. tenuis</i>	31
3.3.Effect of four Solanaceous host plants on the development and populational parameters of the tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta under free and no choice feeding conditions .	
3.3.1.Data analysis	33
4.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	34
4.1. Influence of tomato planting dates on population density of the tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta and its associated predatory insects .	
4.1.1. On the tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta.	34
4.1.1.1. February plantation	34
4.1.1.2. June plantation	35
4.1.1.3. September plantation	37
4.1.1.4. The monthly and the seasonally average number of the larvae	39

4.1.1.5. The monthly and the seasonally average number of the male moths .	
4.1.2. On the associated predatory insects .	48
4.1.2.1. The eleven-spot ladybird , <i>Coccinella undecimpunctata</i> L.	48
4.1.2.2. The mirid bug, Macrolophus pygmaeus Rambur.	51
4.1.2.3. The earwig , <i>Labidura ripari</i> a(Pallas).	54
4.1.2.4. The green laceewing , Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens).	57
4.1.25. the tomato bug, Nesidiocoris tenuis.	60
4-1-2-6-The relationship between associated predatory insects and <i>Tuta absoluta</i>	63
4.2.Seasonal activity and biological studies on the mirid zoophytophagous bug <i>Nesidiocoris tenuis</i> Reuter on the tomato leafminer <i>Tuta absoluta</i> .	67
4.2.1. Effect of planting dates on population of <i>N. tenuis</i> and <i>T. absoluta</i>	67
4.2.2. Effect of food type on some biological aspects of the tomato bug <i>N. tenuis</i>	74
4.2.3. The relationship berween the insect pest , <i>T.absoluta</i> and the tomato bug <i>,N.tenuis</i>	77
4.3.Effect of different solanaceous host plants on developmental and populational parameters of the tomato leaf miner , <i>Tuta absoluta</i> (Meyrick) under free and no choice feeding conditions.	78
5. CONCLUSION	85
6.SUMMARY	87
7. REFERENCES	97
8. ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
	The monthly and seasonally average number (± SE)	
	of tomato leafminer, T. absoluta larvae by direct	
1	count method during the three planting dates of	41
	2020 season.	
	The monthly and seasonally average number (± SE)	
	of tomato leafminer, T. absoluta larvae by direct	
2	count method during February plantation in 2021	43
	season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
3	SE) of <i>T. absoluta</i> male moths by male traps method	45
	during the three plantating dates of 2020 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
4	SE) of <i>T. absoluta</i> male moths by male traps method	47
	during the three plantating dates of 2021 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
5	SE) of Coccinella undecimpunctata during the three	49
	plantating dates of 2020 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
6	SE) of Coccinella undecimpunctata during the three	50
-	plantating dates in 2021 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
7	SE) of Macrolophus pygmaeus during the three	52

	plantating dates in 2020 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (\pm	
8	SE) of Macrolophus pygmaeus during the three	53
	plantating dates of 2021 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (\pm	
9	SE) of Labidura riparia during the three plantating	55
	dates of 2020 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
10	SE) of Labidura riparia during the three plantating	56
	dates in 2021 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
11	SE) of Chrysoperla carnea during the three	58
	plantating dates in 2020 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
12	SE) of Chrysoperla carnea during the three	59
	plantating dates of 2021 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
13	SE) of the tomato bug, Nesidiocoris tenuis	61
	during the three plantating dates in 2020 season.	
	The monthly and the seasonally average number (±	
14	SE) of the tomato bug, Nesidiocoris tenuis	62
	during the three plantating dates in 2021 season.	
	The average number (± SE) of the predatory insects	
15	associated with the tomato leafminer, T. absoluta	64
15	during the three plantating dates of the first	04
	growing season, 2020.	
16	The average number (± SE) of the predatory insects	65

[]
	associated with the tomato leafminer, T. absoluta	
	during the three plantating dates of the second	
	growing season, 2021.	
	Simple correlation coefficient between T. absoluta	
17	and its predatory insects during three different	66
	plantating dates in 2020 and 2021 seasons.	
	The average numbers (±SE) of the tomato	
	leafminer, T. absoluta and its predator N. tenuis in	
18	the three different tomato plantations during the	73
	two seasons (2020 and 2021) at Kafr El-sheikh	
	region.	
	The simple correlation coefficient between numbers	
19	of T. absoluta and those of N. tenuis in different	74
	plantating dates during seasons 2020 and 2021.	
	Effect of feeding types on the immature stages,	
20	adult longivity and female fecundity of the tomato	76
	bug N. tenius under laboratory conditions.	
	The relatioship between N.tenuis and T.absolta , (
	predator – prey ratio) on tomato	
21	plants in different planting dates , during years,	78
	2020 and 2021	
	Effect of different Solanaceous host plants on the	
22	average number of the developmental stages of <i>T</i> .	79
	<i>absoluta</i> reared under no choice feeding conditions. Effect of different Solanaceous host plants on the	
	average number of the developmental stages of T .	
23	absoluta reared under free choice feeding	80
	conditions.	
	Effect of different solanaceous host plants on the	
24	duration and survival rate of the developmental	81
	stages of T. absoluta under no choice feeding	

	conditions	
25	Effect of different solanaceous host plants on the duration and survival rate of the developmental stages of <i>T. absoluta</i> under free choice feeding conditions	82
26	Effect of four solanaceous host plants on Adult longevity and female productivity of <i>T. absoluta</i> under no choice feeding conditions.	83
27	Effect of four solanaceous host plants on Adult longevity and female productivity of <i>T. absoluta</i> under free choice feeding conditions.	83

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
1	The population density of the tomato leafminer <i>T</i> . <i>absoluta</i> during February plantation of 2020 season	34
2	The population density of the tomato leafminer <i>T</i> . <i>absoluta</i> during February plantation of 2021 season.	35
3	The population density of the tomato leafminer <i>T</i> . <i>absoluta</i> during June plantation of 2020 season .	36
4	The population density of the tomato leafminer <i>T</i> . <i>absoluta</i> during June plantation of 2021 season.	37
5	The population density of the tomato leafmainer <i>T</i> . <i>absoluta</i> during September plantation of 2020 season .	38
6	The population density of the tomato leafmainer <i>T. absoluta</i> during September plantation of 2021 season.	39
7	Population density of the tomato bug <i>N. tenuis</i> and the tomato leafminer <i>T. absoluta</i> in February plantation during 2020 season at Kafr El Sheikh region.	67
8	Population density of the tomato bug <i>N. tenuis</i> and the tomato leafminer <i>T. absoluta</i> in February plantation during 2021 season at Kafr El Sheikh region.	68
9	Population density of the tomato bug <i>N. tenuis</i> and the tomato leafminer <i>T. absoluta</i> in June plantation during 2020 season at Kafr El Sheikh region.	69

10	Population density of the tomato bug <i>N. tenuis</i> and the tomato leafminer <i>T. absoluta</i> in June plantation during 2021 season at Kafr El Sheikh region.	70
11	Population density of the tomato bug <i>N. tenuis</i> and the tomato leafminer <i>T. absoluta</i> in September plantation during 2020 season at Kafr El Sheikh region.	71
12	Population density of the tomato bug <i>N. tenuis</i> and the tomato leafminer <i>T. absoluta</i> in September plantation during 2021 season at Kafr El Sheikh region.	72

VI. SUMMARY

The present experiments were conducted to study the population density of the tomato leafminer, *Ttuta. absoluta* and its predatory insects on tomato plants in three tomato planting dates; February, June and September, during two successive growing seasons 2020 and 2021. Field experiments were conducted at experimental farm belonging to Agricultural Research center in Kafr El Sheikh . The laboratory experiments were conducted at the laboratory of Biological Control Department, Plant Protection Institute,Sakha branch .

The obtained results could be summarized as following:

6.1. Influence of tomato planting dates on population density of the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* and its associated predatory insects.

6.1.1. On the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta*.

6.1.1.1. February plantation

The highest peak of the population abundance for the larvae in February plantation were recorded in the first week of May 2020 and in the second week of May 2021 and represented by **56** and **55** larvae / plant during the two seasons, respectively. Meanwhile, for the male moths in February plantation, the highest peaks were recorded in the fourth week of April 2020 and the third week of April 2021 and represented by **375** and **380** moths / trap respectively.

6.1.1.2. June plantation

The highest peaks of *T. absoluta* larvae in June plantation were recorded on the second week of July 2020 and on the fourth week of July

2021 and represented by **124** and **130** larvae / plant during the two seasons, respectively. While, the highest peak of *T. absoluta* male moths were recorded in the first week of August 2020 and 2021 during the two seasons 2020 and 2021 and represented by **770** and **780** moths / trap, respectively.

6.1.1.3. September plantation

The highest peaks of *T. absoluta* larvae in September Plantation were recorded in the first week of January 2021 and 2022 during the two seasons and represented by **32** and **22** larvae / plant , respectively . Moreover , the highest peaks of *T.* absoluta male moths were recorded in the first week of January 2021 and in the second week of January 2022 and represented by **65** and **59** moths / trap. respectively.

6.1.1.4. The monthly and the seasonaly average number of the larvae

In February plantation 'May 2020 recorded the highest average number of *T. absoluta* larvae (**47.0** \pm **4.8 larvae** / **plant**) during the first season while , April 2021 recorded the highest average number of the insect larvae(**47.3** \pm **4.1 larvae** / **plant**) during the second season .

In June plantation , July 2020 recorded the highest average number of the insect larvae (96.0 ± 24.7 larvae / plant) during the first season . Also , the highest average number of the insect larvae recorded in July 2021 (102.0 ± 16.2 larvae / plant) during the second season .

In September plantation , January 2021 and 2022 recorded the highest average number of the insect larvae and represented by (32.0 ± 0.0 and 22.0 ± 0.0 larvae / plant) during the two seasons , respectively

The highest annually average number of the insect larvare recorded in June plantation during the two seasons 2020 and 2021 and represented by (51.4 ± 12.0 and 60.9 ± 10.8 larvae / plant) · respectively . Statistical analysis revealed that , a highly significant differences between the three planting dates during the two eeasons according to the annually average number of the insect larvae .

6.1.1.5. The monthly and the seasonaly average number of the male moths .

In February plantation 'April 2020 recorded the highest number of *T. absoluta* male moths (291.2 ± 35.9 male **moths/trap**) during the first season while , during the second season , the highest avrrage number recorded (313.8 ± 23.9 male moths/trap) in April 2021

In June plantation , July 2020 recorded the highest average number of the insect male moths (350.0 ± 203.1 male moths/trap) during the first eeason while , during the second season , the highest average number recorded (382.5 ± 184.9 male moths/trap) in July 2021

In September plantation, December 2020 recorded the highest average number of *T. absoluta* male moths (30.0 ± 3.7 male moths/trap) during the first season while, November 2021 recorded the highest average number of the insect male moths (37.3 ± 13.1 male moths /trap) during the second season.

The highest annually average number of the insect male moths recorded in June plantation during the two successive seasons 2020 and 2021 and represented by (200.0 ± 80.0 and 181.5 ± 53.1 male moths /trap), respectively .

Statistical analysis recorded that, a highly significant differences between the three plantations during the two seasons according to the annually average number of the insect male moths .

6.1.2. On the associated predatory insects .

6.1.2.1. The eleven-spot ladybird, Coccinella undecimpunctata L.

February plantation recorded the first category . It hosted the highest annually average number of the insect predator *C*. *Undecimpunctata* and presented by 3.4 ± 0.7 and 3.0 ± 0.5 individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons , respectively . While , September plantation harboured the lowest annually average number and presented by 0.5 ± 0.2 and 0.6 ± 0.3 individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons , respectively .

6.1.2.2. The mirid bug, Macrolophus pygmaeus Rambur.

June plantation recorded in the first category. It hosted the highest annually average number of the insect predator *M. pygmaeus* and presented by 1.6 ± 0.8 and 2.7 ± 0.6 individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively. While, February and September plantations did not harbour any individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons.

6.1.2.3. The earwig, *Labidura riparia*(Pallas).

June plantation came in the first category . It hosted the highest annually average number of the insect predator *L. riparia* and presented by 8.5 ± 3.1 and 7.6 ± 2.9 individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons , respectively . While , February and September plantations did not harbour or attracted any individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons .

6.1.2.4. The green laceewing , Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens)

February plantation came in the first category. It hosted the highest annually average number of the insect predator C. *carnea* and

presented by 0.9 ± 0.3 and 10.5 ± 0.5 individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively. While, June and September plantations did not harbour or attracted any individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons.

6.1.2..5. the tomato bug, Nesidiocoris tenuis Reuter.

June plantation came in the first category . It hosted the highest annually average number of the insect predator *N. tenuis* and presented by 300.0 ± 70.5 and 286.0 ± 89.9 individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons , respectively . While , February plantation harboured the lowest annually average number and presented by 2.1 ± 0.6 and 1.4 ± 0.6 individuals in both 2020 and 2021 seasons , respectively .

6.1.2..6. The relationship between associated predatory insects and *T. absoluta* .

The tomato bug, *N. tenuis* recorded the highest average number 300.0 ± 70.5 and 286.9 ± 89.9 individuals , followed by *L. riparia* 8.5 \pm 3.1 and 7.6 \pm 2.9 individuals *in* June plantation during the two seasons 2020 and 2021 , respectively . Meanwhile *C.* undecimpunctata came in the first category during February plantation and represented by 3.4 ± 0.7 and 3.0 ± 0.5 individuals during the two seasons, respectively .

The simple correlation coefficient between the numbers of *T*. *absoluta* and its predators on tomato plants in three plantating dates during the two growing seasons 2020 and 2021 demonstrated that , this relation varied from date to date and from season to season particularly to the tomato predatory bug, *N. tenuis*. The numbers of *N. tenuis* significantly correlated with those of *T. absoluta* during February and September plantations in the first season, but were correlated during June and September plantations in the second season. In both seasons, the

numbers of the eleven-spotted ladybird *C. undecimpunctata* significantly coincided with those of *T. absoluta* during February and June plantations, but not during September plantation.

6.2.Seasonal activity and biological studies on the mirid zoophytophagous bug *N. tenuis* .

6.2.1. Effect of planting dates on population denisty of N. tenuis .

In February plantation , the tomsto bug *N. tenuis* recorded the highest population density (**160** and **133** individuals / plant) in the fourth week of May 2020 and 2021 during the two seasons , respectively .

In June plantation , the tomato bug *N. tenuis* recorded the highest population density (**680** and **450** individuals / plant) in the fourth week of September 2020 and 2021 during the two seasons , respectively .

In September plantation, the tomato bug *N. tenuis* recorded the highest population density(**5** individuals /plant) in the second week of October 2020 during the first season and (**8** individuals /plant) in the first week of January 2022 during the second season

The highest average number of *T. absoluta* was estimated in June plantation in the first and second seasons (51.4 ± 12.0 and 60.8 ± 10.9 larvae / plant , respectively). In addition, the highest average number of *N. tenuis* was estimated also in June plantation of both seasons (285.9 ± 96.1 and 263.4 ± 88.1 individuals, respectively).

The numbers of *N. tenuis* positively coincided with those of *T. absoluta*, in a significant way, during February and June plantations, but not during September plantation during the two seasons .

6.2.2. Effect of food type on some biological aspects of the tomato bug *N. tenuis*.

No difference found between the incubation period of the tomato bug eggs of those fed on tomato plants only or on larvae of *T. absoluta* only presented by (7.0 ± 0.49 days) while the incubation period of the tomato bug eggs of those fed on tomato plants with larvae of *T. absoluta* was shorter presented by (6.4 ± 0.21 days)

The total developmental stage of the tomato bug *N. tenuis* recorded the shortest duration when fed on the larvae of *T. absoluta* or tomato plants with larvae of *T. absoluta* and presented by (13.0 ± 0.33 and 12.4 ± 0.33 days) with no significant differences ,while the longest duration nymphal stage was recorded when tomato bugs fed on tomato plants only and presented by (15.8 ± 0.49 days).

In case of feeding on tomato plant only, the longevity of *N. tenuis* adults was shorter than that when predator fed on the other two feeding types and presented by (**18.8** \pm **0.95** days) . The fecundity of *N. tenuis* female was higher when fed on tomato plants infested with *T. absoluta* larvae or on *T. absoluta* larvae presented by (**91.6** \pm **4.25 egg / female**) than those fed on tomato plants only that presented by (**43.2** \pm **5.5 egg / female**).

6.2.3 The relationship between the insect pest , *T.absoluta* and the tomato bug *,N.tenuis* .

The relationship between , N.tenuis and *T.absolta* , (predator – prey ratio) on tomato plants in different plantating dates , during the two seasons , 2020 and 2021. The very narrowed predator - prey ratio was recorded in June plantation in both yesrs ;2020 and 2021 represented by (1: 0.18) and (1: 0.23), respectively. Moreover September

plantation in both yesrs ;2020 and 2021 were also narrowed and represented by (1:2.8) and (1:1.5), respectively. Meanwhile, February plantation came in the last category in both years and represented by (1:22.7) and (1:12.4), respectively

6.3. Effect of four solanaceous plants on developmental and population parameters of the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) under free and no choice feeding conditions.

The mean number of the developmental stages of *T. absoluta* reared on different solanaceous host plants under no choice feeding conditions show that tomato plants recorded the highest average number of larvae followed by egg plants and potato plants and represented by **99.3** \pm **5.6**, **52.3** \pm **4.1** and **51.3** \pm **4.1** larvae / cadge , respectively . No larvae were found in pepper plants . In tomato plants also the larvae developed to pupal stage and adult stage followed by egg plant and potato . Tomato plants exhibted the most preferred host plant for rearing the insect larvae followed by egg plant and potato plants .statistical analysis revealed that , a highely significant differences were recorded between the different solanaceous host plants according to the mean number of the insect developmental stages.

The mean number of the developmental stages of *T. absoluta* reared on different solanaceous host plants under free choice feeding conditions show that tomato plants recorded the highest average number of larvae followed by egg plant and potato plant and represented by **37.7±3.5**, **25.3 ± 2.7 and 24.7 ± 2.2** larvae / plant, respectively. No larvae were found in pepper plants. In tomato plants also the highest larvae developed to pupal stage and adult stage followed by egg plant and potato plants. Tomato plants attracted the female insect to egg-laying and

exhibted the most preferred host plant for rearing the insect larvae followed by egg plant and potato plants . statistical analysis revealed that , a highely significant differences were recorded between the different solanaceous host plants according to the mean number of the insect developmental stages.

The duration and survival rate of the developmental stages of the insect on different solanaceous host plants under no choice feeding conditions show no significant differences in the incubation period or the pupal stage for the tomato leaf miner *T. absoluta* on different solanaceous host plants . Meanwhile , the larval stage recorded he lowest average duration on tomato plants followed by egg plant and potato plants and presented by 9.0 ± 0.8 , 13.3 ± 0.8 and 14.2 ± 1.8 days, respectively with significant differences.Pepper plants recorded no eggs , larvae or pupae during the experiments . Tomato plants exhibted the highest survival rates for all the immature stages of the insect and recorded 99.3 %, 95.7% and 95.8% for larval stage , pupal stages and total immature stages , respectively . Egg plant ranked the second category and recorded 94.2% for the total immature stages .while potato came in the third category and recorded 84.4% for the immature stages

The duration and survival rate of the developmental stages of the insect on different solanaceous host plants under free choice feeding conditions show no significant differences in the incubation period or the pupal stage for the tomato leaf miner *T. absoluta* on different solanaceous host plants . Meanwhile , the larval stage recorded he lowest average duration on tomato plants followed by egg plant and potato plants and presented by 8.0 ± 0.1 , 9.3 ± 0.8 and 10.2 ± 1.2 days with significant differences. Pepper plants recorded no eggs , larvae or pupae during the

experiments . Tomato plants exhibted the highest survival rates for all the immature stages of the insect and recorded 98.8, 95.7 and 95.5 % for larval stage , pupal stages and total immature stages , respectively . Egg plant ranked the second category and recorded 94.0% for the immature stages .while potato came in the third category and recorded 85.0% for the immature stages

Tomato plants recorded the highest female productivity under no choice feeding conditions and presented by 125.0 ± 5.0 larva / female followed by egg plant and potato plants 101.3 ± 3.0 and 98.8 ± 5.0 larva / female with no significant differences, respectively.

The female productivity reared on different solanaceous host plants under free choice feeding conditions show that,tomato plants recorded the highest female productivity under free choice feeding conditions and presented by 63.3 ± 3.2 larva / female followed by egg plant and potato plants 39.5 ± 3.8 and 32.5 ± 5.2 larva / female with no significant differences, respectively.